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## 1 Introduction and Overview

### 1.1 Installation and System Requirements

The installation is straightforward and it does not require any changes to your system settings. If you would like to use QuaDRiGa, just extract the ZIP-File containing the model files and add the "source"-folder from the extracted archive to you MATLAB-Path. This can be done by opening MATLAB and selecting "File" - "Set Path ..." from the menu. The you can use the "Add folder ..." button to add QuaDRiGa to your MATLAB-Path.

Table 1: QuaDRiGa System Requirements

| Requirement | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Minimal required MATLAB version | 7.12 (R2011a) |
| Required toolboxes | none |
| Memory (RAM) requirement | 1 GB |
| Processing power | 1 GHz Single Core |
| Storage | 50 MB |
| Operating System | Linux, Windows, Mac OS |

### 1.2 General Remarks

This document gives a detailed overview of the QuaDRiGa channel model and its implementation details. The model has been evolved from the Wireless World Initiative for New Radio (WINNER) channel model described in WINNER II deliverable D1.1.2 v.1.1 $\left[\mathrm{KMH}^{+} 07\right]$. This document covers only the model itself. Measurement campaigns covering the extraction of suitable parameters can be found in the WINNER documentation $\left[\mathrm{KMH}^{+} 07, \mathrm{HMK}^{+} 10\right]$ or other publications such as $\left[\mathrm{SNK}^{+} 10\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{NSK}^{+} 11\right]$. Furthermore, the MIMOSA project $\left[\mathrm{EHB}^{+} 13\right]$ covers the model development and parameter extraction for land-mobile satellite channels.

The QuaDRiGa channel model follows a geometry-based stochastic channel modeling approach, which allows the creation of an arbitrary double directional radio channel. The channel model is antenna independent, i.e. different antenna configurations and different element patterns can be inserted. The channel parameters are determined stochastically, based on statistical distributions extracted from channel measurements. The distributions are defined for, e.g. delay spread, delay values, angle spread, shadow fading, and crosspolarization ratio. For each channel segment the channel parameters are calculated from the distributions. Specific channel realizations are generated by summing contributions of rays with specific channel parameters like delay, power, angle-of-arrival and angle-of- departure. Different scenarios are modeled by using the same approach, but different parameters. The basic features of the model approach can be summarized as follows:

- Support of freely configurable network layouts with multiple transmitters and receivers
- Scalability from a single input single output (SISO) or multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) link to a multi-link MIMO scenario
- Same modeling approach indoor, outdoor, and satellite environments as well as combinations of them
- Support of a frequency range of $2-6 \mathrm{GHz}$ with up to 100 MHz RF bandwidth
- Support of multi-antenna technologies, polarization, multi-user, multi-cell, and multi-hop networks
- Smooth time evolution of large-scale and small-scale channel parameters including the transition between different scenarios
- High accuracy for the calculation of the polarization characteristics

The QuaDRiGa channel model largely extends the WINNER model to support several new features that were originally not included. These are

- Time evolution

Short term time evolution of the channel coefficients is realized by updating the delays, the departureand arrival angels, the polarization, the shadow fading and the K-Factor based on the position of the terminal.

- Scenario transitions

When the mobile terminal (MT) moves through the fading channel, it may pass through several different scenarios. QuaDRiGa supports smooth transitions between adjacent channel segments. This is used to emulate long term time evolution and allows the simulation of e.g. handover scenarios.

- Variable speeds for mobile terminals

QuaDRiGa supports variable speeds including accelerating and sowing down of mobile terminals.

- Common framework for LOS and NLOS simulations

In WINNER, line of sight (LOS) and non line of sight (NLOS) scenarios were treated differently. QuaDRiGa used the same method for both scenarios types. This reduces the model complexity and enables freely configurable multicell scenarios. E.g. one MT can see two base stations (BSs), one in LOS and another in NLOS.

- Geometric polarization

The polarizations for the LOS and for the NLOS case is now calculated based on a ray-geometric approach.

- Improved method for calculating correlated large scale parameters (LSPs)

The WINNER model calculates maps of correlated parameter values using filtered random numbers. QuaDRiGa uses the same method but extends the map generation algorithm to also consider diagonal movement directions and to create smoother outputs.

- New functions for modifying antenna patterns

Antenna patterns can now be freely rotated in 3D-coordinates while maintaining the polarization properties.

- New MATLAB implementation

The MATLAB code was completely rewritten. The implementations now fosters object oriented programming and object handles. This increases the performance significantly and lowers the memory usage.

### 1.3 Introduction to QuaDRiGa

QuaDRiGa (QUAsi Deterministic RadIo channel GenerAtor) was developed to enable the modeling of MIMO radio channels for specific network configurations, such as indoor, satellite or heterogeneous configurations.

Besides being a fully-fledged three dimensional geometry-based stochastic channel model, QuaDRiGa contains a collection of features created in spatial channel model (SCM) and WINNER channel models along with novel modeling approaches which provide features to enable quasi-deterministic multi-link tracking of users (receiver) movements in changing environments.

The main features of QuaDRiGa are:

- Three dimensional propagation (antenna modeling, geometric polarization, scattering clusters),
- Continuous time evolution,
- Spatially correlated propagation parameter maps,
- Transitions between varying propagation scenarios

The QuaDRiGa approach can be understood as a "statistical ray-tracing model". Unlike the classical ray tracing approach, it doesn't use an exact geometric representation of the environment but distributes the positions of the scattering clusters (the sources of indirect signals such as buildings or trees) randomly. A simplified overview of the model is depicted in Figure 2. For each path, the model derives the angle of departure (the angle between the transmitter and the scattering cluster), the angle of arrival (the angle between the receiver and the scattering cluster) and the total path length which results in a delay $\tau$ of the signal. For the sake of simplicity, only two paths are shown in the figure.


Figure 1: Simplified overview of the modeling approach used in QuaDRiGa
Terrestrial and Satellite scenarios can be modeled. For "Satellite to Earth" communication the angle of departure is identical for all clusters. The concept behind the model allows also the modeling of scenarios such as

- Earth to satellite
- Satellite systems with complementary ground components (CGC): Using several transmitters at different positions and simulating all propagation paths in one setup is supported.

The analysis of these scenarios was not in the scope of the MIMO over Satellite (MIMOSA) project. This feature is not tested and especially no parameter sets are available yet.

In the following, the terms cluster, scattering cluster and scatterer are used synonymously. A cluster describes an area where many scattering events occur simultaneously, e.g. at the foliage of trees or at a rough building wall. In QuaDRiGa, each scattering cluster is approximated by 20 individual scatterers. Each one is modeled by a single reflection. The 20 signals can be resolved in spatial domain where they have a typical angular spread of $1-6^{\circ}$. However, they cannot be resolved in delay domain. Therefore, in the output of the channel model, these 20 signals (also named sub-paths) are combined into a single signal which is represented by a path. The difference to Rayleigh fading models, which use wide sense stationary uncorrelated scattering (WSSUS) taps instead of paths, is that each path has a very limited angular spread $\left(1-6^{\circ}\right)$ which also results in a narrow Doppler spectrum. The terms path, multipath component (MPC) and tap are also used synonymously in the QuaDRiGa documentation.

To emulate a rich scatting environment with a wider angular spread, many scattering clusters are created. QuaDRiGa supports up to 42 clusters. However, depending on the angular spread and the amount of diffuse scatting (which is approximated by discrete clusters in QuaDRiGa), typical values are around 10 cluster for LOS propagation and 20 clusters for non-LOS. The positioning of the clusters is controlled by the environment angular spread and the delay spread. The environment angular spread has values of around $20-90^{\circ}$ and is typically much larger than the per-cluster angular spread. However, even with many clusters, the Doppler spread is narrower in QuaDRiGa than when assuming pure Rayleigh fading. This is also in line
with measurement results. It can be observed in the field that the main components arrive from selected angles and the classical Doppler spectrum's "Jakes" or Butterworth filter shaped characteristics are only valid as long term average and not valid for a short time interval.

To summarize:

- A typical propagation environment requires 8-20 clusters.
- Internally, each cluster is represented by 20 sub-paths, resulting in 160-400 sub-paths in total.
- Each sub-path is modeled as a single reflection.
- The 160-400 sub-paths are weighted by the antenna response. The 20 sub-paths for each cluster are summed up which results in $8-20$ paths.
- For a MIMO system with multiple antennas at the transmitter and receiver, each path has as many channel coefficients, as there are antenna pairs. Hence, at the output, there are $n_{P a t h} \cdot n_{R x} \cdot n_{T x}$ channel coefficients.


### 1.4 Continuous time evolution

QuaDRiGa calculates the channel for each defined reception point. To generate a "time series" a continuous track of reception points can be defined. The arrival angles of the sub-paths play a crucial for the time evolution because the phase changes are calculated deterministically based on the arrival angles. This results in a realistic Doppler spectrum.

The temporal evolution of the channel is modeled by two effects:

- drifting and
- birth and death of clusters.

Drifting (see Section 3.2.3) occurs within a small area (about $20-30 \mathrm{~m}$ diameter) in which a specific cluster can be seen from the MT. Within this area the cluster position is fixed. Due to the mobility of the terminal the path length (resulting in a path delay) and arrival angels change slowly.

Longer time-evolving channel sequences need to consider the birth and death of scattering clusters as well as transitions between different propagation environments. We address this by splitting the MT trajectory into segments. A segment can be seen as an interval in which the LSPs, e.g. the delay and angular spread, do not change considerably and where the channel keeps its wide sense stationary (WSS) properties. Thus, the length of a segment depends on the decorrelation distances of the LSPs. We propose to limit the segment length to the average decorrelation distance. Typical values are around 20 m for LOS and 45 m for NLOS propagation. In the case where a state does not change over a long time, adjacent segment must have the same state. For example, a 200 m NLOS segment should be split into at least 4 NLOS sub-segments.

A set of clusters is generated independently for each segment. However, since the propagation channel does not change significantly from segment to segment, we need to include correlation. This is done by so called "parameter maps" (see Section 3.1.4). The maps ensure that neighboring segments do not have significantly different propagation characteristics. For example, measurements show that the shadow fading (the average signal attenuation due to building, trees, etc.) is correlated over up to 100 m . Hence, we call all channel characteristics showing similarly slow changes LSPs.

With a segment length of 20 m , two neighboring segments of the same state will have similar receive-power. To get the correct correlation, QuaDRiGa calculates a map for the average received power for a large area. The received power for two adjacent segments is then obtained by reading the values of the map. This map-based approach also contains cross-correlations to other LSPs such as the delay spread. For example, a shorter delay spread might result in a higher received power. Hence, there is a positive correlation between power and delays spread which is also reflected in the maps.

To get a continuous time-series of channel coefficients requires that the paths from different segments are combined at the output of the model. In between two segments clusters from the old segment disappear
and new cluster appear. This is modeled by merging the channel coefficients of adjacent segments. The active time of a scattering cluster is confined within the combined length of two adjacent segments. The power of clusters from the old segment is ramped down and the power of new clusters is ramped up within the overlapping region of the two segments. The combination clusters to ramp up and down is modeled by a statistical process. Due to this approach, there are no sudden changes in the LSPs. For example, if the delay spread in the first segment is 400 ns and in the second it is 200 ns , then in the overlapping region, the delay spread (DS) slowly decreases till it reaches 200 ns. However, this requires a careful setup of the segments along the used trajectory. If the segments are too short, sudden changes cannot be excluded. This process is described in detail in Section 3.2.7.

### 1.5 QuaDRiGa Program Flow

For a propagation environment (e.g. urban, suburban, rural or tree-shadowing) typical channel characteristics are described by statistics of the LSPs. Those are the median and the standard deviation of the delay spread, angular spreads, shadow fading, Ricean K-Factor, as well as correlations between them. Additional parameters describe how fast certain properties of the channel change (i.e. the decorrelation distance). Those parameters are stored in configuration files which can be edited by the model user. Normally, the parameters are extracted from channel measurements. A detailed description of the model steps can be found Section 3.

1. The user of the model needs to configure the network layout. This includes:

- Setting the transmitter position (e.g. the BS positions or the satellite orbital position)
- Defining antenna properties for the transmitter and the receiver
- Defining the user trajectory
- Defining states (or segments) along the user trajectory
- Assigning a propagation environment to each state

Defining the user trajectory, states along the user trajectory and related parameters is performed by the state sequence generator (SSG). In the current implementation different SSGs are available:

- Manual definition of all parameters by the user, e.g. definition of short tracks.
- Statistical model for the "journey". A simple model (mainly designed for demonstration and testing purpose is included in the tutorial "satellite_channel")
- Derive trajectory and state sequence from the measurement data.

2. Configuration files define the statistical properties of the LSPs. For each state (also called scenario) a set of properties is provided. Typically two configurations files are used.

- One for the "good state" (also called LOS scenario)
- The other for the "bad state" (NLOS scenario).

For each state QuaDRiGa generates correlated "maps" for each LSP. For example, the delay spread in the file is defined as log-normal distributed with a range from 40 to 400 ns . QuaDRiGa translates this distribution in to a series of discrete values, e.g. 307 ns for segment $1,152 \mathrm{~ns}$ for segment 2, 233 ns for segment 3 and so on. This is done for all LSPs.
3. The trajectory describes the position of the MT in the "maps". For each segment of the trajectory, clusters are calculated according to the values of the LSPs at the map position. The cluster positions are random within the limits given by the LSP. For example, a delay spread of 152 ns limits the distance between the clusters and the terminal.
4. Each cluster is split into 20 sub-paths and the arrival angles are calculated for each sub-path and for each positions of the terminal on the trajectory.
5. The antenna response for each of the arrival angels is calculated (the same holds for the departure angles). If there is more than one antenna at the transmitter- and/or receiver side, the calculation is repeated for each antenna.
6. The phases are calculated based on the position of the terminal antennas in relation to the clusters. The terminal trajectory defines how the phases change. This results in the Doppler spread.
7. The coefficients of the 20 sup-paths are summed (the output are paths). If there is more than one antenna and depending on the phase, this sum results in a different received power for each antennapair. At this point, the MIMO channel response is created.
8. The channel coefficients of adjacent segments are combined (merged). This includes the birth/death process of clusters. Additionally, different speeds of the terminal can be emulated by interpolation of the channel coefficients.
9. The channel coefficients together with the path delays are formatted and returned to the user for further analysis.

### 1.6 Description of modeling of different reception conditions by means of a typical drive course

This section describes some of the Key features of the model using a real world example. A detailed introduction with a variety of tutorials, test cases and interface descriptions then follows in section A. The later part of the document then focusses on the mathematical models behind the software and the assumptions made.


Figure 2: Typical driving course: From home to woodland parking site on the village outskirts
The different effects along the track can be summarized as follows:

1. Start Environment: Urban, LOS reception of satellite signal
2. LOS $\rightarrow$ NLOS Change
3. NLOS $\rightarrow$ LOS Change
4. Turning off without change in reception condition (LOS)
5. Stopping at traffic light (LOS)
6. Turning off with change of reception condition (LOS $\rightarrow$ NLOS)
7. Crossing side Street (NLOS $\rightarrow$ short LOS $\rightarrow$ NLOS)
8. Structural change in the environment without a change in the environment type (higher density of buildings but still the environment remains urban)
9. Stopping at traffic lights (NLOS)
10. Houses have the same characteristics as before but are further away from the street (urban environment with different reception characteristics)
11. Change of environment (Urban $\rightarrow$ Forest)
12. Turning off without change of environment (NLOS)

Each simulation run in QuaDRiGa is done in three (and an optional fourth) step.

1. Set up tracks, scenarios, antennas and network layout
2. Generate correlated LSPs
3. Calculate the channel coefficients
4. (optional) Post-processing

Those steps also need to be done for the above scenario. However, different aspects of the track are handled in different parts of the model. Additionally, the QuaDRiGa model supports two operating modes for handling the LSPs:

1. The first (default) mode generates the correlated LSPs automatically based on a scenario-specific parameter set. This is done in step 2 and involves so called parameter maps.
2. The manual mode does not generate LSPs automatically. Here, the user has to supply a list of parameters to the model. The step 2 thus to be implemented by the user.

Steps 1, 3 and 4 are identical for both modes. The following list describes the modeling of the observed effects along the track when using the automatic mode (1).

## 1. Start Environment: Urban, LOS reception of satellite signal

Each segment along the track gets assigned an environment. In the QuaDRiGa terminology, this is called a scenario. E.g. the first segment on the track is in the "Satellite-LOS-Urban"-scenario. The selection of the scenario is done during the first step (set up tracks, scenarios, antennas and network layout). QuaDRiGa itself does not supply functions to perform the setting up of tracks and scenarios automatically. However, external scripts can be used to perform this task. An example can be found in section A.3. A RHCP/LHCP signal is defined in the antenna setup.

After the model setup, the "automatic mode" generates a set of LSPs for this segment. I.e. the second step of the model calculates one value for each of the 7 LSPs using the map-based method. Thus, a set of seven maps is created for the scenario "Satellite-LOS-Urban". Those maps cover the entire track. Thus, the same maps are used for all "Satellite-LOS-Urban"-segments of the track.

The third step then calculates a time-series of fading coefficients for the first segment that have the properties of the LSPs from the map. E.g. if one calculates the RMS-DS from the coefficients, one gets the same value as generated by the map in step 2 .

## 2. LOS $\rightarrow$ NLOS Change

A scenario change is defined along the track. E.g. the second segment along the track gets assigned the scenario "Satellite-NLOS-Urban". Now, a second set of maps is generated for all "Satellite-NLOS-Urban"-segments. So in total, we now have 14 maps (seven for LOS and another seven for NLOS). The parameters for calculating the channel coefficients are drawn from the second seven maps.

We get a set of channel coefficients with different properties (e.g. more multipath components, lower K-Factor etc.). A smooth transition between the coefficients from the first segment and the second is realized by the ramping down the powers of the clusters of the old segment and ramping up the power of the new. This is implemented in step 4 (Post-processing).

## 3. NLOS $\rightarrow$ LOS Change

This is essentially the same as in point 2. However, since the third segment is also in the scenario "Satellite-LOS-Urban", no new maps are generated. The parameters are extracted from the same map as for the starting segment.
4. Turning off without change in reception condition (LOS)

QuaDRiGa supports free 3D-trajectories for the receiver. Thus, no new segment is needed - the terminal stays in the same segment as in point 3 . However, we assume that the receive antenna is fixed to the terminal. Thus, if the car turns around, so does the antenna. Hence, the arrival angles of all clusters, including the direct path, change. This is modeled by a time-continuous update of the angles, delays and phases of each multipath component, also known as drifting. Due the change of the arrival angles and the path-lengths, the terminal will also see a change in its Doppler-profile.

## 5. Stopping at traffic light (LOS)

QuaDRiGa performs all internal calculations at a constant speed. However, a stop of the car at a traffic light is realized by interpolating the channel coefficients in an additional post processing step (step 4). Here, the user needs to supply a movement profile that defines all acceleration, deceleration or stopping points along the track. An example is given in section A.6. Since the interpolation is an independent step, it makes no difference if the mobile terminal is in LOS or NLOS conditions.
6. Turning off with change of reception condition (LOS $\rightarrow$ NLOS)

This is realized by combining the methods of point 2 (scenario change) and point 4 (turning without change). The scenario change is directly in the curve. Thus, the LOS and the NLOS segments have an overlapping part where the cluster powers of the LOS segment ramp down and the NLOS clusters ramp up. The update of the angles, delays and phases is done for both segments in parallel.
7. Crossing side Street (NLOS $\rightarrow$ short LOS $\rightarrow$ NLOS)

This is modeled by two successive scenario changes (NLOS-LOS and LOS-NLOS). For both changes, a new set of clusters is generated. However, since the parameters for the two NLOS-segments are extracted from the same map, they will be highly correlated. Thus, the two NLOS segments will have similar properties.
8. Structural change in the environment without a change in the environment type (higher density of buildings but still the environment remains urban)
This is not explicitly modeled. However, the "Satellite-NLOS-Urban"-map covers a typical range of parameters. E.g. in a light NLOS area, the received power can be some dB higher compared to an area with denser buildings. The placement of light/dense areas on the map is random. Thus, different characteristics of the same scenario are modeled implicit. They are covered by the model, but the user has no influence on where specific characteristics occur on the map when using the automatic mode. An alternative would be to manually overwrite the automatically generated parameters or use the manual mode.

In order to update the LSPs and use a new set of parameters, a new segment needs to be created. I.e. here, an environment change from "Satellite-NLOS-Urban" to the same "Satellite-NLOS-Urban" has to be created. Thus, a new set of LSPs is read from the map and new clusters are generated accordingly.
9. Stopping at traffic lights (NLOS)

This is the same as in point 5 .
10. Structural change in environment. Houses have the same characteristics as before but are further away from the street (urban environment with different reception characteristics) Same as point 8 .
11. Change of environment (Urban $\rightarrow$ Forest)

This is the same as in point 2. The segment on the track gets assigned the scenario "Satellite-Forest" and a third set of maps ( $15-21$ ) is generated for the "Satellite-Forest"-segment. The parameters are drawn from those maps, new channel coefficients are calculated and the powers of the clusters are ramped up/down.
12. Turning off without change of environment (NLOS)

Same as in point 4.

## 2 Software Structure

### 2.1 Overview

QuaDRiGa is implemented in MATLAB using an object oriented framework. The user interface is built upon classes which can be manipulated by the user. Each class contains fields to store data and methods to manipulate the data. An overview of the class structure is given in Section 2.

It is important to keep in mind that all classes in QuaDRiGa are "handle"-classes. This significantly reduces memory usage and speeds up the calculations. However, all MATLAB variable names assigned to QuaDRiGa objects are pointers. If you copy a variable (i.e. by assigning " $\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{a}$ "), only the pointer is copied. "a" and "b" point to the same object in memory. If you change the values of "b", the value of "a" is changed as well. This is somewhat different to the typical MATLAB behavior and might cause errors if not considered properly. Copying a QuaDRiGa object can be done by "b = a.copy".

- User input

The user inputs (Point 1 in the programm flow) are provided through the classes:
"simulation_parameters", "array", "track", and "layout".
"simulation_parameters" defines the general settings such as the center frequency and the sample density. It also enables and disables certain features of the model such as polarization rotation, subpath output and progress bars.
"array" combines all functions needed to describe antenna arrays.
"track" is used to define user trajectories, states and segments.
"layout" is a object including the tracks and antenna properties together with further parameters such as the satellite position.

## - Internal processing

All the processing is done by the classes "parameter_set" and "channel_builder".
"parameter_set" is responsible for generating LSPs for the cluster generation. It also holds the parameter maps needed for generating auto- and crosscorrelation properties of the parameters. "parameter_set" implements point 2 of the program flow.
"channel_builder" creates the channel coefficients. This includes the cluster generation and the MIMO channels. It implements steps 3-7 of the program flow.

## - Model output

The final two steps (8 and 9) of the program flow are implemented in the class "channel". Objects of this class hold the data for the channel coefficients. The class also implements the channel merger, which creates long time evolving sequences out if the snipes produced by the channel builder. Additional function such as the transformation into frequency domain can help the user to further process the data.

An overview of the model software is depicted in Fig. 3. The unified modeling language (UML) class diagram of the QuaDRiGa channel model gives an overview of all the classes, methods and properties of the model. The class diagram serves as a reference for the following descriptions which also lists the methods that implement a specific functionality.


Figure 3: UML class diagram of the model software.

### 2.2 Description of Classes, Properties, and Methods

In the following, all properties and methods of the QuaDRiGa classes are described. For the methods, input and output variables are defined and explained. There are three types of methods: Standard methods require an instance of a class. They are printed in black without the class name:

```
par = generate_parameters ( overlap, usage, check_parfiles, verbose )
```

Static methods can be called directly from the command line without creating an instance of the class first. They are printed in blue:

```
[ h_array, mse, mse_pat ] = array.import_pattern (fVi, fHi , correct_phase, accuracy,
max_num_elements, azimuth_grid, elevation_grid, verbose )
```

The constructor is a special method that is called when the class name is used as a function, e.g. when calling a = array('dipole'). There is only one constructor for each class. They are printed in blue.
h_array $=$ array ( array_type, phi_3dB, theta_3dB, rear_gain )

## Index of Methods

- Class "simulation_parameters"
- simulation_parameters (constructor)
- set_speed
- Class "array"
- array (constructor)
- apply_common_phase
- copy_element
- copy_objects
- estimate_common_phase
- estimate_pol_vector
- generate
- import_pattern (static)
- interpolate
- rotate_pattern
- set_grid
- visualize
- Class "track"
- track (constructor)
- compute_directions
- copy_objects
- correct_overlap
- generate
- generate_parameters
- get_length
- get_subtrack
- interpolate_movement
- interpolate_positions
- set_scenario
- set_speed
- split_segment
- visualize
- Class "layout"
- layout (constructor)
- create_parameter_sets
- generate (static)
- generate_parameters
- get_channels
- power_map
- randomize_rx_positions
- set_pairing
- set_satellite_pos
- visualize
- Class "parameter_set"
- parameter_set (constructor)
- copy_objects
- get_angles
- get_channels
- get_distances
- get_pl
- get_sf_profile
- set_par
- supported_scenarios (static)
- update_parameters
- Class "channel_builder"
- channel_builder (constructor)
- get_channels
- get_los_channels (static)
- Class "channel"
- channel (constructor)
- fr
- interpolate
- merge


### 2.2.1 Class "simulation_parameters"

This class controls the simulation options and calculates constants for other classes.

## Properties

| sample_density | The number of samples per half-wave length Sampling density describes the number of samples per half-wave length. To fulfill the sampling theorem, the minimum sample density must be 2 . For smaller values, interpolation of the channel for variable speed is not possible. On the other hand, high values significantly increase the computing time significantly. A good value is around 4. |
| :---: | :---: |
| samples_per_meter | Samples per meter <br> This parameter is linked to the sample density by $f_{S}=2 \cdot f_{C} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{SD}}{c}$ <br> where $f_{C}$ is the carrier frequency in $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{SD}$ is the sample density and c is the speed of light. |
| drifting_precision | Precision of the drifting functionality <br> drifting_precision $=0$ <br> This method applies rotating phasors to each path which emulates time varying Doppler characteristics. However, the large-scale parameters (departure and arrival angles, shadow fading, delays, etc.) are not updated in this case. This mode requires the least computing resources and may be preferred when only short linear tracks (up to several cm ) are considered and the distance between transmitter and receiver is large. <br> drifting_precision $=1$ (default) <br> When drifting is enabled, all LSPs are updated for each snapshot. This requires significantly more computing resources but also increases the accuracy of the results. Drifting is required when e.g. nonlinear tracks are generated or the distance between transmitter and receiver is small (below 20 m ). <br> drifting_precision $=2$ <br> LSPs are updated for each snapshot and for each antenna element at the receiver. This increases the accuracy for multi-element antenna arrays. <br> drifting_precision $=3$ <br> This option also calculates the shadow fading, path loss and K-factor for each antenna separately. This feature tends to predict higher capacities since is also increases the randomness of the power for different MIMO elements. Use with care. |
| drifting_update_ threshold | Update drifting paths when arrival angle changes [degrees] Updating the polarization rotation for large antenna arrays is very computing intensive and may slow down the simulations significantly. This parameter reduces the update rate. A value of $0.2^{\circ}$ (Default Setting) means that the polarization rotation is only updated when any of the four angles (AoA, EoA, AoD, EoD) changes more than $0.2^{\circ}$. Otherwise it is kept constant at its last value. For highest precision calculations, set 'drifting_update_threshold' to 0 . |
| use_polarization_ rotation | Select the polarization rotation method <br> use_polarization_rotation $=0$ <br> Uses the polarization method from WINNER. No polarization rotation is calculated. <br> use_polarization_rotation $=1$ <br> Uses the new polarization rotation method where the cross polarization ratio (XPR) is modeled by a rotation matrix. No change of circular polarization is assumed. <br> use_polarization_rotation $=2$ [Default] <br> Uses the polarization rotation with an additional phase offset between the H and V component of the NLOS paths. The offset angle is calculated to match the XPR for circular polarization. <br> use_polarization_rotation $=3$ <br> Uses polarization rotation for the geometric polarization but models the NLOS polarization change as in WINNER. |
| use_absolute_delays | Returns absolute delays in channel impulse response (CIR). <br> By default, delays are calculated such that the LOS delay is normalized to 0 . By setting 'use_absolute_delays' to 1 or 'true', the absolute path delays are included in 'channel.delays' at the output of the model. |


| use_subpath_output | Return all 20 subpaths <br> By default, the complex valued amplitudes of 20 sub-paths are summed up to calculate the path <br> amplitude. Setting 'use_subpath_output' to 1 returns the individual amplitudes of each subpath in <br> 'channel.coeff' at the output of the model. |
| :--- | :--- |
| show_progress_bars <br> center_frequency <br> map_resolution <br> version | Show a progress bar on the MATLAB prompt <br> Center frequency in [Hz] <br> Resolution of the decorrelation maps in [samples $/ \mathrm{m}]$ |
| speed_of_light | Version number of the current QuaDRiGa release (constant) <br> wavelength |
| Speed of light (constant) <br> Carrier wavelength in $[\mathrm{m}](\mathrm{read}$ only) $)$ |  |

## Methods

| h_simpar = simulation_parameters |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Description | Creates a new 'simulation_parameters' object with default settings. |

set_speed ( speed_kmh, sampling_rate_s )

| Description | This method can be used to automatically calculate the sample density for a given mobile speed. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Input | speed_kmh <br> sampling_rate_s | speed in $[\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}]$ <br> channel update rate in $[\mathrm{s}]$ |

### 2.2.2 Class "array"

This class combines all functions to create and edit antenna arrays. An antenna array is a set of single antenna elements, each having a specific beam pattern, that can be combined in any geometric arrangement. A set of synthetic arrays that allow simulations without providing your own antenna patterns is provided (see generate method for more details).

## Properties

| name | Name of the antenna array |
| :---: | :---: |
| interpolation_ method | Method for interpolating the beam patterns <br> The default is linear interpolation. Optional are: <br> - nearest - Nearest neighbor interpolation (QuaDRiGa optimized) <br> - linear - Linear interpolation (QuaDRiGa optimized, Default) <br> - spline - Cubic spline interpolation (MATLAB internal function) <br> - nearest_int - Nearest neighbor interpolation (MATLAB internal function) <br> - linear_int - Linear interpolation (MATLAB internal function) <br> Note: MATLAB internal routines slow down the simulations significantly. |
| no_elements | Number of antenna elements in the array <br> Increasing the number of elements creates new elements which are initialized as copies of the first element. Decreasing the number of elements deletes the last elements from the array. |
| elevation_grid | Elevation angles in [rad] were samples of the field patterns are provided <br> The field patterns are given in spherical coordinates. This variable provides the elevation sampling angles in radians ranging from $-\frac{\pi}{2}$ (downwards) to $\frac{\pi}{2}$ (upwards). |
| azimuth_grid | Azimuth angles in [rad] were samples of the field patterns are provided The field patterns are given in spherical coordinates. This variable provides the azimuth sampling angles in radians ranging from $-\pi$ to $\pi$. |
| element_position | Position of the antenna elements in local cartesian coordinates (using units of [m]) |
| field_pattern_ vertical | Vertical (or theta) component of the electric field given in spherical coordinates. This variable is a tensor with dimensions [ elevation, azimuth, element ] describing the vertical (or theta) component of the far field of each antenna element in the array. |
| field_pattern_ horizontal | Horizontal (or phi) component of the electric field given in spherical coordinates. This variable is a tensor with dimensions [ elevation, azimuth, element ] describing the horizontal (or phi) component of the far field of each antenna element in the array. |
| common_phase | A phase offset which is the same on both polarizations <br> Internally, QuaDRiGa can only use real-valued patterns. Complex valued patterns have to be split into at least two real valued patterns. However, if the phase is the same on both polarization components, i.e. the antenna is linearly polarized but has a direction-dependant phase, then the phase might be stored here. This variable is a tensor with dimensions [ elevation, azimuth, element ]. By default, it is initialized with zeros (no phase offset). |
| pol_vector | The orientation of the electric field in 3D local cartesian coordinates. |
| coupling | Coupling matrix between elements <br> This matrix describes a pre or postprocessing of the signals that are fed to the antenna elements. For example, in order to transmit a left hand circular polarized (LHCP) signal, two antenna elements are needed. They are then coupled by a matrix $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\binom{1}{j}$ <br> The rows in the matrix correspond to the antenna elements, the columns to the signal ports. In this example, the antenna has one port, i.e. it is fed with one input signal. This signal is then split into two and fed to the two antenna elements where the second element radiates the signal with $90^{\circ}$ phase shift. <br> In a similiar fasion, it is possible to create fixed beamforming antennas and include crosstalk between antenna elements. By default, 'coupling' is set to an identity matrix which indicates perfect isolation between the antenna elements. |
| no_az | Number of azimuth values |
| no_el | Number of elevation values |

## Methods

| h_array $=$ array ( array_type, phi_3dB, theta_3dB, rear_gain ) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Description | Creates a new array object. <br> See 'array.generate' for a description of the input parameters and the list of supported antenna types. |


| apply_common_phase ( element ) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Description | Applies the common phase to the patterns. |  |
| Input | element | The element numbers for which this functions is applied. If no element number is <br> given, the common phase is applied to all elements in the array. |


| copy_element ( source, target ) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Description | Creates a copy of an antenna element. |  |  |
| Input | source | Index of the array object that should be copied. The value must be scalar, integer <br> and greater than 0 and it can not exceed the array size. <br> Target can be a scalar or vector with elements $>0$. |  |


| copy_objects | A modified version of the standard physical copy function <br> Description <br> While the standard copy command creates new physical objects for each element of obj (in case obj is <br> an array of object handles), copy_objects checks whether there are object handles pointing to the same <br> object and keeps this information. |
| :--- | :--- |


| estimate_common_phase ( element ) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Description | Estimates the common phase from the field patterns <br> It is possible that antenna patterns have a phase component. For example, an antenna array might be <br> assembled out of several elements, which are at different position in the array. When the patterns of <br> the elements are then calibrated in the lab, the individual positions result in a phase offset which is <br> part of the measured pattern response. The core function of QuaDRiGa, however, only uses the real <br> part if the provided patterns. Hence, not calibrating the phase offset out of the pattern will lead to <br> errors. <br> This function calculates the phase from the pattern and stores it in the 'common_phase' property of the <br> antenna array object. However, this requires that the orientation vectors are set correctly, i.e. you need <br> to call 'estimate_pol_vector' first when importing measured patterns. |
| Input | element <br> The element numbers for which this functions is applied. If no element number is <br> given, the common phase is estimated for all elements in the array. |


| [ pol_vector, err ] | estimate_pol_vector ( element, verbose ) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Description | Estimates the orientation vector from the patterns <br> This function estimates the orientation vector from the field patterns. This allows the use of mea- <br> sured patterns in QuaDRiGa where the orientation vector is unknown. Results are also stored in the <br> 'pol_vector' property of the array object. |  |
| Input | element <br> verbose | The element numbers for which this functions is applied. If no element number is <br> given, the common phase is estimated for all elements in the array. <br> Enables (1, default) or disables (0) the progress bar. |
| Output | pol_vector <br> err | The orientation of the electric field in 3D local cartesian coordinates. <br> provides information on how well the pol-vector matches the pattern. It scales <br> from 0 to 1 where 0 is a perfect match. |


| Description | Generates predefined arrays. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Array Types | omni <br> dipole <br> half-wave-dipole <br> patch <br> custom <br> xpol <br> rhcp-dipole <br> lhcp-dipole <br> lhcp-rhcp-dipole <br> ula2 <br> ula4 <br> ula8 | An isotropic radiator with vertical polarization. <br> A short dipole radiating with vertical polarization. <br> A half-wave dipole radiating with vertical polarization. <br> A vertically polarized ideal patch antenna with $90^{\circ}$ opening in azimuth and elevation. <br> An antenna with a custom gain in elevation and azimuth. E.g.: 'a.generate('custom', $1,90,90,0.1$ )' creates an array with $90^{\circ}$ opening in azimuth and elevation and 0.1 rear gain. <br> Two elements with ideal isotropic patterns (vertical polarization). The second element is tilted by $90^{\circ}$. <br> Two crossed dipoles with one port. The signal on the second element (horizontal) is shifted by $-90^{\circ}$ out of phase. The two elements thus create a right hand circular polarized (RHCP) signal. <br> Two crossed dipoles with one port. The signal on the second element (horizontal) is shifted by $90^{\circ}$ out of phase. The two elements thus create a left hand circular polarized (LHCP) signal. <br> Two crossed dipoles. For input port 1, the signal on the second element is shifted by $+90^{\circ}$ out of phase. For input port 2, the the signal on the second element is shifted by $-90^{\circ}$ out of phase. Port 1 thus transmits a LHCP signal and port 2 transmits a RHCP signal. <br> Unified linear arrays composed of 2 omni-antennas (vertical polarization) with 10 cm element distance. <br> Unified linear arrays composed of 4 omni-antennas (vertical polarization) with 10 cm element distance. <br> Unified linear arrays composed of 8 omni-antennas (vertical polarization) with 10 cm element distance. |
| Input | array_type <br> element <br> phi_3dB <br> theta_3dB <br> rear_gain | One of the above array types. <br> The element numbers for which this functions is applied. If no element number is given, the function creates a new array and delete the old elements in the array. The 3 dB beam width in azimuth direction (used only for 'custom' array type) The 3dB beam width in elevation direction (used only for 'custom' array type) The isotropic gain (linear scale) at the back of the antenna (used only for 'custom' array type) |

[h_array, mse, mse_pat ] = array.import_pattern ( fVi, fHi, correct_phase, accuracy, max_num_elements, azimuth_grid, elevation_grid, verbose )

| Description | This function converts any antenna field pattern into a QuaDRiGa antenna array object. The conversion needs at most 4 elements for each input field pattern. This value is doubled if the inputs are complexvalued. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Input | fVi | The field pattern(s) for the vertical polarization given in spherical coordinates. The first dimension corresponds to the elevation angle (ranging from -90 to 90 degrees). The second dimension is for the azimuth angle (ranging from - 180 to 180 degrees). The third dimension belongs to the element number. The default resolution is 1 degree. Hence, the default size of fVi is $; 181 \mathrm{x} 361 \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{i}$. If a different resolution is given, the optional variables 'azimuth_grid' and 'elevation_grid' must be defined. |
|  | fHi | The field pattern(s) for the horizontal polarization given in spherical coordinates. ' fHi ' can be empty if no horizontal response is given. If it is given, then ' fHi ' must have the same size as 'fVi'. |
|  | correct_phase | It is possible to remove a common phase offset for both, vertical and horizontal polarization. This offset can occur when an antenna was characterized in an anechoic chamber where the antenna was not placed exactly in the center. Hence, there is a phase difference for each angle. Default setting: 0 (No correction) |
|  | accuracy | The required accuracy (i.e. the target mean square error (MSE)) of the approximation. The MSE is defined as: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | This variable is tracked throughout the converting process. If the approximation is good enough (i.e. the MSE < accuracy), then the algorithm stops and returns the output pattern. Default value: 1e-6 |
|  | max_num_ <br> elements | This value limits the number of elements per field pattern. The default value is 4 which allows a perfect approximation. However, more elements require more computing time in the channel model. |
|  | azimuth_grid | Azimuth angles in [rad] were samples of the field patterns are provided The field patterns are given in spherical coordinates. This variable provides the azimuth sampling angles in radians ranging from $-\pi$ to $\pi$. |
|  | elevation_grid | Elevation angles in [rad] were samples of the field patterns are provided The field patterns are given in spherical coordinates. This variable provides the elevation sampling angles in radians ranging from $-\frac{\pi}{2}$ (downwards) to $\frac{\pi}{2}$ (upwards). |
|  | verbose | Enables (1, default) or disables (0) the progress bar. |
| Output | h_array | The QuaDRiGa antenna array object generated from the field patterns. <br> The MSE (as defined above) for each pattern. <br> The MSE (as defined above) for each pattern given for each sample point of the pattern in spherical coordinates. |
|  |  |  |
|  | mse_pat |  |


| [ V, H, CP, dist] $=$ interpolate ( azimuth, elevation, element ) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Description | Interpolates the field pattern <br> Note: Interpolation of the beam patterns is very computing intensive. It must be performed several <br> thousands of times during a simulation run. It is highly recommended to use linear interpolation for <br> this task since this method is optimized for speed. Spline interpolation calls the MATLAB internal <br> interpolation function which is more than 10 times slower. To enable linear interpolation, set the <br> 'interpolation_method' property of the array object to 'linear'. <br> Remark: There are additional input parameters specified in the .mat-File that are not in the list below. <br> Those parameters correspond to the properties of the 'array' class. Passing those variables during the <br> function call takes less time than reading them from the object properties. This is used internally in <br> 'channel_builder.get_channels' but is irrelevant here. |
|  | azimuth <br> elevation <br> element |
| Input | A vector of azimuth angles in [rad]. <br> A vector of elevation angles in [rad]. <br> The element numbers for which this interpolation is done is applied. If no element <br> number is given, the interpolation is done for all elements in the array. |
| Output | V <br> H <br> CP <br> Cist |

$\mathrm{cp}=$ rotate_pattern ( deg, rotaxis, element, usage )

| Description | Rotates antenna patterns <br> Pattern rotation provides the option to assemble antenna arrays out of single elements. By setting the 'element_position' property of an array object, elements can be placed at different coordinates. In order to freely design arbitrary array configurations, however, elements often need to be rotated (e.g. to assemble a $+/-45^{\circ}$ crosspolarized array out of single dipoles). This functionality is provided here. <br> Note: Calling 'rotate_pattern' will always remove the common phase from the field patterns. Call 'estimate_common_phase' before calling 'rotate_pattern' to extract the common phase information. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Input | deg rotaxis <br> element <br> usage | The rotation angle in [degrees] ranging from $-180^{\circ}$ to $180^{\circ}$. <br> The rotation axis specified by the character ' $x$ ',' $y$ ', or ' $z$ '. <br> The element numbers for which this interpolation is done is applied. If no element number is given, the interpolation is done for all elements in the array. <br> The optional parameter 'usage' can limit the rotation procedure either to the pattern or polarization. Possible values are: <br> - 0: Rotate both (pattern+polarization) - default <br> - 1: Rotate only pattern <br> - 2: Rotate only polarization |
| Output | cp | The common phase of the field pattern. |
| set_grid ( a | grid, elevation |  |
| Description | Sets a new gri <br> This function the given valu | azimuth and elevation and interpolates the pattern <br> es the properties 'azimuth_grid' and 'elevation_grid' of the antenna object with dinterpolates the antenna patterns to the new grid. |
| Input | azimuth_grid <br> elevation_grid | Azimuth angles in [rad] were samples of the field patterns are provided The field patterns are given in spherical coordinates. This variable provides the azimuth sampling angles in radians ranging from $-\pi$ to $\pi$. <br> Elevation angles in [rad] were samples of the field patterns are provided The field patterns are given in spherical coordinates. This variable provides the elevation sampling angles in radians ranging from $-\frac{\pi}{2}$ (downwards) to $\frac{\pi}{2}$ (upwards). |


| visualize ( element ) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Description | Create a plot showing the element configurations. |  |
| Input | element | The element numbers for which the plot os created. If no element number(s) are <br> given, a plot is created for each element in the array. |

### 2.2.3 Class "track"

One feature of the channel model is the continuous evolution of wireless channels when the terminal moves through the environment. A track describes the movement of a mobile terminal. It is composed of an ordered list of positions. During the simulation, one snapshot is generated for each position on the track.

Along the track, wireless reception conditions may change, e.g. when moving from an area with LOS to a shaded area. This behavior is described by segments, or states. A segment is a subset of positions that have similar reception conditions. Each segment is classified by a segment index (i.e. the center position of the segment) and a scenario. The scenario must be one of the supported scenarios in class 'parameter_set'.

## Properties

| name | Name of the track |
| :---: | :---: |
| initial_position | Position offset (will be added to positions) <br> This position is given in global cartesian coordinates ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$, and z -component) in units of $[\mathrm{m}]$. The initial position normally refers to the starting point of the track. If the track has only one segment, it is also the position for which the LSPs are calculated. The initial position is added to the values in the positions variable. |
| no_snapshots | Number of positions on the track |
| positions | Ordered list of position relative to the initial position QuaDRiGa calculates an instantaneous channel impulse response (also called snapshot) for each position on the track. |
| movement_profile | Time (in sec) vs. distance (in m) for speed profile QuaDRiGa supports variable terminal speeds. This is realized by interpolating the channel coefficients at the output of the model. The variable 'track.movement_profile' describes the movement along the track by associating a time-point with a distance-point on the track. An example is: |
|  | t.movement_profile $=$ [ 0,7 ; 5,0 ; 6,0 ; 20,20 ]'; dist $=$ t.interpolate_movement ( $1 \mathrm{e}-3$ ); ci = cn.interpolate( dist , t.get_length ); |
|  | See also the tutorial "Applying Varying Speeds (Channel Interpolation)" in Section A. 6 for more details. |
| no_segments | Number of segments or states along the track |
| segment_index | Starting point of each segment given as index in the 'positions' vector |
| scenario | Scenarios for each segment along the track <br> This variable contains the scenario names for each segment as a cell array of strings. A list of supported scenarios can be obtained by calling 'parameter_set.supported_scenarios'. If there is only one transmitter (i.e. one base station), the cell array has the dimension [ $1 \times$ no_segments]. For multiple transmitters, the rows of the array may contain different scenarios for each transmitter. For example, in a multicell setup with three terrestrial base stations, the propagation conditions may be different to all BSs. The cell arrays than has the dimension [3 x no_segments]. |
| par | Manual parameter settings <br> This variable contains a structure with LSPs. This can be used for assigning LSPs directly to the channel builder, e.g. when they are obtained from measurements. The structure contains the following fields: <br> - ds - The delay spread in [s] per segment <br> - kf - The Ricean K-Factor in [dB] per snapshot <br> - pg - The effective path gain in [dB] excluding antenna gains per snapshot <br> - asD - The azimuth angle spread in [deg] per segment at the transmitter <br> - asA - The azimuth angle spread in [deg] per segment at the receiver <br> - esD - The elevation angle spread in [deg] per segment at the transmitter <br> - esA - The elevation angle spread in [deg] per segment at the receiver <br> - xpr - The NLOS cross-polarization in [dB] per segment <br> If there is only a subset of variables (e.g. the angle spreads are missing), then the corresponding fields can be left empty. They will be completed by the parameter sets. See also the method 'track.generate_parameters' on how to fill this structure automatically. |
| ground_direction | Azimuth orientation of the terminal antenna for each snapshot This variable can be calculated automatically from the positions by the function 'track.compute_directions'. |


| height_direction | Elevation orientation of the terminal antenna for each snapshot |
| :--- | :--- |
| closed | Indicates that the track is a closed curve |

## Methods

h_track = track ( track_type, track_length, direction, street_length_min, street_length_mu, street_length_std, curve_radius, turn_probability )
Description $\quad$ Creates a new track object.
See 'track. generate' for a description of the input parameters and the list of supported track types.

## compute_directions

| Description | Calculates ground and height orientations from positions <br> This function calculates the orientations of the transmitter based on the positions. If we assume that <br> the receive antenna array is fixed on a car and the car moves along the track, then the antenna turns <br> with the car when the car is changing direction. This needs to be accounted for when generating the <br> channel coefficients. This function calculates the orientation based on the positions and stored the <br> output in the ground_direction and height_direction field of the track object. |
| :--- | :--- |


| copy_objects | A modified version of the standard physical copy function <br> Description <br> While the standard copy command creates new physical objects for each element of obj (in case obj is <br> an array of object handles), copy_objects checks whether there are object handles pointing to the same <br> object and keeps this information. |
| :--- | :--- |

## correct_overlap ( overlap )

| Description | Corrects positions of the segment start to account for the overlap. <br> After the channel coefficients are calculated, adjacent segments can be merged into a time-continuous <br> output. The merger assumes that the merging interval happens at the end of one segment, before a new <br> segments starts. In a reality, however, the scenario change happens in the middle of the overlapping <br> part (and not at the end of it). This function corrects the position of the segment start to account for <br> that. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Input | overlap |


| generate ('linear', track_length, direction ) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Description | Creates a linear track with given length and direction. Direction describes the travel direction along <br> the track in [rad] in mathematical sense (i.e. 0 means east, pi/2 means north, pi means west and -pi/2 <br> south). If "track_length" or "direction" is not specified, then the default track is 1 m long and has a <br> random direction. |
| Input | track_length <br> direction |
| The track length in [m]. Default length is 1 m. <br> specifies the driving direction in [rad]. The default is random. |  |


| generate ('circular', track_length, direction ) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Description | Creates a circular track with given length and starting-direction. |  |
| Input | track_length <br> direction | The circumference of the circular track in [m]. Default is 62.8 m. <br> The starting point on the circle in [rad]. Positive values define the travel direction <br> as counter clock-wise and negative values as clock-wise. E.g. 0 sets the start point <br> in the east of the circle, traveling north; -2 $\pi$ sets it in the east, traveling south. <br> The default is random. |

generate ( 'street', track_length, direction, street_length_min, street_length_mu, street_length_std, curve_radius,
turn_probability )

| Description | Emulates a drive route through a city grid. <br> The mobile terminal starts at point 0 , going into a specified direction. The trajectory grid is build from street segments. The length of each street is specified by the parameters 'street_length_min', 'street_length_mu', and 'street_length_sigma'. At the end of a street (i.e. at a crossing), the terminal turns with a probability specified by 'turn_probability'. The change of direction is in between 75 and 105 degrees either left or right. The radius if the curve is given by 'curve_radius'. The track is set up in a way that prevents driving in circles. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Input | track_length direction <br> street_length_min street_length_mu street_length_std curve_radius turn_probability | the length in [m]. Default length is 1000 m . <br> specifies the driving direction in [rad] of the first segment in mathematical sense ( 0 means east, pi/2 means north). The default value is random. <br> the minimal street length in $[\mathrm{m}]$. The default is 50 m . <br> the median street length in [m]. The default is 187 m . This value was obtained from measurements in Berlin, Germany. <br> the standard deviation of the street length in [m]. The default is 83 m . This value was obtained from measurements in Berlin, Germany. <br> the curve radius during a turn in [m]. The default is 10 m . <br> the probability of a turn at a crossing. Possible values are in between 0 and 1 . The default is 0.5 . |


| par $=$ gen | ameters (o | usage, check_parfiles, verbose ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | Generates larg <br> This function in 'track.par interface for the <br> Since the track Please refer to | le parameters and stores them in 'par' <br> cts the LSPs for the given scenario from the 'parameter_set' class and stores them ence, it automatically generates the LSPs and, thus, implements an easy-to-use rameter_set' class. <br> s does not handle transmitter positions, a default position of $[0,0,25]$ is assumed. out.generate_parameters' for a more detailed description. |
| Input | overlap <br> usage <br> check_parfiles <br> verbose | The length of the overlapping part relative to the segment length. When there are scenario transitions, KF and PG change smoothly during a predefined interval. The length of that interval is a percentage of previous segment. The parameter overlap adjusts this percentage, ranging from 0 (i.e. very hard, step-like change at the scenario boundary) to 1 (very smooth, but long transition). <br> Changes the behavior of the method $\text { usage }=0$ <br> Deletes all existing parameters from the track. $\text { usage }=1$ <br> Deletes all existing parameters from the track and generates new ones. Existing LSPs will be overwritten. $\text { usage }=2 \text { (default) }$ <br> Keeps existing parameters, but generates missing ones. This is useful when, for example, the effective path gain (PG) is provided, but the other LSPs are unknown. In this case, the unknown "gaps" are filled with values which are generated from the provided scenario description. <br> check_parfiles $=0 / 1$ (default: 1 ) <br> Disables (0) or enables (1) the parsing of shortnames and the validity-check for the config-files. This is useful, if you know that the parameters in the files are valid. In this case, this saves execution time. <br> Enables (1, default) or disables ( 0 ) the progress bar. |
| Output | par | The automatically generated parameters <br> This variable contains structure of the LSPs with the following fields: <br> - ds - The delay spread in [s] per segment <br> - kf - The Ricean K-Factor in [dB] per snapshot <br> - pg - The effective path gain in [dB] excluding antenna gains per snapshot <br> - asD - The azimuth angle spread in [deg] per segment at the transmitter <br> - asA - The azimuth angle spread in [deg] per segment at the receiver <br> - esD - The elevation angle spread in [deg] per segment at the transmitter <br> - esA - The elevation angle spread in [deg] per segment at the receiver <br> - xpr - The NLOS cross-polarization in [dB] per segment <br> An identical copy of this variable is assigned to 'track. par'. |


| [ len, dist $]$ = get_length |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Description | Calculates the length of the track in $[\mathrm{m}]$ |  |
| Output | len <br> dist | Length of a track in $[\mathrm{m}]$ <br> Distance of each position (snapshot) from the start of the track in $[\mathrm{m}]$ |


| subtracks = get_subtrack (i_segment) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Description | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Splits the track in subtracks for each segment (state) } \\ \text { This function returns the subtracks for the given segment indices. When no input argument is provided, } \\ \text { all subtracks are returned. }\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { After defining segments along the track, one needs the subtrack that corresponds only to one segment } \\ \text { to perform the channel calculation. This new track can consist of two segments. The first segment } \\ \text { contains the positions from the previous segment, the second from the current. This is needed to } \\ \text { generate overlapping channel segments for the merging process. }\end{array}$ |  |
| Input | i_segment | \(\left.\begin{array}{l}A list of indices indicating which subtracks should be returned. By default, all <br>

subtracks are returned.\end{array}\right]\)

| dist $=$ inte | 㖪 | hod ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | This function interpolates the movement profile. The distance vector at the output can then be used to interpolate the channel coefficients to emulate varying speeds. See also the tutorial "Applying Varying Speeds (Channel Interpolation)" in Section A. 6 for more details. |  |
| Input | si method | the sampling interval in [seconds]. <br> selects the interpolation algorithm. The default is cubic spline interpolation. Optional are: <br> - nearest - Nearest neighbor interpolation <br> - linear - Linear interpolation <br> - spline - Cubic spline interpolation <br> - pchip - Piecewise Cubic Hermite Interpolating Polynomial <br> - cubic - Cubic spline interpolation |
| Output | dist | Distance of each interpolated position from the start of the track in [m] |


| interpolate_positions ( samples_per_meter ) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Description | Interpolates positions along the track |
|  | This function interpolates the positions along the track such that it matches the samples per meter specifies in the simulation parameters. |
|  | The channel model operates on a position-based sample grid. That means that the 'channel_builder' generates one CIR for each position on the track. In practise, however, a time continuous evolution of the CIR is often needed. This can be interpolated from the position-based grid, provided that the spatial sample theorem is not violated (i.e. the channel needs to be sampled at least twice per half wave length). In order to do that, enough sample points are needed along the track. INTERPOLATE_POSITIONS calculates the missing sample points and places them equally spaced along the track. This corresponds to a constant speed when evaluating the output CIRs. The required value for 'samples_per_meter' can be obtained from the 'simulation_parameters' object. |
| Input |  |


| set_scenario ( scenario, probability, seg_length_min, seg_length_mu, seg_length_std ) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Description | Assigns random scenarios and creates segments. <br> This function can be used to create segments along the trajectory and assign scenarios to the segments. <br> If there are less than 3 input arguments (i.e. only 'scenario' and/or 'probability' is given), then no <br> segments will be created. <br> To create segments with the default settings call 'set_scenario(scenario, [], [])'. |  |
| Input | scenario | A cell array of scenario-names. Each scenario (synonym for propagation en- <br> vironment) is described by a string (e.g. "MIMOSA_16-25_LOS" or "WIN- <br> NER_SMa_C1_NLOS"). A list of supported scenarios can be obtained by calling <br> 'parameter_set.supported_scenarios'. The scenario parameters are stored in the <br> configuration folder "config" in the QuaDRiGa main folder. The filenames (e.g. <br> "MIMOSA_16-25_LOS.conf") also serves as scenario name. <br> The probability for which the scenario occurs. This parameter must be a vector of <br> the same length as there are scenarios. Probabilities must be specified in between 0 <br> and 1. The sum of the probabilities must be 1. By default (or when 'probability' <br> is set to '[]'), each scenario is equally likely. |
| probability |  |  |
| the minimal segment length in [m]. The default is 10 m. |  |  |


| set_speed (speed ) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Description | Sets a constant speed in $[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}]$ for the entire track. <br> This function fills the 'track.movement_prof ile' field with a constant speed value. This helps to reduce <br> computational overhead since it is possible to reduce the computation time by interpolating the channel <br> coefficients. |
| Input | speed |


| split_segment ( mi, ma, mu, sig, no_check ) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Description | Splits long segments in subsegments of the same type. |  |
| Input | mi | Minimum length of the subsegment in $[\mathrm{m}]$, default: 10 m |
|  | ma | Maximum length of the subsegment in $[\mathrm{m}]$, must be $>2^{*}$ mi, default: 30 m |
|  | mu | Mean length of the subsegment $(\mathrm{mi}<\mathrm{mu}<\mathrm{ma})$, default: 15 m |
|  | sig | Std of the length of the subsegment, default: 5 m |
|  | no_check | Disable parsing of input variables, default: false |


| visualize | Plots the track. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Description |  |

### 2.2.4 Class "layout"

Objects of this class define the network layout of a simulation run. Each network layout has one or more transmitters and one or more receivers. Each transmitter and each receiver need to be equipped with an antenna array which is defined by the array class. In general, we assume that the transmitter is at a fixed position and the receiver is mobile. Thus, each receivers movement is described by a track.

## Properties

| name | Name of the layout |
| :--- | :--- |
| simpar | Handle of a 'simulation_parameters' object. See Section 2.2 .1 |
| no_tx | Number of transmitters (or base stations) |
| no_rx | Number of receivers (or mobile terminals) |
| tx_name | Identifier of each Tx, must be unique |
| tx_position | Position of each Tx in global cartesian coordinates using units of [m] |
| tx_array | Handles of 'array' objects for each Tx. See Section 2.2 .2 |
| rx_name | Identifier of each Tx, must be unique |
| rx_position | Initial position of each Rx (relative to track start) in global cartesian coordinates using units of [m] |
| rx_array | Handles of 'array' objects for each Rx. See Section 2.2 .2 |
| track | Handles of track objects for each Rx. See Section 2.2 .3 |
| pairing | An index-list of links for which channel are created. The first row corresponds to the Tx and the |
| no_links | second row to the Rx. |
|  | Number of links for which channel coefficients are created (read only) |

## Methods

| h_layout = layout ( simpar ) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Description | Creates a new layout object. |
| Input | simpar |


| [h_parset, h_cb] $=$ create_parameter_sets (initialize, check_parfiles ) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Description | Creates 'parameter_set' objects based on layout specification <br> This function processes the data in the 'layout' object. First, all tracks in the layout are split into <br> subtracks. Each subtrack corresponds to one segment. Then, then scenario names are parsed. A <br> 'parameter_set' object is created for each scenario and for each transmitter. For example, if there <br> are two terrestrial BSs, each having urban LOS and NLOS users, then 4 'parameter_set' objects will <br> be created (BS1-LOS, BS2-NLOS, BS2-LOS, and BS2-NLOS). The segments are then assigned to the <br> 'parameter_set' objects. In the last step, the parameter maps are created (see Section 3.1.4). This <br> can be disabled by setting 'initialize = 0'. |
| Input | initialize |
| Check_parfiles | Enables (1, default) or disables (0) the generation of the parameter maps. If <br> you want to adjust the parameters first, use 'initialize = 0', then adjust the <br> parameters in the 'parameter_set' objects and call 'update_parameters' manually. <br> Enables (1, default) or disables (0) the parsing of shortnames and the validity- <br> check for the config-files. This is useful, if you know that the parameters in the <br> files are valid. In this case, this saves some execution time. |
| Output | A matrix of 'parameter_set' objects. Rows correspond to the scenarios, columns <br> correspond to the transmitters. See Section 2.2.5 <br> A vector of 'channel_builder' objects. See Section 2.2.6 |
| h_cb |  |


| h_layout = layout.generate ( 'regular', no_sites, isd, h_array ) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Description | generates a new multicell layout using a regular grid of BS positions. Each BS has three sectors. |  |
| Input | no_sites | the number of sites. This can be be 1,7 or $19-$ resulting in 3,21 or 57 sectors, <br> respectively. <br> the inter-site distance between the BSs in $[\mathrm{m}]$. <br> the antenna array. 'h_array' is for one sector only. It will be rotated to match the <br> sector orientations and copied to all sites. The broadside-direction of the provided <br> antenna must be 0 (facing east). |
| Output | h_layout | The generated layout. |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline par, h_parset \& nerate_par \& s ( overlap, usage, check_parfiles ) \\
\hline Description \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Normally, parameters are handled by objects of the 'parameter_set' class, which are generated by calling 'layout.create_parameter_sets'. Those objects then feed the parameters to the 'channel_builder'. However, this method is rather inflexible when the user wants to manipulate the parameters directly. As an alternative, parameters can be provided in the property 'track.par' of the 'track' class. This allows the user to edit parameters without dealing with the 'parameter_set' objects.} \\
\hline Input \&  \& \begin{tabular}{l}
The length of the overlapping part relative to the segment length. When there are scenario transitions, KF and PG change smoothly during a predefined interval. The length of that interval is a percentage of previous segment. The parameter overlap adjusts this percentage, ranging from 0 (i.e. very hard, step-like change at the scenario boundary) to 1 (very smooth, but long transition). \\
Changes the behavior of the method
\[
\text { usage }=0
\] \\
Deletes all existing parameters from the track.
\[
\text { usage }=1
\] \\
Deletes all existing parameters from the track and generates new ones. Existing LSPs will be overwritten.
\[
\text { usage }=2(\text { default })
\] \\
Keeps existing parameters, but generates missing ones. This is useful when, for example, the effective PG is provided, but the other LSPs are unknown. In this case, the unknown "gaps" are filled with values which are generated from the provided scenario description. \\
check_parfiles \(=0 / 1\) (default: 1\()\) \\
Disables (0) or enables (1) the parsing of shortnames and the validity-check for the config-files. This is useful, if you know that the parameters in the files are valid. In this case, this saves execution time.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Output \& par

h_parset \& | The automatically generated parameters. |
| :--- |
| This cell array contains a parameter structure of the LSPs for each receiver with the following fields: |
| - ds - The delay spread in [s] per segment |
| - kf - The Ricean K-Factor in [dB] per snapshot |
| - pg - The effective path gain in [dB] excluding antenna gains per snapshot |
| - asD - The azimuth angle spread in [deg] per segment at the transmitter |
| - asA - The azimuth angle spread in [deg] per segment at the receiver |
| - esD - The elevation angle spread in [deg] per segment at the transmitter |
| - esA - The elevation angle spread in [deg] per segment at the receiver |
| - xpr - The NLOS cross-polarization in [dB] per segment |
| An identical copy of this variable is assigned to 'track.par'. |
| A matrix of 'parameter_set' objects. Rows correspond to the scenarios, columns correspond to the transmitters. See Section 2.2.5 | <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

## [ h_channel, h_parset, h_cb ] = get_channels ( sampling_rate, check_parfiles )

| Description | Calculate the channel coefficients. <br> This is the most simple way to create the channel coefficients. This function executes all steps that are <br> needed to generate the channel coefficients. Hence, it is not necessary to use use the 'parameter_set' <br> or 'channel_builder' objects. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Input | sampling_rate <br> check_parfiles | channel update rate in [s]. This parameter is only used if a speed profile is provided <br> in the track objects. Default value: $0.01=10 \mathrm{~ms}$ <br> Enables (1, default) or disables (0) the parsing of shortnames and the validity- <br> check for the config-files. This is useful, if you know that the parameters in the <br> files are valid. In this case, this saves some execution time. |
| Output | h_channel <br> h_parset <br> h_cb | A vector channel objects. See Section 2.2.7 <br> A matrix of 'parameter_set' objects. Rows correspond to the scenarios, columns <br> correspond to the transmitters. See Section 2.2.5 <br> A vector of 'channel_builder' objects. See Section 2.2 .6 |


randomize_rx_positions ( max_dist, min_height, max_height, track_length )

| Description | Generates random Rx positions and tracks. <br> Places the users in the layout at random positions. Each user will be assigned a linear track with random <br> direction. The random height of the user terminal will be in between 'min_height' and 'max_height'. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Input | max_dist <br> min_height <br> max_height <br> track_length | the maximum distance from the layout center in $[\mathrm{m}]$. Default is 50 m. <br> the minimum user height in [m]. Default is 1.5 m. <br> the maximum user height in [m]. Default is 1.5 m. <br> the length of the linear track in [m]. Default is 1 m. |


| $[$ pairs, power ] = set_pairing ( method, threshold, tx_power, check_parfiles ) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Description | Determines links for which channel coefficient are generated. <br> This function can be used to automatically determine the links for which channel coefficients should be <br> generated. For example, in a large network there are multiple base stations and mobile terminals. The <br> base stations, however, only serve a small area. It the terminal is far away from this area, it will receive <br> only noise from this particular BS. In this case, the channel coefficients will have very little power and <br> do not need to be calculated. Disabling those links can reduce the computation time and the storage <br> requirements for the channel coefficients significantly. There are several methods to du this which can <br> be selected by the input variable 'method'. |  |
| Methods | 'all' <br> 'power', | Enables the simulation of all links. <br> Calculates the expected received power taking into account the path loss, the <br> antenna patterns, the LOS polarization, and the receiver orientation. If the power <br> of a link is below the 'threshold', it gets deactivated. <br> Same as 'power', but this option also includes the shadow fading. Therefore, the <br> LSPs have to be calculated. LSPs get then stored in 'layout.track.par'. This <br> method is the most accurate. The actual power in the channel coefficients can be <br> up to 6 dB higher due to multipath effects. |
| Input | 'sf' <br> method <br> threshold <br> tx_power | Link selection method. Supported are: 'all', 'power', and 'sf' (see above). <br> If the Rx-power is below the threshold in [dBm], the link gets deactivated. |
| A vector of tx-powers in [dBm] for each transmitter in the layout. This power is |  |  |
| applied to each transmit antenna in the tx-antenna array. By default (if 'tx_power' |  |  |
| is not given), 0 dBm are assumed. |  |  |
| Disables (0) or enables (1, default) the parsing of shortnames and the validity- |  |  |
| check for the config-files. This is useful, if you know that the parameters in the |  |  |
| files are valid. In this case, this saves execution time. |  |  |$|$

pos = set_satellite_pos (rx_latitude, sat_el, sat_az, sat_height, tx_no )

| Description | Calculates the Tx position from a satellite orbit. <br> QuaDRiGas reference coordinate system is on the surface of the earth. In order to use QuaDRiGa <br> for satellite links, the satellite position must be set. Normally, this position is given in azimuth and <br> elevation relative to the users position. This function takes a satellite orbital position and calculates <br> the corresponding transmitter coordinates. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Input | rx_latitude <br> sat_el <br> sat_az <br> sat_height <br> tx_no | The receiver latitude coordinate on the earth surface in [deg]. Default is 52.5. <br> Satellite elevation in [deg]. Default is 31.6. <br> Satellite azimuth in [deg] given in compass coordinates. Default is 180 (south). <br> Satellite height in [km] relative to earth surface. Default is 35786 (GEO orbit). <br> The 'tx_no' in the layout object for which the position should be set. Default is 1. |
| Output | pos | The satellite positions in the metric QuaDRiGa coordinate system. |


| visualize |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Description | Plots the layout. |

### 2.2.5 Class "parameter_set"

This class implements all functions that are necessary to generate and manage correlated LSPs. It also provides interfaces for the channel builder. LSPs are the shadow fading, the Ricean K-Factor, the RMS delay spread and the four angles (elevation and azimuth at the transmitter and receiver). This class implements some core functions of the channel model and the user does normally not need to interact with it. However, if parameter tables need to be changed, here is the place to do so.

## Properties

| name simpar | Name of the 'parameter_set' object |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Handle of a 'simulation_parameters' object. See Section 2.2.1 |
| tx_array | Handles of 'array' objects for each Tx. See Section 2.2.2 |
| rx_array | Handles of 'array' objects for each Rx. See Section 2.2.2 |
| rx_track | Handles of 'track' objects for each Rx. See Section 2.2.3 |
| scenario | Name of the scenario (text string) |
| scenpar | The parameter table. See Section 2.4 |
| plpar | Parameters for the path loss. See Section 2.4 |
| no_positions | Number of receiver positions associated to this 'parameter_set' object |
|  | Note that each segment in longer tracks is considered a new Rx position. |
| positions | The list of initial positions for which LSPs are generated |
| tx_position | This variable is obtained from the properties 'track.initial_position' and 'layout.rx_position' The transmitter position obtained from the corresponding 'layout.tx_position' |
| ds | The RMS delay spread in [s] for each receiver position |
| kf | The Ricean K-Factor [linear scale] for each receiver position |
| sf | The shadow fading [linear scale] for each receiver position |
| asD | The azimuth spread of departure in [deg] for each receiver position |
| asA | The azimuth spread of arrival in [deg] for each receiver position |
| esD | The elevation spread of departure in [deg] for each receiver position |
| esA | The elevation spread of arrival in [deg] for each receiver position |
| xpr | The cross polarization ratio [linear scale] for each receiver position |
| parameter_maps | The seven large-scale parameter maps in logarithmic scale |
|  | Rows correspond to the $y$-coordinate and columns to the $x$-coordinate. The order of the maps (third dimension) is: DS, KF, SF, asD, asA, esD, esA. All values are logarithmic. |
| map_extension | Distance in [m] that is added to each direction when generating maps |
| map_extent | Extent of the mpas in x - and y -direction [xmin, xmax; ymin, ymax ] in [m] |
| map_size | Number of map pixels in x and y -direction [ $\mathrm{n}_{\text {_x_samples; }} \mathrm{n}_{-} \mathrm{y}$ _samples] |
| samples_per_meter | Resolution of the decorrelation maps in [samples $/ \mathrm{m}$ ] |
|  | This value is obtained from 'simulation_parameters.map_resolution' |
| map_valid | Indicates if maps contain valid data |
| LSP_xcorr_matrix | The Cross-correlation matrix for the LSPs |
| LSP_matrix_isOK | Determines if the XCorr-matrix is positive definite |
| map_x_coord | The x-coordinates in [m] for each pixel of the maps |
| map_y_coord | The y -coordinates in [m] for each pixel of the maps |

## Methods

| h_parset = parameter_set ( scenario, positions, check_parfiles ) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Description | Creates a new 'parameter_set' object. |  |
| Input | scenario | The scenario name for which the parameters should be loaded. A list of supported <br> scenarios can be obtained by calling 'parameter_set.supported_scenarios'. <br> The list of initial positions for which LSPs should be generated. <br> check_parfiles $=0 / 1$ (default: 1) <br> Disables (0) or enables (1) the parsing of shortnames and the validity-check for the <br> config-files. This is useful, if you know that the parameters in the files are valid. <br> In this case, this saves execution time. |
| positions |  |  |
| check_parfiles | A 'parameter_set' object. |  |


| Description | A modified version of the standard physical copy function <br> While the standard copy command creates new physical objects for each element of obj (in case obj is <br> an array of object handles), copy_objects checks whether there are object handles pointing to the same <br> object and keeps this information. |
| :--- | :--- |


| angles $=$ get |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | Calculates the departure- and arrival angles of the LOS path between Tx and Rx. |  |
| Output | angles | A matrix containing the four angles: <br> - Azimuth of Departure at the Tx (AoD, row 1) <br> - Azimuth of Arrival at the Rx (AoA, row 2) <br> - Elevation of Departure at the Tx (EoD, row 3) <br> - Elevation of Arrival at the Rx (EoA, row 4) <br> The number of columns corresponds to the number of rx-positions. |


| $[$ h_channel, h_cb $]$ | get_channels |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Description | Calculate the channel coefficients. |  |
| Output | h_channel <br> h_cb | A vector channel objects. See Section 2.2.7 <br> A vector of 'channel_builder' objects. See Section 2.2.6 |


| dist $=$ get_distances |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Description | Calculates the distances between Rx and Tx. |  |
| Output | dist | A vector containing the distances between each Rx and the Tx in $[\mathrm{m}]$. |


| $[$ pl, scale_sf ] = get_pl ( evaltrack, i_mobile ) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Description | Calculates the path loss. The path loss model is specified in the configuration files and in <br> 'parameter_set.plpar'. |  |
| Input | evaltrack | A 'track' object for which the PL should be calculated. If 'evaltrack' is not <br> given, then the path loss is calculated for each Rx position. Otherwise the path <br> loss is calculated for the positions provided in 'evaltrack'. <br> The Rx-index. If it is not given, the PL is evaluated for all Rx positions. If <br> 'evaltrack' is given, and if 'simulation_parameters.drifting_precision' is set <br> to 3, then this parameter is required to select the Rx antenna array (default = 1). |
| Output | pl <br> scale_sf | The path loss in [dB] <br> In some scenarios, the SF might change with increasing distance between Tx and <br> Rx. Hence, the shadow fading provided by the parameter map has to be changed <br> accordingly. The second output parameter "scale_sf" can be used for scaling the <br> (logarithmic) SF value from the map. |


| [sf,kf ] = get_sf_profile ( evaltrack, i_mobile ) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Description | This function returns the shadow fading and the K-factor along the given track. This function is mainly <br> used by the channel builder class to scale the output channel coefficients. The profile is calculated by <br> using the data in the correlation maps and interpolating it to the positions in the given track. Increasing <br> the resolution of the maps also increases the resolution of the profile. |  |
| Input | evaltrack <br> i_mobile | A 'track' object for which the SF and KF should be interpolated. <br> If 'simulation_parameters.drifting_precision' is set to 3, then this parameter <br> is required to select the Rx antenna array. |
| Output | sf <br> kf | The shadow fading [linear scale] along the track. <br> The K-factor [linear scale] along the track. |

set_par ( name, value )

| Description | Sets the parameters of all objects in 'parameter_set' arrays. <br> This function sets all values of the parameter specified by 'name' of the 'parameter_set'-array to the <br> given value. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Example: 'set_par( 'ds', 1e-9 ) sets all ds-values to 1 ns. |  |


| [scenarios, file_names, file_dir ] = parameter_set.supported_scenarios (parse_shortnames ) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Description | Returns a list of supported scenarios. |  |
| Input | parse_shortnames | This optional parameter can disable (0) the shortname parsing. This is significantly <br> faster. By default shortname parsing is enabled (1). |
| Output | scenarios <br> file_names <br> file_dir | A cell array containing the scenario names. Those can be used in 'track.scenario' <br> The names of the configuration files for each scenario. <br> The directory where each file was found. You can place configuration file also in <br> you current working directory. |


| update_parameters ( force ) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Description | Generates the LSP maps and updates the parameters for all terminals. <br> This function calculates correlated large scale parameters for each user position. Those parameters are needed by the channel builder class to calculate initial parameters for each track or segment which are then evolved into time varying channels. <br> By default, 'update_parameters' reads the values given in the 'track' objects of the 'layout'. If there are no values given or if parts of the values are missing, the correlation maps are generated to extract the missing parameters. |
| Input | force $\quad$Changes the behavior of the function. <br> force $=0$ (default) <br> Tries to read the parameters from 'layout.track.par'. If they are not provided <br> or it they are incomplete, they are completed with values from the LSP maps. If <br> the maps are invalid (e.g. because they have not been generated yet), new maps <br> are created. <br> force = 1 <br> Creates new maps and reads the LSPs from those maps. Values from <br> 'layout.track.par' are ignored. Note that the parameters 'pg' and 'kf' will still <br> be taken from 'layout.track.par' when generating channel coefficients. <br> force = 2 <br> Creates dummy data for the maps and the LSPs. Any existing maps will <br> be deleted. Data and maps will be declared as invalid and the next time <br> when 'update_parameters' is called, new parameters are generated. Values in <br> 'layout.track.par' will NOT be affected. |

### 2.2.6 Class "channel_builder"

This class implements all functions that are needed to generate the channel coefficients. It thus implements the core components of the channel model. The class holds all the input variables as properties. It's main function 'get_channels' then generates the coefficients. The procedure is summarized as follows:

The channel builder first generates a set of random clusters around each receiver. This is done by drawing random variables for the delay, the power and the departure and arrival angles for each cluster. Each cluster thus represents the origin of a reflected (and scattered) signal. The clusters are then represented as taps in the final CIR. The random variables fit the distributions and correlations defined by the 'parameter_set' object.

Next, antenna dependent parameters are extracted for each user. Those depend on the position of the terminal, its orientation and the equipped antennas. The polarization rotation of the NLOS taps is modeled by a random variable which fits to the distribution defined by the 'parameter_set'. The LOS polarization is calculated from the geometric orientation of the antennas. A core function here is the interpolation of the antenna patterns which results in a specific H and V value for each subpath.

The core function then generates the coefficients themselves. This is done for each antenna element and for each snapshot separately and also includes the Doppler shift of each subpath. Finally, the K-factor and the shadow fading are applied and a all the data is returned as an 'channel' object.

## Properties

| name | Name of the 'channel_builder' object |
| :---: | :---: |
| par | The 'parameter_set' object for this channel builder |
| taus | The initial delays for each path in [s]. Rows correspond to the MTs, columns to the paths. |
| pow | The normalized initial power (squared average amplitude) for each path. Rows correspond to the MTs, columns to the paths. The sum over all columns must be 1 . |
| AoD | The initial azimuth of departure angles for each path in [rad]. |
| AoA | The initial azimuth of arrival angles for each path in [rad]. |
| EoD | The initial elevation of departure angles for each path in [rad]. |
| EoA | The initial elevation of departure angles for each path in [rad]. |
| xpr | The initial cross polarization power ratio in $[\mathrm{dB}]$ for each sub-path. The dimensions correspond to the MT, the path number, and the sub-path number. |
| pin | The initial phases in [rad] for each sub-path. |
| kappa | The phase offset angle for the circular XPR in [rad]. The dimensions correspond to the MT, the path number, and the sub-path number. |
| random_pol | Random phasors for the WINNER polarization coupling method. The dimensions correspond to polarization matrix index '[ 13 ; 24 ]', the subpath number, and the MT. |
| subpath_coupling | A random index list for the mutual coupling of subpaths at the Tx and Rx. The dimensions correspond to the subpath index (1-20), the angle (AoD, AoA, EoD, EoA), the path number and the MT. |

## Methods

| h_cb = channel_builder ( h_parset ) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Description | Creates a new 'channel_builder' object. |
| Input | h_parset |
| Output | h_cb |
| h_channel = get_channels  <br> Description Generates the channeter_set' object. <br> Output h_channel An array of 'channel' objects. |  |


| h_channel = | _builder | hannels ( h_parset ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | Generates channel coefficients for the LOS path only. <br> This function generates static coefficients for the LOS path only. This includes the following properties: <br> - antenna patterns <br> - orientation of the Rx (if provided) <br> - polarization rotation for the LOS path <br> - plane-wave approximation of the phase <br> - path loss <br> - shadow fading <br> No further features of QuaDRiGa are used (i.e. no drifting, spherical waves, time evolution, multipath fading etc.). This function can thus be used to acquire quick previews of the propagation conditions for a given layout. |  |
| Input | h_parset | A 'parameter_set' object (see Section 2.2.5). |
| Output | h_channel | A 'channel' object (see Section 2.2.7). The output contains one coefficient for each position in 'h_parset.position'. |

### 2.2.7 Class "channel"

Objects of this class are the output of the channel model. They are created by the 'channel_builder'. By default, channel coefficients are provided in time domain, as a list of delays and complex-valued amplitudes. However, this class also implements certain methods to postprocess the channel data. Those include:

- Transformation into frequency domain
- Interpolation in time domain (to change the terminal speed and sampling rate)
- Combining channel traces into longer segments (including birth and death of clusters)


## Properties

| name | Name of the 'channel' object. <br> This string is a unique identifier of the 'channel' object. The 'channel_builder' creates one channel object for each MT, each Tx and each segment. They are further grouped by scenarios (propagation environments). The string consists of four parts separated by an underscore ' ,'. Those are: <br> - The scenario name from 'track. scenario' <br> - The transmitter name from 'layout.tx_name' <br> - The receiver name from 'layout.rx_name' <br> - The segment number <br> After 'channel.merge' has been called, the name string consists of: <br> - The transmitter name from 'layout.tx_name' <br> - The receiver name from 'layout.rx_name' |
| :---: | :---: |
| version | Version number of the QuaDRiGa release that was used to create the 'channel' object. |
| individual_delays | Indicates if the path delays are identical on each MIMO link (0) or if each link has a different path delay (1). |
| coeff | The complex-valued channel coefficients for each path. The indices of the 4-D tensor are: [ Rx-Antenna, Tx-Antenna, Path, Snapshot ] |
| delay | The delays for each path. <br> There are two different options. If the delays are identical on the MIMO links, i.e. 'individual_delays $=0$ ', then 'delay' is a 2-D matrix with dimensions [Path, Snapshot ]. If the delays are different on the MIMO links, then 'delay' is a 4-D tensor with dimensions [ Rx-Antenna, Tx-Antenna , Path , Snapshot ]. |
| initial_position | The snapshot number for which the initial LSPs have been generated. Normally, this is the first snapshot. However, if the user trajectory consists of more than one segment, then 'initial_position' points to the snapshot number where the current segment starts. For example: If 'initial_position' is 100, then snapshots 1-99 are overlapping with the previous segment. |
| tx_position | Position of each Tx in global cartesian coordinates using units of [m]. |
| rx_position | The receiver position global cartesian coordinates using units of [m] for each snapshot. |
| no_rx <br> no_tx <br> no_path <br> no_snap | Number of receive elements (read only) <br> Number of transmit elements (read only) <br> Number of paths (read only) <br> Number of snapshots (read only) |

## Methods

| h_channel = channel ( Ccoeff, Cdelay, Cinitial_position ) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Description | Creates a new 'channe1' object. |  |
| Input | Ccoeff <br> Cdelay <br> Cinitial_position | The complex-valued channel coefficients for each path. <br> The delays for each path. <br> The snapshot number for which the initial LSPs have been generated. |
| Output | h_channel | A 'channel' object. |


| freq_response $=\mathbf{f r}$ ( bandwidth, carriers, i_snapshot ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | Transforms the channel into frequency domain and returns the frequency response. |  |
| Input | bandwidth carriers | The baseband bandwidth in [Hz]. |
|  |  | The carrier positions. There are two options: |
|  |  | 1. Specify the total number of carriers. In this case, 'carriers' a scalar natural number $>0$. The carriers are then equally spaced over the bandwidth. |
|  |  | 2. Specify the pilot positions. In this case, 'carriers' is a vector of carrier positions. The carrier positions are given relative to the bandwidth where ' 0 ' is the begin of the spectrum and ' 1 ' is the end. For example, if a 5 MHz channel should be sampled at $0,2.5$ and 5 MHz , then 'carriers' must be set to $[0,0.5,1]$. |
|  | i_snapshot | The snapshot numbers for which the frequency response should be calculated. By default, i.e. if 'i_snapshot' is not given, all snapshots are processed. |
| Output | freq_response | The complex-valued channel coefficients for each carrier in frequency domain. The indices of the 4-D tensor are: <br> [Rx-Antenna, Tx-Antenna, Carrier-Index, Snapshot] |


| $\mathrm{c}=$ interpolate( dist, track_length, method ) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Description | Interpolates the channel coefficients and delays. <br> The channel builder creates one snapshot for each position that is listed in the track object. When <br> the channel sampling theorem is not violated (i.e. the sample density is $\geq$ 2), then the channel can be <br> interpolated to any other position on the track. This can be used e.g. to emulate arbitrary movements <br> along the track. <br> For more information see 'track.movement_profile', 'track. interpolate_movement', or the tutorial <br> "Applying Varying Speeds (Channel Interpolation)" in Section A.6. |  |
| Input | dist <br> track_length <br> method | A vector containing distance values on the track. The distance is measured in [m] <br> relative to the beginning of the track. <br> The total length of the track in [m]. <br> Selects the interpolation algorithm. The default is linear interpolation. <br> Optional are: <br> - linear - Linear interpolation (optimized for speed) <br> - spline - Cubic spline interpolation of the channel coefficients and piecewise <br> cubic hermite polynomial interpolation for the delays |
| Output | c | A 'channel' object containing the interpolated coefficients and delays for each <br> entry in 'dist'. |


| c = merge( overlap, optimize, verbose ) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Description | Combines channel segments into a continuous time evolution channel. <br> The channel merger implements the continuous time evolution with smooth transitions between seg- <br> ments. Each segment of a track is split in two parts: an overlapping area with the previous segment <br> and an exclusive part with no overlapping. Each segment is generated independently by the channel <br> builder. However, the distance dependent autocorrelation of the large scale parameters was considered <br> when the parameters were drawn from the corresponding statistics. <br> Transition from segment to segment is carried out by replacing taps of the previous segment by the <br> taps of the current segment, one by one. The modeling of the birth/death process is done as published <br> in the documentation of the WIM2 channel model. The route between adjacent channel segments is <br> split into sub-intervals equal to the minimum number of taps in both overlapping segments. During <br> each sub-interval the power of one old tap ramps down and one new tap ramps up. Power ramps are <br> modeled by a modified sinus function to allow smooth transitions. <br> Taps from the old and new segments are coupled based on their power. If the number of clusters is dif- <br> ferent in the channel segments, the weakest clusters are ramped up or down without a counterpart from <br> the new/old segment. The merging is only done for the NLOS components since the LOS component <br> has a deterministic behavior. The LOS component is thus just scaled in power. |
| overlap | The length of the overlapping part relative to the segment length. It can have <br> values in between 0 (no overlap) and 1 (ramp along the entire segment). A value of <br> 0 disables the merging process and the channel segments are simply concatenated. <br> A value of 1 constantly merges the channels. The default setting is 0.5. |
| Input | The channel merger tries to automatically optimize the pairing of the taps (i.e. <br> one tap if the old segment ramps down and one of the new ramps up). This is <br> enabled by default, but it is computing intensive. For quicker results, it can be <br> disabled by setting 'optimize' to 0. |
| optimize |  |
| Enables (1, default) or disables (0) the progress bar. |  |

### 2.3 Data Flow

The data flow of the QuaDRiGa channel model is depicted in Fig. 4. This figure shows how each of the processing steps, which are described in detail in the following sections, are linked together. The lines show, which parameters are exchanged and how often they are updated. Black lines are for parameters that are either provided by the model users or which are given in the parameter table. Those values are constant. Blue values are updated once per segment and red values are updated once per snapshot.


Figure 4: QuaDRiGa Data Flow

### 2.4 Scenario Specific Parameters

The large scale parameters (LSPs) are defined by the parameter files which can be found in the folder 'config' of the QuaDRiGa-Core 'source' folder. The parameters are processed as follows:

- The states and segments are identified by a name. Examples are

S1 = 'MIMOSA_10-45_LOS'; - parameter set selected for "good state"
S2 = 'MIMOSA_10-45_NLOS'; - parameter set selected for "bad state"

- The name selects the related configuration file. For the given example the files MIMOSA_10-45_LOS.conf and
MIMOSA_10-45_NLOS.conf are selected

Table 9: Parameter sets provided together with the standard software

| LOSonly | One LOS Path only, no Shadow-Fading, no Path-Loss |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { WINNER_UMa_C2_LOS } \\ & \text { WINNER_UMa_C2_NLOS } \end{aligned}$ | WINNER Urban Macrocell <br> For typical terrestrial base stations deployed above rooftop in densely populated urban areas. The max. cell radius is about 1 km . |
| WINNER_UMi_B1_LOS WINNER_UMi_B1_NLOS | WINNER Urban Microcell <br> For typical terrestrial pico-base stations deployed below rooftop in densely populated urban areas. The max. cell radius is about 200 m . |
| WINNER_SMa_C1_LOS WINNER_SMa_C1_NLOS | WINNER Sub-Urban Macrocell <br> For typical terrestrial base stations deployed above rooftop in sub-urban areas. The max. cell radius is about 10 km . |
| WINNER_Indoor_A1_LOS WINNER_Indoor_A1_NLOS | WINNER Indoor Hotspot For typical indoor deployments such as WiFi or femto-cells. |
| WINNER_UMa2Indoor_C4_LOS WINNER_UMa2Indoor_C4_NLOS | WINNER Urban Macrocell to Indoor <br> For users within buildings that are connected to a terrestrial base station deployed above rooftop in densely populated urban areas. |
| WINNER_UMi2Indoor_B4_LOS WINNER_UMi2Indoor_B4_NLOS | WINNER Urban Microcell to Indoor <br> For users within buildings that are connected to terrestrial pico-base stations deployed below rooftop in densely populated urban areas. |
| BERLIN_UMa_LOS BERLIN_UMa_NLOS | Terrestrial Urban Macrocell parameters extracted from measurements in Berlin, Germany. |
| MIMOSA_10-45_LOS MIMOSA_10-45_NLOS | MIMOSA Satellite to Mobile Parameters for Urban Propagation Elevation range from 10 to $45^{\circ}$. Parameters were extracted from terrestrial measurement using a high-attitude platform. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIMOSA_16-25_LOS } \\ & \text { MIMOSA_16-25_NLOS } \end{aligned}$ | MIMOSA Satellite to Mobile Parameters for Urban Propagation Elevation range from 16 to $25^{\circ}$. Parameters were extracted from terrestrial measurement using a high-attitude platform. |
| MIMOSA_25-35_LOS MIMOSA_25-35_NLOS | MIMOSA Satellite to Mobile Parameters for Urban Propagation Elevation range from 25 to $35^{\circ}$. Parameters were extracted from terrestrial measurement using a high-attitude platform. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIMOSA_35-45_LOS } \\ & \text { MIMOSA_35-45_NLOS } \end{aligned}$ | MIMOSA Satellite to Mobile Parameters for Urban Propagation Elevation range from 35 to $45^{\circ}$. Parameters were extracted from terrestrial measurement using a high-attitude platform. |

### 2.4.1 Description of the Parameter Table

The QuaDRiGa channel model is a generic model. That means, that it uses the same method for generating channel coefficients in different environments. E.g. the principal approach is exactly the same in a cellular network and in a satellite network. The only difference is the parametrization for both cases. Each environment is described by 55 individual parameters. These parameters are stored in configuration files that can be found in the subfolder named "config" in the main channel model folder. The parameters and values can be describes as follows:

## - No. Clusters

This value describes the number of clusters. Each cluster is the source of a reflected or scattered wave arriving at the receiver. Typically there are less clusters in a LOS scenario then in a NLOS scenario. Note that the number of clusters directly influences the time needed to calculate the coefficients.

## - Path Loss (PL)

A common path loss (PL) model for cellular systems is the log-distance model

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{PL}_{[\mathrm{dB}]}=A \cdot \log _{10} d+B \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are scenario-specific coefficients. The path-loss exponent $A$ typically varies between 2 and 4 , depending on the propagation conditions, the base station height and other influences. They are typically determined by measurements. $d$ is the distance (in units of meters) between the transmitter and the receiver. Note that in Tab. ??, a factor of 10 is applied to the PL-exponent. In other environments such as in satellite systems, the PL does not depend on the distance but has a constant value. In this case, $A$ would be 0 .

## - Shadow Fading (SF)

Shadow fading occurs when an obstacle gets positioned between the wireless device and the signal transmitter. This interference causes significant reduction in signal strength because the wave is shadowed or blocked by the obstacle. It is modeled as log-normal distributed with two parameters: The standard deviation $\sigma$ defines the width of the distribution, i.e. the power value (in dB ) above or below the distance dependent PL and the decorrelation distance $\lambda$. This parameter defines how fast the SF varies when the terminal moves through the environment. E.g. a value of 87 means that when the terminal moves 87 m in any given direction, then the correlation of the value at this distance with the value at the initial position is $e^{-1}=0.37$.

## - Delay Spread (DS)

The root-mean-square (RMS) delay spread is probably the most important single measure for the delay time extent of a multipath radio channel. The RMS delay spread is the square root of the second central moment of the power delay profile and is defined to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\tau}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{P_{i}} \cdot \sum_{l=1}^{L} P_{l} \cdot\left(\tau_{l}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{1}{P_{i}} \cdot \sum_{l=1}^{L} P_{l} \cdot \tau_{l}\right)^{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $P_{i}$ is the total received power, $P_{l}$ the cluster-power and $\tau_{l}$ the cluster delay.
In order to generate the coefficients, QuaDRiGa has to generate delays for each of the multipath clusters. I.e. the total lengths of scattered paths have to be defined. This generation of delays is governed by value of the DS in a specific environment. The DS is assumed to be log-normal distributed and defined by two parameters: Its median value $\mu$ and its STD $\sigma$. Thus, a values of DS $_{\mu}$ of -6.69 corresponds to 204 ns. $\sigma$ then defines the range of possible values. E.g. $\mathrm{DS}_{\sigma}=0.3$ leads to typical values in the range of $10^{-6.69-0.3}=102 \mathrm{~ns}$ to $10^{-6.69+0.3}=407 \mathrm{~ns}$. As for the shadow fading, the decorrelation distance $\mathrm{DS}_{\lambda}$ defines how fast the DS varies when the terminal moves through the environment.

The delay spread $\sigma_{\tau}$ is calculated from both, the delays $\tau_{l}$ and the path powers $P_{l}$. I.e. lager delay spreads $\sigma_{\tau}$ can either be achieved by increasing the values of $\tau_{l}$ and keeping $P_{l}$ fixed or adjusting $P_{l}$ and keeping $\tau_{l}$ fixed. In order to avoid this ambiguity, an additional proportionality factor (delay factor) $r_{\tau}$ is introduced to scale the width of the distribution of $\tau_{l} . r_{\tau}$ is calculated from measurement data. See Sec. 3.2.1 for more details.

## - Ricean K-Factor (KF)

Rician fading occurs when one of the paths, typically a line of sight signal, is much stronger than
the others. The KF $K$ is the ratio between the power in the direct path and the power in the other, scattered, paths. As for the DS, the KF is assumed to be log-normal distributed. The distribution is defined by its median value $\mathrm{KF}_{\mu}$ and its $\operatorname{STD} \mathrm{KF}_{\sigma}$. The decorrelation distance $\mathrm{KF}_{\lambda}$ defines how fast the KF varies when the terminal moves through the environment.

## - Angular Spread

The angular spread defines the distribution of the departure- and arrival angles of each multipath component in 3D space seen by the transmitter and receiver, respectively. Each path gets assigned an azimuth angle in the horizontal plane and an elevation angle in the vertical plane. Thus we have four values for the angular spread:

1. Azimuth spread of Departure (AsD)
2. Azimuth spread of Arrival (AsA)
3. Elevation spread of Departure (EsD)
4. Elevation spread of Arrival (EsA)

Each one of them is assumed to be log-normal distributed. Hence, we need the parameters $\mu, \sigma$ and $\lambda$ to define the distributions. These spreads are translated into specific angles for each multipath cluster. Additionally, we assume that clusters are the source of several multipath components that are not resolvable in the delay domain. Thus, these sub-paths do not have specific delays, but they have different departure- and arrival angles. Thus, we need an additional parameter $c_{\phi}$ for each of the four angles that scales the dimensions of the clusters in 3D-space. See Sec. 3.2.2 for details.

## - Cross-polarization Ratio (XPR)

The XPR defines how the polarization changes for a multipath component. I.e. the initial polarization of a path is defined by the transmit antenna. However, for the NLOS components, the transmitted signal undergoes some diffraction, reflection or scattering before reaching the receiver.

The XPR (in dB ) is assumed to be normal distributed where $\mu$ and $\sigma$ define the distribution. We translate the XPR in a polarization rotation angle which turns the polarization direction. A XPR value where a value of $+\operatorname{Inf}$ means that the axis remains the same for all NLOS paths. I.e. vertically polarized waves remain vertically polarized after scattering. On the other hand, a value of -Inf dB means that the polarization is turned by $90^{\circ}$. In case of 0 dB , the axis is turned by $45^{\circ}$, i.e. the power of a vertically polarized wave is split equally into a H - and V component.

The following table gives an overview of the parameters in the config files. They get converted into a structure 'parameter_set.scenpar'.

| Parameter | Unit or type | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| plpar.model <br> (PL_model) | Text string | Selects the model for average path loss. For satellite applications the pathloss is <br> defined by the satellite distance and is assumed to be constant for the reception <br> are. For terrestrial cases pathloss models like "Hata" or others (e.g. WINNER <br> pathloss models) can be selected. |
| plpar.A <br> (PL_A) | dB | For satellite applications this parameter defines the average path loss and is equiv- <br> alent to the "mu" of the lognormal distribution of the shadow fading. |
| Parameters in structure 'parameter_set.scenpar' |  |  |
| Large-Scale Parameters | Those parameters describe how the large-scale parameters vary within a propaga- <br> tion environment. |  |
| SF_sigma <br> SF_lambda | dB <br> meter | Those parameter describe the slow fading implemented as Lognormal distribu- <br> tion and filtered (see "map" generation) according to the de-correlation distance <br> "lambda". |
| KF_mu <br> KF_sigma <br> KF_lambda | dB <br> dB <br> meter | Statistical properties of the K-factor |


| xpr_mu <br> xpr_sigma | dB <br> dB | The XPR is defined by the XPR-Antenna (see antenna pattern) and the XPR <br> "environment". The parameters describe the statistical properties of the XPR <br> "environment". For the XPR, no correlation map is calculated and the XPR is <br> updated once per segment. Note: For the LOS component no XPR environment is <br> assumed, only the XPR antenna is applied. Hence the overall XPR depends also <br> highly on the K-factor. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DS_mu <br> DS_sigma <br> DS_lambda | $\log 10([\mathrm{~s}])$ <br> $\log 10([\mathrm{~s}])$ | Statistical properties of the delay spread. <br> meter |

### 2.4.2 Adding New Scenarios

The scenario parameters are set in "parameter_set.scenpar". Here, you also have the option to change individual parameters by assigning new values. The scenario "UMal", for example, uses by default 8 clusters. When the simulations should be done with 15 clusters, one can change the settings by

```
p = parameter_set('BERLIN_UMa_LOS'); % New parameter set
p.scenpar.NumClusters = 15; % Set new number of clusters
```

A list of currently supported scenarios is generated by:

```
parameter_set.supported_scenarios
```

The default settings of those scenarios are stored in config files which are located in the "config"-folder of the QuaDRiGa source path. The "UMal" config file for example looks like this:

```
% Config File for scenario "UMal"
% WINNER + Urban Macro LOS
% See: CELTIC / CP5-026 D5.3: WINNER+ Final Channel Models
% and: IST-4-027756 WINNER II D1.1.2 v.1.1: WINNER II Channel Models
%
% Stephan Jaeckel
% Fraunhofer Heinrich Hertz Institute
% Wireless Communication and Networks
% Einsteinufer 37, 10587 Berlin, Germany
% e-mail: stephan.jaeckel@hhi.fraunhofer.de
NumClusters = 8
r_DS = 2.5
SF_sigma= = 5
SF_lambda= = 45
LNS_ksi= = 3
KF_mu = 7
KF_sigma = 3
KF_lambda= =12
DS_mu = -7.39
DS_sigma = 0.63
DS_lambda= = 40
AS_D_mu = 1
AS_D_sigma = 0.25
AS_D_lambda=15
PerClusterAS_D = 6
AS_A_mu = 1.7
AS_A_sigma}=0.1
AS_A_lambda = 15
PerClusterAS_A = 12
ES_D_mu = 0.7
ES_D_sigma=0.2
ES_D_lambda = 15 % D5.3 pp.73
PerClusterES_D = 3
ES_A_mu = 0.95
ES_A_sigma=0.16
ES_A_lambda = 15 % D5.3 pp. 73
PerClusterES_A = 7
xpr_mu = 8
xpr_sigma=4
% Adjustments have been made to keep xcorr-matrix positive definite
asD_ds=0.4
asA_ds = 0.7 % 0.8
asA_sf = -0.5
asD_sf = -0.4 % 0.5
```

```
ds_sf = -0.4
asD_asA = 0.3
asD_kf = 0.1
asA_kf = -0.2
ds_kf = -0.4
sf_kf = 0.3
esD_ds = -0.4 % -0.5
esD_asD = 0.4 % 0.5
esA_sf = -0.8
esA_asA = 0.4
%A*\operatorname{log}10d+B+C*log10 f + D * log10 hBS + E* log10 hMS
% Two different values (first before breakpoint, last after breakpoint)
% Different SF coefficients
PL_model = winner_los
PL_A1 = 26
PL_B1 = 25
PL_C1 = 20
PL_D1 = 0
PL_E1 = 0
PL_sig1 = 4
PL_A2 = 40
PL_B2 = 9.27
PL_C2 = 6
PL_D2 = -14
PL_E2 = -14
PL_sig2 = 6
```

You can create you own scenario by editing this file and saving it under a new filename in the "config"Folder. The file ending must be ".conf". The filename then is also the scenario name and the settings can be accessed from inside MATLAB as described above.

## 3 Technical Documentation

An overview of the modeling steps is given in Fig. 5. The user provides the network layout, i.e. the positions of the BSs, antenna configurations, downtilts, the positions and trajectories of the MTs and the propagation scenarios. The channel coefficients are then calculated in seven steps which are described in sections 2.4 and 3.2.

Much of the modeling approach is inspired by the WINNER model [KMH $\left.{ }^{+} 07\right]$. Major extensions concerning the time evolution have been made in steps D and G. In order to make those extension work properly, changes were also required in the other parts. For example, time evolution requires a more detailed description of the mobility of the terminals which is solved by assigning tracks, i.e. ordered lists of positions, to the MTs. Updates of the channel coefficients are then triggered at fixed snapshot positions.

The WINNER model only allows constant speeds via a continuous rotation of the phases of the MPCs. However, realistic scenarios would also include accelerations, decelerations and MTs with different speeds, e.g. pedestrian and vehicular users. However, to minimize the computational overhead and memory requirements, we generate the channel coefficients at a constant sample rate which fulfills the sampling theorem, i.e. updates are triggered at least twice per half wave length. A time-series for varying speeds is then obtained by interpolating the coefficients in a separate postprocessing step.


Figure 5: Essential steps for the calculation of time evolving channel coefficients

### 3.1 Tracks, Scenarios, Antennas and Network Layout

### 3.1.1 Drops and Segments

The concept of segments and drops is already described in $\left[\mathrm{KMH}^{+} 07\right]$. See also Fig. 6. Channel segments represent a period of quasi-stationarity during which probability distributions of low-level parameters are not changed noticeably. During this period all large-scale parameters are practically constant. To be physically feasible, the channel segment must be confined in distance. The size of the segments depends on the environment, but it can be at maximum a few dozen meters. The decorrelation distances of different parameters describe roughly the proper size of the channel segment. These decorrelation distances can be extracted from measurements and are scenario dependent.

In the WINNER terminology, a drop is defined as a segment with zero-length. In order to extend the length of a drop, short-term time-variability of some channel parameters is added within the drops. This method is known as drifting and was first described in [BHS05]. The current model uses drifting to enable time continuous simulations. Here, two types of drifting need to distinguished:

1. Drifting of Path Delays and Angles and Polarization

It is assumed that the positions of scatterers are fixed during a drop. As a consequence, the angle of departures (AoDs) of the scatters as seen from the BS do not change, with the exception of the LOS AoD. However, based on the fixed-geometry assumption, the angle of arrivals (AoAs) of the scatters


Figure 6: WINNER system level approach showing several segments (drops). Source: $\left[\mathrm{KMH}^{+} 07\right]$
as seen from the MT as well as the subpath delays change during a drop due to the MT movement. Similarly, the LOS directions between BS and MT and the polarization characteristics vary in time. Implementation details of the drifting can be found in Section 3.2.3.
2. Drifting of Shadow Fading and K-Factor

The time-evolution of shadow fading is determined by its spatial autocorrelation function. References show that an exponential function fits well and the drifting can thus be modeled by a first order autoregressive process. This is realized by calculating maps containing the correlated large scale parameters. The drifting is then implemented by reading the map values along the segments track and interpolating the data.

### 3.1.2 Sample Density vs. Sample Rate

If you consider moving receivers, choosing the right sampling rate depends directly on the Doppler spectrum. In order to successfully reconstruct the impulse response, you need a sample rate $f_{T}$ fulfilling the sampling theorem.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{T} \geq 2 B_{D}=4 \max \left|\Delta f_{D}\right|=4 \frac{\max |v|}{\lambda_{c}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $B_{D}$ being the width of the Doppler spectrum, $\Delta f_{D}$ the frequency change due to velocity $v$ and $\lambda_{c}$ being the carrier wavelength. Thus the appropriate sampling rate is proportional to the maximal velocity of the receivers. Since it is sometimes useful to examine algorithm behavior for different user velocities, it is unfortunate to fix the sampling rate in advance as the max speed is fixed as well. To overcome this problem it is advantageous to sample the channel in the spatial domain rather than in the time domain.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{S}=\frac{f_{T}}{\max |v|} \geq \frac{4}{\lambda_{c}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $f_{S}$ denotes the spatial sampling rate in samples per meter. In its normalized form it is also known as sample density SD

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{SD}=\frac{f_{S}}{\lambda_{c} / 2} \geq 2 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Another advantage of sampling in the spatial domain is the reduction of required storage, since the number of samples is reduced in case the maximum speed is greater than 1 meter per second ( 3.6 kilometers per hour).

### 3.1.3 The Antenna Model

One feature of QuaDRiGa is that it allows the separations of propagation- and antenna effects. An antenna is defined by its directional response $F$, also known as field pattern. The original SCM considers only 2D propagation. Thus, $F$ is a function of the azimuth angle $\phi$ which is also indicated in Fig. 2. Later extensions $\left[\mathrm{SZM}^{+} 06\right]$ also consider the elevation direction $\theta$. The complex amplitude $g$ of one tap between a transmit antenna $t$ and a receive antenna $r$ notes

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{r, t}=\sqrt{P} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{r}\left(\phi^{a}, \theta^{a}\right)^{T} \cdot \mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{t}\left(\phi^{d}, \theta^{d}\right) \cdot e^{-j \frac{2 \pi}{\lambda} \cdot d} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{F}_{r}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{t}$ are the patterns at the receiver and transmitter, respectively. $\lambda$ is the wavelength and $P$ is the power of the tap. Note that the patterns $\mathbf{F}(\phi, \theta)$ are two-element vectors which contain the vertically $\left(F_{V}\right)$ and horizontally $\left(F_{H}\right)$ polarized component of the antenna response

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{F}(\phi, \theta)=\binom{F_{V}(\phi, \theta)}{F_{H}(\phi, \theta)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathbf{M}$ is the $2 \times 2$ polarization coupling matrix. This matrix describes how the polarization changes on the way from transmitter to receiver. It is important to note that in a MIMO configuration, which uses multiple antennas at transmitter and receiver, the physical propagation effects such as the angles of departure and arrival, the path powers, the delays and the polarization coupling stay the same for all antennas. The only difference is that each antenna element has a different field pattern.

Changing the orientation of antennas Here we describe how the orientation of an antenna can be changed (e.g. when moving the receiver along a street, or turning a mobile phone in the hands of the user). This is done in two steps. First, the field patterns for both polarizations $F_{\mathrm{V}}$ and $F_{\mathrm{H}}$ are rotated and interpolated separately. The second step then includes the effects of the polarization.

An antenna pattern (7) is given in spherical coordinates as a function of the azimuth angle $\phi$ and elevation angle $\theta$. When the orientation of the antenna element changes, the field pattern has to be read at different

Dipole antenna, $0^{\circ}$ tilt Dipole antenna, $20^{\circ}$ tilt


Figure 7: Example patterns for a dipole antenna.
angles $(\Phi, \Theta)$ which include the effect of the orientation change. Rotations in 3D are easier in Cartesian coordinates. We therefore transform the original angle pair $(\phi, \theta)$ into a vector a that describes the arrivalor departure angles in Cartesian coordinates. The three vector elements represent the $x, y$ and $z$-component.

$$
\mathbf{a}(\phi, \theta)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\cos \phi \cdot \cos \theta  \tag{8}\\
\sin \phi \cdot \cos \theta \\
\sin \theta
\end{array}\right)
$$

We now use a $3 \times 3$ matrix $\mathbf{Q}$ to describe the orientation change in 3 D space. In principal, we can calculate $\mathbf{Q}^{T}$ for any arbitrary rotation axis and angle. The example in Fig. 7 was tilted by $20^{\circ}$ around the $x$-axis of the coordinate system. The corresponding matrix is

$$
\mathbf{Q}_{x}\left(20^{\circ}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0  \tag{9}\\
0 & \cos \left(20^{\circ}\right) & -\sin \left(20^{\circ}\right) \\
0 & \sin \left(20^{\circ}\right) & \cos \left(20^{\circ}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

By multiplying $\mathbf{Q}$ with (8), we include the orientation change in the vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{a}^{+}(\phi, \theta)=\mathbf{Q}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{a}(\phi, \theta) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathbf{a}^{+}$is now also in Cartesian coordinates and we need the transformed pattern $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}$ in spherical coordinates, we have to transform $\mathbf{a}^{+}$back to spherical coordinates. This results in the new angles $(\Phi, \Theta)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi(\phi, \theta) & =\arctan _{2}\left[a_{y}^{+}(\phi, \theta), a_{x}^{+}(\phi, \theta)\right]  \tag{11}\\
\Theta(\phi, \theta) & =\arcsin \left[a_{z}^{+}(\phi, \theta)\right] \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

$a_{x}^{+}, a_{y}^{+}$and $a_{z}^{+}$are the $x, y$ and $z$ component of $\mathbf{a}^{+}$, respectively. We now get the coefficients of the rotated pattern by reading the original pattern $\mathbf{F}$ at the transformed angles

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{F}_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{H}}(\phi, \theta)=F_{\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{H}}(\Phi, \Theta) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the patterns are sampled at a fixed angular grid, this involves an interpolation step. As a standard computationally inexpensive procedure, we use linear interpolation. Alternatively, more advanced techniques based on the effective aperture distribution function (EADF) can be used [ $\left.\mathrm{NKS}^{+} 07\right]$.

The second step of the transformation takes the polarization into account. We first take the antenna orientation vector $\mathbf{o}$ and apply the rotation matrix $\mathbf{Q}$ to obtain $\tilde{\mathbf{o}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathbf{o}}=\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{o} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This vector $\tilde{\mathbf{o}}$ is the new orientation vector of the transformed pattern. Next, we calculate a rotation matrix that accounts for the changed polarization characteristics of the rotated antenna pattern. In principal, the following procedure emulates an antenna measurement like in an anechoic chamber. The virtual transmitter is placed south of our test antenna. The wave travel direction $\mathbf{r}$ thus lies in $y$ direction. We thus rotate the orientation vector of our antenna so that it matches the wave travel direction. The polarization vector $\mathbf{p}_{r}$ results from a projection of the orientation vector $\mathbf{o}_{r}$ on the plane perpendicular to the travel direction (see Fig. 13 for details).

1. We rotate the orientation vector $\tilde{\mathbf{o}}$ by $p=-\phi-\pi / 2$ in azimuth direction and $q=\theta$ in elevation direction to match the orientation of the transmitter.

$$
\mathbf{o}^{+}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos p & -\sin p & 0  \tag{15}\\
\cos q \cdot \sin p & \cos q \cdot \cos p & -\sin q \\
\sin q \cdot \sin p & \sin q \cdot \cos p & \cos q
\end{array}\right) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{o}}
$$

2. We calculate the projection of the orientation vector on the projection plane. Since the projection plane lies in the $x$ - $z$-plane due to the placement of the transmitter, we simply omit the $y$ component of $\mathbf{o}^{+}$, switch
the $x$ and $z$ component and normalize the resulting vector to unit length. The switching is done to obtain the same orientation as in (7).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{p}_{r}=\binom{o_{z}^{+}}{o_{x}^{+}} /\left|\binom{o_{z}^{+}}{o_{x}^{+}}\right| \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. We define the transmitter to be either perfectly vertical $\left(\mathbf{p}_{t}=[1,0]^{T}\right)$ or perfectly horizontal $\left(\mathbf{p}_{t}=[0,1]^{T}\right)$. As a consequence, the product $\mathbf{p}_{r}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{t}$ selects either the vertical or horizontal component of $\mathbf{p}_{r}$ and $\alpha$ can be calculated to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\arctan _{2}\left(p_{r y}, p_{r x}\right)=\arctan _{2}\left(o_{x}^{+}, o_{z}^{+}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. $\alpha$ is the angle between the projection of the orientation vector and the $E_{x}$ component of the transmit polarization. Since the transmitter is vertically polarized, $\beta_{t}$ is 0 . The angle $\beta_{r}$ comes from the rotated field pattern response (13).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{r}=\arctan _{2}\left\{\hat{F}_{H}(\phi, \theta), \hat{F}_{V}(\phi, \theta)\right\} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

5. The difference between $\alpha$ and $\beta_{r}$ is the rotation angle $\vartheta$ which is used to calculate the polarization effects on the pattern. The rotated pattern then notes

$$
\begin{align*}
\vartheta & =-\beta_{r}-\alpha \\
\tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\phi, \theta) & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \vartheta & -\sin \vartheta \\
\sin \vartheta & \cos \vartheta
\end{array}\right) \cdot\binom{\hat{F}_{\mathrm{V}}(\phi, \theta)}{\hat{F}_{\mathrm{H}}(\phi, \theta)} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Modeling circularly polarized antennas In many applications, such as satellite communications, circular polarization is needed. A straight forward extension would use complex coefficients in the field patterns where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{R}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\binom{1}{i} \quad \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{L}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\binom{1}{-i} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

are the Jones vectors for the RHCP and LHCP signal, respectively. However, an essential component of the polarization model is the orientation vector $\mathbf{o}$ which is not unique for circular polarized patterns. A solutions is to virtually assemble a circular polarized antenna out of two linear elements. These elements need to be crossed in a way that the transmission axes of both elements are perpendicular to each other. Both elements are fed with the same signal, but one of them is shifted by $90^{\circ}$ out of phase. This is modeled by using the channel coefficients (6) for each of the two elements and assembling them in a channel matrix $\mathbf{G}$. In order to get circular polarized coefficient matrix $\mathbf{G}^{\circ}$, we use the Jones vectors (20) as coupling matrices.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{G}^{\circ} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
g_{\mathrm{RR}} & g_{\mathrm{RL}} \\
g_{\mathrm{LR}} & g_{\mathrm{LL}}
\end{array}\right)=\mathbf{C}^{H} \mathbf{G C}  \tag{21}\\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -i \\
1 & i
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
g_{\mathrm{VV}} & g_{\mathrm{VH}} \\
g_{\mathrm{HV}} & g_{\mathrm{HH}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
i & -i
\end{array}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.1.4 Generation of Correlated Large Scale Parameters

QuaDRiGa models the auto- and cross correlation properties of the LSPs by creating 2D maps for each of the following parameters.

1. RMS Delay Spread (DS)
2. Ricean K-Factor (KF)
3. Shadow Fading (SF)
4. Azimuth spread of Departure (AsD)
5. Azimuth spread of Arrival (AsA)
6. Elevation spread of Departure (EsD)
7. Elevation spread of Arrival (EsA)

The map-based method is already part of the WINNER implementation $\left[\mathrm{KMH}^{+} 07, \mathrm{HMK}^{+} 10\right]$ where the maps are generated by filtering random, normal distributed numbers along the $x$ and $y$ axis of the map. Alternative approaches [CG03, Cla05] are known to have better autocorrelation properties at close distances. I.e. they are better in modeling the distance-dependent exponential decay of the correlation. However, the resulting map is difficult to interpolate since neighboring pixels can have large differences in magnitude. We thus extend the WINNER idea by filtering the maps also in the diagonal directions. This significantly increases the smoothness of the parameters along a random user trajectory which is an important feature for the time evolution of the channel coefficients. The principle of the map based correlation procedure is shown in Fig. 8 for an example using the delay spread (DS) in an urban cellular scenario. The granularity of each parameter can be described on three levels: the scenario level, the link level and the path level.

Scenario Level The magnitude, variance and the correlation of a parameter in a specific scenario (e.g. urban macrocell, indoor hotspot or urban satellite) are calculated from measurement data. Normally, parameters are assumed to be log-normal distributed. For example, the median log-normal delay spread $\mathrm{DS}_{\mu}$ in an urban cellular scenario is -6.89 which corresponds to a DS of $\bar{\sigma}_{\tau}=128 \mathrm{~ns}$ (see Fig. 8, top). With a standard deviation of $\mathrm{DS}_{\sigma}=0.5$, typical values may lie in between 40 and 407 ns . The same principle applies for the other six parameters. The decorrelation distance (e.g. $\mathrm{DS}_{\lambda}=40 \mathrm{~m}$ ) describes the distance-dependent correlation of the LSP. If e.g. two mobile terminals in the above example are 40 m apart of each other, their DS is correlated with a correlation coefficient of $e^{-1}=0.37$. Additionally, all parameters are cross correlated. A typical example is the dependance of the angular spread (e.g. the azimuth spread of arrival) on the Ricean K-factor (KF). With a large KF (e.g. 10 dB ), a significant amount of energy comes from a single direction. Thus, the angular spread gets smaller which leads to a negative correlation between the DS and the KF.

Link Level When a user terminal is placed in a scenario (black dot on the map in Fig. 8), it experiences a radio channel which is determined by the specific values of the seven parameters at this position. Due to the autocorrelation properties, small distances between users also lead to high correlations in the channel


Figure 8: Principle of the generation of correlated LSPs






Figure 9: Principle of the map generation
statistics (e.g. a second terminal right next to the current user will experience a similar DS). The second granularity of the large scale parameters are thus the specific values of the LSPs for each user in the scenario. Generating those values can be seen as going backwards from the distribution $\mu, \sigma$ of a parameter to individual "measurement"-values. At the example position in the map, the DS is 53 ns.

Path Level Last, the individual components of the CIR are calculated. This procedure takes the values of the LSPs into account and calculates the power and the delay of the channel coefficients.

The correlation maps are generated at a fixed sampling grid by successively filtering a random, normal distributed sequence of numbers with a finite impulse response (FIR) filter. The principle is depicted in Fig. 9. The map is represented by a matrix $\mathbf{B}$ and one pixel of that matrix is $B_{y, x}$ where $y$ is the row index and $x$ is the column index. The first pixel $B_{1,1}$ is in the top left (or north-west) corner of the map. The FIR filter coefficients are calculated from the decorrelation distance $d_{\lambda}$ (in units of $m$ ). The distance dependent correlation coefficient follows an exponential function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(d)=e^{-\frac{d}{d_{\lambda}}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $d$ as the distance between two positions [Gud91]. We now calculate two sets of filter coefficients, one for the horizontal and vertical directions and one for the diagonal elements. This is done by taking (22) and substituting the distance $d$ by the relative distance $d_{\mathrm{px}}$ of two pixels.

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{k}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{\lambda}}} \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{k \cdot d_{\mathrm{px}}}{d_{\lambda}}\right)  \tag{23}\\
& b_{k}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{\lambda}}} \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{k \cdot \sqrt{2} d_{\mathrm{px}}}{d_{\lambda}}\right) \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

$k$ is the running filter coefficient index. We cut the exponential decay function a at maximum distance of $4 d_{\lambda}$ and normalize it with $\sqrt{d_{\lambda}}$. The map size is determined by the distribution of the users in the scenario plus the length of the filter function. This is also illustrated in Fig. 9 where the user terminals are placed inside the black square. The extension space is needed to avoid filter artifacts at the edges of the map. We initialize the map with random, normal distributed numbers. Then we apply the filter (23) in vertical (running from top to bottom) and in horizontal (from left to right) direction.

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{y, x}^{[1]} & =X \text { with } X \sim N(0,1)  \tag{25}\\
B_{y, x}^{[2]} & =\sum_{k=0}^{\left\llcorner 4 \cdot d_{\lambda} / d_{\mathrm{px}}\right\lrcorner} a_{k} \cdot B_{y-k, x}  \tag{26}\\
B_{y, x}^{[3]} & =\sum_{k=0}^{\left\llcorner 4 \cdot d_{\lambda} / d_{\mathrm{px}}\right\lrcorner} a_{k} \cdot B_{y, x-k} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we apply the second filter (24) on the diagonals of the map. First, we go from the top left to the bottom right and then we go from the bottom left to the top right.

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{y, x}^{[4]}=\sum_{k=0}^{\left\llcorner 4 \cdot d_{\lambda} / d_{\mathrm{px}}\right\lrcorner} b_{k} \cdot B_{y-k, x-k}  \tag{28}\\
& B_{y, x}^{[5]}=\sum_{k=0}^{\left\llcorner 4 \cdot d_{\lambda} / d_{\mathrm{px}}\right\lrcorner} b_{k} \cdot B_{y+k, x-k} \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

After the autocorrelations are applied, the extension space is removed and values of the remaining map are scaled to have the desired distribution $\mu, \sigma$. The same procedure is repeated for all seven LSPs. However, the decorrelation distance $d_{\lambda}$ as well as $\mu, \sigma$ for each parameter can be different. We account for the cross-correlation of the parameters by assembling a matrix $\mathbf{X}$ that contains all cross-correlation values and multiplying its matrix-square-root with the values of the seven parameter maps $\mathbf{B}_{D S} \ldots \mathbf{B}_{E s D}$. The cross-correlation matrix needs to be positive definite to get a unique, real numbered solution.

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
B_{y, x, D S}  \tag{30}\\
\vdots \\
B_{y, x, E s D}
\end{array}\right)=\mathbf{X}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
B_{y, x, D S}^{[5]} \\
\vdots \\
B_{y, x, E s D}^{[5]}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Last, the users are placed on the maps and the corresponding values for the LSPs are obtained. In this way, we initialize the second part of the channel model, the generator of the individual channel coefficients, with correlated input values for each user. An example output of the correlation map procedure is shown in Fig. 10. A set of 200 mobile terminals is randomly placed in an urban cellular scenario (blue dots). The transmitter is situated in the scenario center (red cross). The map for the DS is displayed as a background image. The initial values of the LSPs for each user position (link level) serve as an input for calculating the channel coefficients. They are simply generated by reading the map-values around the position of the mobile terminal and interpolating between adjacent pixels.


Figure 10: Illustration of the map based generation of the DS

### 3.2 Calculation of Channel Coefficients

In the model software, the channel coefficients are generated by the channel builder. It takes the correlated large scale parameter values as input and calculates the CIR for each user position.

Each scattering cluster is represented by a propagation path which is modeled as a Dirac function in delay domain. A path is made of up of 20 spatially separated subpaths according to the sum-of-sinusoids method [PB01]. Path powers, path delays, and angular properties for both sides of the link are modeled as random variables defined through probability density functions (PDFs) and cross-correlations. All parameters, except the fast-fading, are drawn independently in time, in what is termed drops (see [3GP05]). Even though a path consists of multiple subpaths in an angular domain, it remains a single tap in delay domain.

### 3.2.1 Initial Delays and Cluster Powers

Implemented in $\Rightarrow$ channel_builder.generate_initial_paths
Initial delays are drawn randomly from a scenario-dependent delay distribution as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{l}^{[1]}=-r_{\tau} \sigma_{\tau} \ln \left(X_{l}\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{l} \sim \operatorname{uni}(0,1)$ is a uniformly distributed random variable having values in between 0 and $1, \sigma_{\tau}$ is the initial DS from the map and $r_{\tau}$ is a proportionality factor. $r_{\tau}$ has been introduced in [3GP11] because $\sigma_{\tau}$ is influenced by both, the delays $\tau_{l}$ and the powers $P_{l} . r_{\tau}$ is usually calculated from measurement data. Next, the delays are normalized such that the first delay is zero and then they are sorted in descending order

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{l}^{[2]}=\operatorname{sort}\left\{\tau_{l}^{[1]}-\min \left(\tau_{l}^{[1]}\right)\right\} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The NLOS cluster powers are drawn from a single slope exponential power delay profile (PDP) depending on the $\mathrm{DS} \sigma_{\tau}$ and and a random component $Z_{l} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \zeta)$. $\zeta$ is a scenario-dependent coefficient emulating an additional shadowing process among the clusters in one segment. It is normally obtained from measurements.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{l}^{[1]}=\exp \left(-\tau_{l} \frac{r_{\tau}-1}{r_{\tau} \sigma_{\tau}}\right) \cdot 10^{\frac{-Z_{l}}{10}} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The power of the first cluster is further scaled according to the initial KF from the map and cluster powers are normalized so that their sum power is unity.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1}^{[1]}=K \cdot \sum_{l=2}^{L} P_{l}^{[1]} \quad P_{l}=P_{l}^{[1]} / \sum_{l=1}^{L} P_{l}^{[1]} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the last step, we correct the influence of the KF on the DS which has changed due to the scaling. The DS after applying (34) is calculated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\sigma_{\tau}}=\sqrt{\sum_{l=1}^{L} P_{l} \cdot\left(\tau_{l}\right)^{2}-\left(\sum_{l=1}^{L} P_{l} \cdot \tau_{l}\right)^{2}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The cluster delays are then obtained by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{l}=\frac{\sigma_{\tau}}{\overline{\sigma_{\tau}}} \cdot \tau_{l}^{[2]} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\sigma_{\tau}$ being the initial DS from the map.

### 3.2.2 Departure and Arrival Angles

Implemented in $\Rightarrow$ channel_builder.generate_initial_angles
and $\Rightarrow$ channel_builder.correction_function
We calculate four angles for each cluster: the azimuth of departure ( $\mathrm{AoD}, \phi^{d}$ ), the elevation of departure $\left(E o D, \theta^{d}\right)$, the azimuth of arrival (AoA, $\phi^{a}$ ) and the elevation of arrival (EoA, $\theta^{a}$ ). They share the same calculation method but have a different angular spread $\sigma_{\phi}$. We assume that the power angular spectrum of all clusters follows a wrapped Gaussian distribution $\left[\mathrm{KMH}^{+} 07\right.$, PMF97].

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\phi)=\frac{1}{\sigma_{\phi} \sqrt{2 \pi}} \exp \left(\frac{-\phi^{2}}{2 \sigma_{\phi}^{2}}\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The wrapping is applied later by (40) when the discrete cluster angles are drawn from the statistics. Since the above formula assumes a continuous spectrum and the channel model, on the other hand, uses discrete paths, we need to correct the variance by a function $C_{\phi}(L, K)$ depending on the number of clusters $L$ and the KF $K$. This formula is derived in the Appendix. It ensures that the input variance $\sigma_{\phi}$ is correctly reflected in the generated angles.

We obtain the angles $\phi_{l}$ by first normalizing the power angular spectrum so that its maximum has unit power. We can thus omit the scaling factor $1 /\left(\sigma_{\phi} \sqrt{2 \pi}\right)$. We also normalize the path powers $P_{l}$ (34) so that the strongest peak has unit power which corresponds to an angle $\phi=0$. All other paths get relative departure- or arrival angles depending on their power

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{l}^{[1]}=\frac{\sigma_{\phi}}{C_{\phi}(L, K)} \cdot \sqrt{-2 \cdot \ln \left(P_{l} / \max \left(P_{l}\right)\right)} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value $\sigma_{\phi}$ is measured in radians here. Next, we create two random variables $X_{l}$ and $Y_{l}$ where $X_{l} \sim$ $\{-1,1\}$ is the positive or negative sign and $Y_{l} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_{\phi} / 10\right)$ introduces a random variation on the angle. Then we calculate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{l}^{[2]}=X_{l} \cdot \phi_{l}^{[1]}+Y_{l} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the power $P_{l}$ of a path is small compared to the strongest peak, its angle $\phi_{l}^{b}$ might exceed $\pm \pi$. In this case, we wrap it around the unit circle by a modulo operation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{l}^{[3]}=\left(\phi_{l}^{[2]}+\pi \quad \bmod 2 \pi\right)-\pi \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

In case of elevation spreads, the possible range of elevation angles goes from $-\pi / 2$ to $\pi / 2$. In this case, we have to correct values of $\phi_{l}^{c}$ outside of this range.

$$
\phi_{l}^{[4]}= \begin{cases}\phi_{l}^{[3]}, & \text { for el. }\left|\phi_{l}^{[3]}\right|<\frac{\pi}{2} \text { and all az. angles; }  \tag{41}\\ \pi-\phi_{l}^{[3]}, & \text { for elevation } \phi_{l}^{[3]}>\frac{\pi}{2} \\ \phi_{l}^{[3]}-\pi, & \text { for elevation } \phi_{l}^{[3]}<-\frac{\pi}{2}\end{cases}
$$

The positions of the transmitter ( Tx ) and receiver ( Rx ) are deterministic and so are the angles of the LOS component. We correct the values of the angles to incorporate this position.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{l}^{[5]}=\phi_{l}^{[4]}-\phi_{1}^{[4]}+\phi^{L O S} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, the cluster-path is split into 20 sub-paths to emulate intra cluster angular spreads.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{l, m}=\phi_{l}^{[5]}+c_{\phi} \cdot \hat{\phi}_{m} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

$m$ is the sub-path index, $c_{\phi}$ is the scenario-dependent cluster-wise RMS angular spread and $\hat{\phi}$ is the offset angle of the $m^{\text {th }}$ sub-path from Table 11. Furthermore, each of the 20 angle pairs $\left(\phi_{l, m}^{d}, \theta_{l, m}^{d}\right)$ at the Tx gets coupled with a random angle pair ( $\phi_{l, m}^{a}, \theta_{l, m}^{a}$ ) at the Rx (see $\left[\mathrm{KMH}^{+} 07\right]$ ).

Table 11: Offset Angle of the $m^{\text {th }}$ Sub-Path from $\left[\mathrm{KMH}^{+} 07\right]$

| Sub-path <br> $m$ | Offset angle <br> $\hat{\phi}_{m}$ (degrees) | Sub-path <br> $m$ | Offset angle <br> $\hat{\phi}_{m}$ (degrees) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,2 | $\pm 0.0447$ | 11,12 | $\pm 0.6797$ |
| 3,4 | $\pm 0.1413$ | 13,14 | $\pm 0.8844$ |
| 5,6 | $\pm 0.2492$ | 15,16 | $\pm 1.1481$ |
| 7,8 | $\pm 0.3715$ | 17,18 | $\pm 1.5195$ |
| 9,10 | $\pm 0.5129$ | 19,20 | $\pm 2.1551$ |

Derivation of the Correction Function The correction function $C_{\phi}(L, K)$ takes the influence of the KFactor and the varying number of clusters into account. To approximate the function, we generate the angles as described above. Then we calculate the angular spread from the simulated data and compare the output of the procedure with the given value of $\sigma_{\phi}$. The angular spread $\tilde{\sigma}_{\phi}$ is calculated from the given $P_{l}$ and $\phi_{l}$ as [Rap02]

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\sigma}_{\phi} & =2 \pi \cdot \sqrt{1-\frac{\left|F_{1}\right|^{2}}{F_{0}^{2}}}  \tag{44}\\
F_{n} & =\sum_{l=1}^{L} P_{l} \cdot \exp \left(-j \cdot \phi_{l} \cdot n\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\phi_{l}$ is the angle calculated by (40) with the correction function set to $C=1 . F_{n}$ is the $n$-th complex Fourier coefficient. The correction function now follows from comparing $\tilde{\sigma}_{\phi}$ with $\sigma_{\phi}$. However, two aspects need to be considered here:

1. Due to the randomization of the angles in (39), we have to take the average angle over a sufficiently large quantity ( $\approx 1000$ realizations) of $\tilde{\sigma}_{\phi}$. This values is denoted as $\bar{\sigma}_{\phi}$.
2. Due to the logarithm in (38) and the modulo operation in (40), there is a nonlinear dependency of the angular spread that can be found in the output data and the value given to the model. However, for small values, the relationship can be approximated by a linear function. We define this maximum angular spread $\sigma_{\phi}^{\max }$ of the linear approximation as the point where the error between the corrected value $\frac{\sigma_{\phi}}{C_{\phi}(L, K)}$ and $\bar{\sigma}_{\phi}$ is $10^{\circ}$.

For each $L \in[2,42]$ and $K_{[d B]} \in[-20,20]$ we now numerically calculate the value of $C_{\phi}(L, K)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\phi}(L, K)=\frac{1}{\sigma_{\phi}^{\max }} \cdot \int_{0}^{\sigma_{\phi}^{\max }} \frac{\bar{\sigma}_{\phi}\left(\sigma_{\phi}\right)}{\sigma_{\phi}} d \sigma_{\phi} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\sigma_{\phi}$-dependency of $\bar{\sigma}_{\phi}\left(\sigma_{\phi}\right)$ comes form the individual angles $\phi_{l}$. The function is plotted for different values of $L$ in Fig. 11, left, and tabularized in Tab. 13. Values that are not in the table will be interpolated using linear interpolation.

The plot in Fig. 11, right, compares both, the values given in $\sigma_{\phi}$ and the values calculated by (44) for the final model including the correction function. The parameters for the scatter plot were set to typical values where $L$ ranges from 6 to 30 paths and $K$ ranges from -15 to 15 dB .

The K-Factor has a strong influence on the achievable angular spread. E.g. when the K-Factor is 10, then almost $92 \%$ of the energy are focussed in one direction. Thus, it is impossible to get high angular spreads in this case. Tab. 12 gives an overview of the achievable angular spread in azimuth- and elevation direction depending on the K-Factor. The table is valid for realistic path-numbers ranging from 6 to 30 paths.


Figure 11: Left: Correction function $C_{\phi}(L, K)$. Individual lines are for different numbers of paths ranging from 3 (lowest line) to 42 (top line). Right: Comparison of the angular spread $\sigma_{\phi}$ set by the parametrization and the angular spread $\tilde{\sigma}_{\phi}$ in the data.

Table 12: Maximum Linear Angular Spread vs. K-Factor

| $K$ | Az. $\sigma_{\phi}^{\max }$ | El. $\sigma_{\phi}^{\max }$ | $K$ | Az. $\sigma_{\phi}^{\max }$ | El. $\sigma_{\phi}^{\max }$ | $K$ | Az. $\sigma_{\phi}^{\max }$ | El. $\sigma_{\phi}^{\max }$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -20 | 206 | 201 | -6 | 211 | 209 | 8 | 155 | 151 |
| -18 | 207 | 201 | -4 | 209 | 206 | 10 | 143 | 135 |
| -16 | 207 | 202 | -2 | 209 | 205 | 12 | 128 | 118 |
| -14 | 205 | 202 | 0 | 200 | 198 | 14 | 113 | 101 |
| -12 | 208 | 203 | 2 | 192 | 190 | 16 | 99 | 84 |
| -10 | 212 | 204 | 4 | 182 | 179 | 18 | 86 | 70 |
| -8 | 213 | 208 | 6 | 170 | 166 | 20 | 74 | 57 |

Table 13: Values of $C_{\Phi}(L, K)$

| $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{L}$ | 3 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 15 | 18 | 21 | 24 | 27 | 30 | 33 | 36 | 39 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| -20 | 3.59 | 5.53 | 6.25 | 6.62 | 6.81 | 6.93 | 7.04 | 7.11 | 7.17 | 7.13 | 7.22 | 7.22 | 7.25 |
| -18 | 3.76 | 5.63 | 6.34 | 6.71 | 6.78 | 6.98 | 7.05 | 7.07 | 7.19 | 7.18 | 7.23 | 7.21 | 7.28 |
| -16 | 4.00 | 5.76 | 6.36 | 6.74 | 6.88 | 6.96 | 7.08 | 7.09 | 7.18 | 7.14 | 7.18 | 7.24 | 7.26 |
| -14 | 4.24 | 5.90 | 6.51 | 6.78 | 6.89 | 6.92 | 6.99 | 7.05 | 7.08 | 7.16 | 7.14 | 7.15 | 7.06 |
| -12 | 4.54 | 5.98 | 6.47 | 6.68 | 6.74 | 6.84 | 6.86 | 6.92 | 6.86 | 7.03 | 7.38 | 7.80 | 8.36 |
| -10 | 4.74 | 5.98 | 6.38 | 6.51 | 6.55 | 6.66 | 7.07 | 7.76 | 8.54 | 9.03 | 9.65 | 10.12 | 10.55 |
| -8 | 4.83 | 5.80 | 5.99 | 6.27 | 7.27 | 8.38 | 9.12 | 9.89 | 10.45 | 10.90 | 11.44 | 11.74 | 12.10 |
| -6 | 4.70 | 5.30 | 6.42 | 7.96 | 9.13 | 10.01 | 10.69 | 11.36 | 11.78 | 12.15 | 12.60 | 13.01 | 13.15 |
| -4 | 4.12 | 5.72 | 8.00 | 9.42 | 10.34 | 11.09 | 11.52 | 12.16 | 12.57 | 12.86 | 13.20 | 13.39 | 13.72 |
| -4.47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -2 | 3.42 | 6.94 | 8.88 | 10.01 | 10.71 | 11.32 | 11.90 | 12.15 | 12.65 | 12.95 | 13.17 | 13.37 | 13.53 |
| 0 | 4.19 | 7.69 | 9.07 | 10.05 | 10.68 | 11.09 | 11.58 | 11.76 | 12.12 | 12.36 | 12.48 | 12.84 | 12.95 |
| 2 | 5.09 | 7.66 | 8.76 | 9.53 | 9.98 | 10.42 | 10.68 | 10.99 | 11.20 | 11.31 | 11.45 | 11.62 | 11.79 |
| 4 | 5.33 | 7.22 | 8.17 | 8.67 | 9.07 | 9.33 | 9.53 | 9.81 | 9.93 | 10.01 | 10.24 | 10.31 | 10.44 |
| 4 | 10.59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 5.21 | 6.64 | 7.26 | 7.63 | 7.94 | 8.10 | 8.33 | 8.51 | 8.62 | 8.63 | 8.82 | 8.89 | 9.00 |
| 8 | 4.80 | 5.85 | 6.30 | 6.60 | 6.83 | 6.97 | 7.12 | 7.23 | 7.36 | 7.38 | 7.43 | 7.53 | 7.62 |
| 10 | 4.29 | 5.02 | 5.38 | 5.59 | 5.75 | 5.87 | 5.97 | 6.05 | 6.11 | 6.21 | 6.26 | 6.30 | 6.33 |
| 12 | 3.75 | 4.28 | 4.54 | 4.68 | 4.79 | 4.90 | 4.96 | 5.01 | 5.10 | 5.13 | 5.19 | 5.22 | 5.24 |
| 14 | 3.20 | 3.59 | 3.77 | 3.90 | 3.97 | 4.06 | 4.11 | 4.17 | 4.20 | 4.23 | 4.26 | 4.28 | 4.32 |
| 16 | 2.70 | 2.98 | 3.11 | 3.21 | 3.28 | 3.33 | 3.37 | 3.41 | 3.44 | 3.46 | 3.49 | 3.52 | 3.54 |
| 18 | 2.25 | 2.46 | 2.56 | 2.63 | 2.68 | 2.72 | 2.76 | 2.78 | 2.80 | 2.83 | 2.85 | 2.86 | 2.88 |
| 20 | 2.89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 1.86 | 2.01 | 2.10 | 2.14 | 2.18 | 2.22 | 2.24 | 2.26 | 2.27 | 2.29 | 2.31 | 2.32 | 2.33 |

### 3.2.3 Drifting of Angles, Delays and Phases

Implemented in $\Rightarrow$ channel_builder.get_drifting
After cluster-delays, powers and angles are known for the initial position, we update their values for each snapshot of the segment. Thus, we get an evolution of the parameters over a short time interval. Since the values of the LSPs and the number of clusters are kept constant, drifting requires that the segment is relatively confined in distance and does not exceed the average autocorrelation distance of the LSPs. A similar concept was already introduced by Baum et. al. in an extension of the SCM [BHS05]. However, it was not incorporated into the WINNER models and no evaluation was reported.

Besides the parameters from steps B and C, drifting requires the position of each antenna element at the Tx and Rx. Additionally, Rx element positions need to be provided for each snapshot separately, depending on the orientation and position of the Rx. The following calculations are then done element-wise where the indices $r, t, l, m, s$ denote the element index of the Rx antenna $r$ and the Tx antenna $t$, the cluster number $l$, the sub-path number $m$ and the snapshot number within the current segment $s$, respectively.


Figure 12: Illustration of the calculation of the scatterer positions and updates of the arrival angles for NLOS (top) and LOS (bottom).

NLOS drifting For the NLOS paths, we calculate the position of the last bounce scatterer (LBS) from the initial arrival angles and the cluster delays. Then we update the angles and path lengths between the last bounce scatterer (LBS) and the terminal for each snapshot on the track. This is done for each antenna element separately. An illustration of the angles and their relations is given in Fig. 12, top.

The first delay is always zero due to (32). Hence, we calculate the total length of the $l^{\text {th }}$ path as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{l}=\tau_{l} \cdot c+\left|\mathbf{r}_{0}\right| \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|\mathbf{r}_{0}\right|$ is the distance between the Tx and the initial Rx location and $c$ is the speed of light. We assume, that all sub-paths have the same delay and thus the same path length. However, each sub-path has different arrival angles $\left(\phi_{l, m}^{a}, \theta_{l, m}^{a}\right)$. We transform those angles a vector $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{l, m, 0}$ in Cartesian coordinates and obtain

$$
\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{l, m, 0}=\frac{\mathbf{a}_{l, m, 0}}{\left|\mathbf{a}_{l, m, 0}\right|}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\cos \phi_{l, m}^{a} \cdot \cos \theta_{l, m}^{a}  \tag{47}\\
\sin \phi_{l, m}^{a} \cdot \cos \theta_{l, m}^{a} \\
\sin \theta_{l, m}^{a}
\end{array}\right)
$$

We approximate the drifting at the Rx by assuming only a single reflection. Hence, Tx, Rx and LBS form a triangle. Since we know $d_{l}, \mathbf{r}_{0}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{l, m, 0}$, we can apply the cosine theorem to calculate the distance $\left|\mathbf{a}_{l, m, 0}\right|$ between the Rx and LBS ${ }^{1}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{l, m, 0}^{2}= & \left|\mathbf{r}_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|\mathbf{a}_{l, m, 0}\right|^{2} \\
& -2\left|\mathbf{r}_{0}\right|\left|\mathbf{a}_{l, m, 0}\right| \cos \beta_{l, m, 0} \\
\left(d_{l}-\left|\mathbf{a}_{l, m, 0}\right|\right)^{2}= & \left|\mathbf{r}_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|\mathbf{a}_{l, m, 0}\right|^{2}+2\left|\mathbf{a}_{l, m, 0}\right| \mathbf{r}_{0}^{T} \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{l, m, 0} \\
\left|\mathbf{a}_{l, m, 0}\right|= & \frac{d_{l}^{2}-\left|\mathbf{r}_{0}\right|^{2}}{2 \cdot\left(d_{l}+\mathbf{r}_{0}^{T} \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{l, m, 0}\right)} \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we can calculate the vector $\mathbf{a}_{r, l, m, s}$ for the Rx antenna element $r$ at snapshot $s$. The element position includes the orientation of the antenna array with respect to the moving direction of the Rx. Hence, the vector $\mathbf{q}_{r, s}$ points from the initial Rx location to the $r^{\text {th }}$ antenna element at snapshot $s$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{a}_{r, l, m, s}=\mathbf{a}_{l, m, 0}-\mathbf{q}_{r, s} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we can obtain an update of the arrival angles by transforming $\mathbf{a}_{r, l, m, s}$ back to spherical coordinates.

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{r, l, m, s}^{a} & =\arctan _{2}\left\{a_{r, l, m, s, y} ; a_{r, l, m, s, x}\right\}  \tag{50}\\
\theta_{r, l, m, s}^{a} & =\arcsin \left\{\frac{a_{r, l, m, s, z}}{\left|\mathbf{a}_{r, l, m, s}\right|}\right\} \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

We assume a static scattering environment. Thus, the departure angles at the Tx do not change. We also assume that the distance between the Tx and the first scatterer is large compared to the Tx array dimension. Hence, we use the same departure angles for all Tx elements. The phases and path delays, however, depend on the total path length $d_{r, t, l, m, s}$. To obtain this value, we calculate the vector $\mathbf{b}_{t, l, m, 0}$ from the vectors $\mathbf{r}_{r, t, s}$ and $\mathbf{a}_{r, l, m, s}$ at $r=s=1$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{b}_{t, l, m, 0} & =\mathbf{r}_{1, t, 1}+\mathbf{a}_{1, l, m, 1}  \tag{52}\\
d_{r, t, l, m, s} & =\left|\mathbf{b}_{t, l, m, 0}\right|+\left|\mathbf{a}_{r, l, m, s}\right| \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, we calculate the phase $\psi$ and path delays $\tau$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{r, t, l, m, s} & =\frac{2 \pi}{\lambda} \cdot\left(d_{r, t, l, m, s} \bmod \lambda\right)  \tag{54}\\
\tau_{r, t, l, s} & =\frac{1}{20 \cdot c} \sum_{m=1}^{20} d_{r, t, l, m, s} \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

LOS drifting The direct component is handled differently since there are no discrete scatterers. LOS fading, however, can occur if there are objects in the first Fresnel zone of the propagation path. To simplify the calculations, we assume that those objects have a constant distance to the Rx and are only separated by their angular distribution. They are placed on a circle with radius $d_{\text {LOS }}$ as depicted in Fig. 12, bottom. We update the departure- and arrival angles based on the vector $\mathbf{r}_{r, t, s}$ which points from the location of the Tx element $t$ to the location of the Rx element $r$ at snapshot $s$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{t, 1, m, s}^{d} & =\arctan _{2}\left\{r_{r, t, s, y}, r_{r, t, s, x}\right\}+c_{\mathrm{AoD}} \cdot \hat{\phi}_{m}  \tag{56}\\
\theta_{t, 1, m, s}^{d} & =\arcsin \left\{r_{r, t, s, z} /\left|\mathbf{r}_{r, t, s}\right|\right\}+c_{\mathrm{EoD}} \cdot \hat{\phi}_{m}  \tag{57}\\
\phi_{r, 1, m, s}^{a} & =\arctan _{2}\left\{-r_{r, t, s, y},-r_{r, t, s, x}\right\}+c_{\mathrm{AoA}} \cdot \hat{\phi}_{m}  \tag{58}\\
\theta_{r, 1, m, s}^{a} & =\arcsin \left\{-r_{r, t, s, z} /\left|\mathbf{r}_{r, t, s}\right|\right\}+c_{\mathrm{EoA}} \cdot \hat{\phi}_{m} \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]The phases and delays, are determined by the length of the vector $\mathbf{c}_{r, t, m, s}$ in Fig. 12, bottom. This vector points from a random scatterer at the Tx to a random scatterer at the Rx. For the sake of simplicity, we define the vectors $\mathbf{a}_{m}$ and $\mathbf{b}_{m}$ to be position-independent, i.e. their origin is always relative to the Tx and Rx antenna element. The vector $\mathbf{c}_{r, t, m, s}$ and the path length $d_{r, t, m, s}$ then follow from

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{c}_{r, t, m, s} & =-\mathbf{b}_{m}+\mathbf{r}_{r, t, s}+\mathbf{a}_{m}  \tag{60}\\
d_{r, t, 1, m, s} & =2 \cdot d_{\mathrm{LOS}}+\left|\mathbf{c}_{r, t, m, s}\right| \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, the LOS phase $\psi_{r, t, 1, m, s}$ and the delay $\tau_{r, t, 1, s}$ can be calculated using (54) and (55).

### 3.2.4 Geometric Polarization

Implemented in $\Rightarrow$ channel_builder.get_channels and $\Rightarrow$ channel_builder.generate_xpr
As for the angles and the delays, the polarization is calculated in a geometric way. This is done for each (sub-)path, for each snapshot and for each antenna pair ( $r, t$ ) separately. For the sake of simplicity, we omit the indices $l, m, s$ for the path, subpath and snapshot in the following. Due to the sheer number of computations, calculating the drifting polarization is also the most computing-intense task. To reduce this complexity, the polarization is only updated when the arrival-angle changed more than 0.2 degree since the last update ${ }^{2}$.


Figure 13: Illustration of the angles and vectors used for the computation of the geometric LOS polarization. Left: Scheme of the projection. Right: Angles on the projection plane.

Model for the LOS component The principle of the model is depicted in Fig. 13. The wave travel direction $\mathbf{r}$ is determined by the AoA at the receiver given in azimuth $\phi^{a}$ and elevation $\theta^{a}$ direction. The transmit polarization vector $\mathbf{F}_{t}$ results from the beam pattern (7) and lies in the plane perpendicular to r. Note that our method is only valid for linearly polarized waves. Circular polarization can be obtained by combining two linear elements with a phase offset. The receive antenna can have any orientation in 3D space. Thus, we need additional information on the element orientation which is realized by a vector $\mathbf{o}_{r} . \mathbf{o}_{r}$ represents the linear receiver polarization. However, this vector does not lie in the same plane as $\mathbf{F}_{t}$ does. The polarization vector $\mathbf{p}_{r}$ results from a projection of the orientation vector $\mathbf{o}_{r}$ on the plane perpendicular to the travel direction. Due to the orientation mismatch between transmitter and receiver, there will be an offset between $\mathbf{p}_{r}$ and the vector obtained from the receiver beam pattern $\mathbf{F}_{r}$. This offset is compensated by introducing a virtual polarizer that turns the polarization state of the wave in a way that it matches the orientation of the receiver. Since we do not want to change the amplitude of the wave (this is handled by other parts of the model) nor the type of polarization, we need to compute a rotation matrix. Thus, we have to determine the rotation angle $\vartheta$. This can be done by the following procedure.

1. To simplify the computations, we rotate the coordinate system such that the wave travel direction $\mathbf{r}$ lies in $y$-direction (i.e. $\mathbf{r}^{+}=(0,1,0)^{T}$ ). Thus, we need to rotate the orientation vector $\mathbf{o}_{r}$ by $p=-\phi^{a}-\pi / 2$ in

[^1]azimuth direction and $q=\theta^{a}$ in elevation direction.
\[

\mathbf{o}_{r}^{+}=\left($$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos p & -\sin p & 0  \tag{62}\\
\cos q \cdot \sin p & \cos q \cdot \cos p & -\sin q \\
\sin q \cdot \sin p & \sin q \cdot \cos p & \cos q
\end{array}
$$\right) \cdot \mathbf{o}_{r}
\]

2. We calculate the projection of the receiver orientation vector on the projection plane. Since the projection plane lies now in the $x$ - $z$-plane due to the rotation of the coordinate system, we simply omit the $y$ component of $\mathbf{o}_{r}^{+}$, switch the $x$ and $z$ component and normalize the resulting vector to unit length. The switching is done to obtain the same orientation as in (7).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{p}_{r}=\binom{o_{r z}^{+}}{o_{r x}^{+}} /\left|\binom{o_{r z}^{+}}{o_{r x}^{+}}\right| \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. We get the transmit polarization vector $\mathbf{p}_{t}$ by normalizing the field pattern vector $\mathbf{F}_{t}(7)$ to unit length. $\mathbf{F}_{t}$ is already in the same plane as $\mathbf{p}_{r}$ due to the coordinate rotation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{p}_{t}=\mathbf{F}_{t} /\left|\mathbf{F}_{t}\right| \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. We calculate the angle $\alpha$ between the two vectors.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\arccos \left(\mathbf{p}_{r}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{t}\right) \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

5. We obtain the angles $\beta_{t}$ and $\beta_{r}$ from the field patterns of the transmitter and receiver, respectively. $\beta$ is the angle between the $x$-axis of the polarization plane and the polarization vector. Note that $E_{x}$ in Fig. 13 is the vertical and $E_{y}$ is the horizontal polarized component.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{t}=\arctan _{2}\left\{F_{t H}\left(\phi^{d}, \theta^{d}\right), F_{t V}\left(\phi^{d}, \theta^{d}\right)\right\}  \tag{66}\\
& \beta_{r}=\arctan _{2}\left\{F_{r H}\left(\phi^{a}, \theta^{a}\right), F_{r V}\left(\phi^{a}, \theta^{a}\right)\right\} \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

6. The difference between the angles $\beta_{t}$ and $\beta_{r}$ is the polarization mismatch $\beta$ between the receiver and the transmitter if both antenna elements were aligned on the same optical axis. The angle $\alpha$, however takes the different orientation of the receive antenna into account. We thus need to rotate the polarization of the receiver by an angle of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta_{r, t}=\beta_{t}-\beta_{r}-\alpha \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

7. The channel coefficients are now calculated according to (6) where the polarization coupling matrix $\mathbf{M}$ notes

$$
\mathbf{M}\left(\vartheta_{r, t}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \vartheta_{r, t} & \sin \vartheta_{r, t}  \tag{69}\\
-\sin \vartheta_{r, t} & \cos \vartheta_{r, t}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Model for the NLOS components For the NLOS components, the transmitted signal undergoes some diffraction, reflection or scattering before reaching the receiver. Following the common Fresnel formula in electrodynamics, the polarization direction can be changed at the boundary surface between two dielectric media. T. Svantesson [Sva01] provided a method for modeling the polarization of a reflected wave where the polarization coupling is a function of several geometric parameters such as the orientation of the scatterers. However, these parameters are not generally available in the SCM. In addition to that, only metallic reflections keep the polarization unchanged. Reflections at dielectric media can cause changes of the polarization being a function of the complex-valued dielectric constant of the media and of the angle of incidence. Hence, not only the polarization angle might change, but also the polarization type. In order to address this issue,
studies of the polarizations effects in individual scattering clusters in several outdoor- and indoor scenarios were done [MTIO09, QOHDD10, $\mathrm{PHL}^{+} 11$. The published results indicate that, in many cases, scattering preserves the polarization quiet well. However, since only the powers of the elements in the polarization coupling matrix were analyzed, no conclusions can be drawn on how elliptic the polarization of the scattered wave becomes.

We assume that the polarization coupling matrix $\mathbf{M}$ for the NLOS components can be described by a combination of linear transformations. Hence, we can take advantage of the existing findings of the XPR. If the XPR is identical for both polarization directions (such as in the WINNER parameter tables), then we can follow the approach from Zhou et. al. $\left[\mathrm{ZRP}^{+} 05\right]$ and calculate an additional NLOS rotation matrix $\mathbf{M}_{\gamma}$ as

$$
\mathbf{M}_{\gamma}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
m_{v v} & m_{v h}  \tag{70}\\
m_{h v} & m_{h h}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \gamma & -\sin \gamma \\
\sin \gamma & \cos \gamma
\end{array}\right)
$$

Following the notations in [OCGD08], we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{XPR} & =\frac{\left|m_{v v}\right|^{2}}{\left|m_{h v}\right|^{2}}=\frac{\left|m_{h h}\right|^{2}}{\left|m_{v h}\right|^{2}}=\frac{(\cos \gamma)^{2}}{(\sin \gamma)^{2}}=(\cot \gamma)^{2}  \tag{71}\\
\gamma & =\operatorname{arccot}(\sqrt{\mathrm{XPR}}) \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

However, when the XPR is different for the vertical and horizontal component [OCGD08, QOHDD10], then we get three parameters:

$$
\mathrm{XPR}_{v}=\frac{\left|m_{v v}\right|^{2}}{\left|m_{h v}\right|^{2}} \quad \mathrm{XPR}_{h}=\frac{\left|m_{h h}\right|^{2}}{\left|m_{v h}\right|^{2}} \quad \mathrm{CPR}=\frac{\left|m_{v v}\right|^{2}}{\left|m_{h h}\right|^{2}}
$$

In order to fulfill all three, we can combine two rotations, one for the vertical and one for the horizontal component, with a scaling operation. We convert $\mathrm{XPR}_{v}$ and $\mathrm{XPR}_{h}$ to rotation angles $\gamma_{v}$ and $\gamma_{h}$ using (72) and calculate $\mathbf{M}_{\gamma}$ to

$$
\mathbf{M}_{\gamma}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \gamma_{v} & -\tan \gamma_{h} \cdot \cos \gamma_{v} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{CPR}}}  \tag{73}\\
\sin \gamma_{v} & \cos \gamma_{v} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{CPR}}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Elliptic polarization is obtained, when there is a phase difference $\kappa$ between the horizontal and the vertical component. This is included by a scaling matrix

$$
\mathbf{M}_{\kappa}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0  \tag{74}\\
0 & \exp j \kappa
\end{array}\right)
$$

The antenna-dependent parameters $\beta_{r}, \beta_{t}$ and $\alpha$ are handled as in the LOS case using (68) which results in a rotation matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}(\vartheta)$. The transformations are then combined to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\left\|\mathbf{M}_{\gamma}\right\|_{F}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{M}}(\vartheta) \cdot \mathbf{M}_{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{M}_{\kappa} \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

The normalization with the Frobenius norm $\left\|\mathbf{M}_{\gamma}\right\|_{F}$ ensures that $\mathbf{M}$ does not change the power of the multipath component.

### 3.2.5 Calculation of the Channel Coefficients

Implemented in $\Rightarrow$ channel_builder.get_channels and $\Rightarrow$ array.interpolate
Next, we combine antenna patterns, polarization and phases to calculate initial channel coefficients for each snapshot of a segment. The antennas are defined by their polarimetric response $\mathbf{F}$ containing vertical and the horizontal polarization in spherical coordinates $\left[\mathrm{NKS}^{+} 07\right]$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{F}(\phi, \theta)=\binom{F_{V}(\phi, \theta)}{F_{H}(\phi, \theta)} \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we already know the arrival- and departure angles of each MPC, we can combine the response from both, the Tx and Rx antenna with the polarization rotation and get the coefficient

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{r, t, l, m, s}^{[\mathrm{pol}]}=\mathbf{F}_{r}\left(\phi^{a}, \theta^{a}\right)^{T} \cdot \mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{t}\left(\phi^{d}, \theta^{d}\right) \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each MPC has a random initial phase $\psi^{0}$. Hence, by summing up the 20 sub-paths in order to get one path per cluster, we get a random cluster power. This is compensated by normalization where we first sum up the complex phases and then average the power over all $S$ snapshots of the segment. We calculate the "raw" channel coefficients as

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{r, t, l, m, s}^{+} & =\exp \left(-j \psi_{l, m}^{0}-j \psi_{r, t, l, m, s}\right)  \tag{78}\\
P_{r, t, l}^{[\text {norm }]} & =\frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^{S}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{20} \psi_{r, t, l, m, s}^{+}\right)^{2}  \tag{79}\\
g_{r, t, l, s}^{[\mathrm{raw}]} & =\sqrt{\frac{P_{l}}{P_{r, t, l}^{[\mathrm{norm}]}}} \cdot \sum_{m=1}^{20} g_{r, t, l, m, s}^{[\mathrm{pol}]} \cdot \psi_{r, t, l, m, s}^{+} \tag{80}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P_{l}$ is the initial power assigned to each cluster.

### 3.2.6 Path Gain, Shadow Fading and K-Factor

Implemented in $\Rightarrow$ channel_builder.get_channels and $\Rightarrow$ parameter_set.get_sf_profile
Now, we apply the path gain (PG), the shadow fading (SF) and the KF. A common PG model for macrocellular settings is given by M. Hata [Hat80] where the PG scales with the logarithm of the distance $d$ (in units of meters) between BS and terminal.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{PG}_{[\mathrm{dB}]}=-A \cdot 10 \log _{10} d_{[\mathrm{m}]}-B \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

$A$ and $B$ are scenario-specific coefficients which are typically determined by measurements. $A$ often varies between 2 and 4 , depending on the propagation conditions, the BS height and other factors.

The values for the SF and the KF are obtained from the map by an interpolation of the surrounding pixels at the position of the $s^{\text {th }}$ snapshot. The KF at the initial position is already included due to the scaling in (34). Thus, we have to take this into account and scale the power accordingly.

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{r, t, l, s}=P_{s}^{[\mathrm{MT}]} \cdot \begin{cases}\sqrt{\frac{K_{s}}{K_{0}}} \cdot g_{r, t, 1, s}^{[\mathrm{raw}]} & \text { for } l=1 ; \\
g_{r, t, l, s}^{[\mathrm{raw}]} & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}  \tag{82}\\
& P_{s}^{[\mathrm{MT}]}=\sqrt{10^{\left.0.1 \cdot \mathrm{PG}_{[\mathrm{dB}]_{s}}+0.1 \cdot \mathrm{SF}_{[\mathrm{dB}]}\right]_{s}}} \cdot \sqrt{1+P_{1}\left(\frac{K_{s}}{K_{0}}-1\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

$K_{s}$ and $\mathrm{SF}_{[\mathrm{dB}]_{s}}$ are the interpolated values for the KF and the SF from the map, $K_{0}$ is the KF at the initial position, $\mathrm{PG}_{[\mathrm{dB}]_{s}}$ is the path gain at the MT position (81) and $P_{1}$ is the power of the LOS cluster from (34).


Figure 14: Illustration of the SF drifting along a terminal track

### 3.2.7 Transitions between Segments

Until now, the calculations were done for each segment of a MT trajectory independently. Longer sequences are created by merging the channels from adjacent segments into one long sequence. The basic idea comes from the documentation of the WINNER II model $\left[\mathrm{KMH}^{+} 07\right]$. However, it was neither implemented nor tested. Our implementation requires overlapping segments as depicted in Fig. 15, top. Like in a movie, the transition is carried out by ramping down the power of paths in the old segment and at the same time ramping up the power of paths from the new segment. Hence, this process describes the birth and death of clusters along the trajectory. In order to keep the computational overhead low, we split the overlapping part into several sub-intervals equal to the minimum number of clusters in both segments. During each sub-interval, the power of one old cluster ramps down and one new cluster ramps up. We model power ramps by a squared sine function to allow smooth transitions.

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{[\sin ]}=\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{2} \cdot w^{[\text {lin }]}\right) \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w^{[\text {lin }]}$ is a linear ramping function ranging from 0 to 1 . $w^{[\sin ]}$ is the corresponding sine-shaped ramping function having the advantage of a constant slope at the points 0 and 1 , which prevents inconsistencies at the edges of the intervals. If the number of clusters is different in both segments, clusters are ramped up or down without a counterpart from the new/old segment. The ramp is then stretched over the whole overlapping area. For the LOS path, we continuously adjust power and phase over the overlapping area since it has the same delay in both segments.

In order to minimize the impact of the transition on the instantaneous values of the LSPs, paths need to be carefully matched. For example, if a path with a small delay ramps down and a similarly strong path with a longer delay ramps up, then the DS increases. This increase (or decrease) can fluctuate randomly along the merging interval. To balance it out, we pair paths from both segments that minimize the fluctuations. This is done by first determining the values of the DS before and after the transition. Then, we calculate a


Figure 15: Top: Illustration of the overlapping area used for calculating the transitions between segments (step G); Bottom: Illustration of the interpolation to to obtain variable MT speeds (step H)
target DS for each sub-interval. For example, if the old segment yields a DS of 200 ns and the new segment has 400 ns , then the target-DS will be 220 ns for the first sub-interval, 240 ns for the second and so on. Then we look for a combination of paths to ramp up/down in each sub-interval that best matches the DS along the overlapping area to the target area in a mean square sense.

### 3.2.8 Postprocessing / Variable Speeds

Realistic channel traces incorporate arbitrary speeds, accelerations and decelerations. Provided that the channel sampling theorem is fulfilled, we can interpolate the coefficients as it is illustrated in Fig. 15, bottom. The white dots represent the snapshots at a constant distance. However, the sample points (gray stars) can have an unequal spacing, e.g. for an accelerated movement. Each sample point in the time domain (given in units of seconds) has a corresponding sample point on the track (in units of meters). The amplitudes and phases of the channel coefficients are interpolated separately using a cubic spline interpolation. The path delays are interpolated with a piecewise cubic hermite interpolating polynomial.

## A Tutorials

In the following, we provide a variety of tutorials that can get you started with QuaDRiGa. You can also use the MATLAB Help to access these files.

## A. 1 Network Setup and Parameter Generation

The channel model class 'parameter set' generates correlated values for the LSPs. The channel builder then uses those values to create coefficients that have the specific properties defined in 'parameter_set'. One important question is therefore: Can the same properties which are defined in 'parameter_set' also be found in the generated coefficients? This is an important test to verify, if all components of the channel builder work correctly.

Channel model setup and coefficient generation We first set up the basic parameters. We do not need drifting here, since no time varying channels are generated.

```
close all
clear all
set(0,'defaultTextFontSize', 14)
set(0,'defaultAxesFontSize', 14)
s = simulation_parameters;
s.center_frequency = 2.53e9;
s.sample_density = 2;
s.use_absolute_delays = 1;
s.drifting_precision = 0;
```

We have one transmitter and 250 receiver positions. Each receiver gets a specific channel. However, the receivers LSPs will be correlated. We use omni directional antennas at all terminals.

```
l = layout( s );
l.no_rx = 250;
l.randomize_rx_positions( 200 , 1.5 , 1.5 , 1 ); % 200 m radius, 1.5 m Rx height
l.track.set_scenario('BERLIN_UMa_NLOS');
l.tx_position(3) = 25; % 25 m tx height
l.tx_array.generate( 'omni' );
l.rx_array = l.tx_array;
l.visualize([],[],0);
view(-33, 60);
```



Figure 16: Distribution of the users in the scenario.
We set up the scenario such that there is no XPR. I.e. all vertical polarized paths will remain vertical after a reflection. The same result would be achieved with a perfectly X-polarized array at the receiver and summing up the power over all elements. We further increase the KF to have a wider spread. This allows us to study the parameters at a wider range when evaluating the results.

```
p = l.create_parameter_sets(0);
p.plpar = [];
p.scenpar.xpr_mu = 100; % Disable XPR
p.scenpar.xpr_sigma = 0;
p.scenpar.KF_mu = 5; % Increase KF-Range
p.scenpar.KF_sigma = 15;
p.scenpar.DS_mu = log10(0.6e-6); % Median DS = 600 ns
p.scenpar.DS_sigma = 0.3; % 300-1200 ns range
p.update_parameters;
c = p.get_channels;
coeff = squeeze( cat( 1, c.coeff ) );
delay = permute( cat(3,c.delay) , [3,1,2] );
```

| Parameters | [000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000] | 5 | seconds |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Channels | $[00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000]$ | 8 | seconds |

Results and discussion In the following four plots, we extract parameters from the generated coefficients and compare them with the initial ones which were generated by the 'parameter_set' object ( P ). The values in $(\mathrm{P})$ can be seen as a request to the channel builder and the values in the generated coefficients ( C ) as a delivery.

We first calculate the SF from the channel data by summing up the power over all 20 taps. We see, that the values are almost identical.

```
sf = sum(mean( abs(coeff).^2 ,3),2);
figure
plot(-35:35,-35:35,'k')
hold on
plot([-35:35]+3,-35:35,'--k')
plot([-35:35]-3, -35:35,'--k')
plot( 10*log10(p.sf') , 10*log10(sf) , '.' )
hold off
axis([ -25 , 25 , -25, 25 ])
legend('Equal','+/- 3dB',4)
xlabel('SF_P [dB]');
ylabel('SF_C [dB]');
title('Shadow Fading - Requested vs. generated value');
```



Figure 17: Comparison of input values and simulation results

Next, we repeat the same calculation for the K-Factor. Again, we see that the values are almost identical.

```
p_nlos = sum(mean( abs(coeff(:, 2: end,:)).^2 , 3),2);
p_los = mean( abs(coeff(:,1,:)).^2 ,3);
kf = p_los./p_nlos;
figure
plot(-35:35,-35:35,'k')
hold on
plot([-35:35]+3,-35:35,'--k')
plot([-35:35]-3,-35:35,'--k')
plot( 10*log10(p.kf') , 10*log10(kf) , '.' )
hold off
axis([ -30 , 30 , -30, 30 ])
legend('Equal','+/- 3dB',4)
xlabel('KF_P [dB]');
ylabel('KF_C [dB]');
title('K-Factor - Requested vs. generated value');
```

Now we repeat the calculation for the RMS delays spread.

```
pow_tap = abs(coeff).^2;
pow_sum = sum(pow_tap,2);
mean_delay = sum( pow_tap.*delay,2) ./ pow_sum;
ds = sqrt( sum( pow_tap.*delay.^2 ,2)./ pow_sum - mean_delay.^2 );
ds = mean(ds,3);
figure
plot([0:0.1:2],[0:0.1:2],'k')
hold on
plot([0:0.1:2]*1.1,[0:0.1:2],'--k')
plot([0:0.1:2],[0:0.1:2]*1.1,'--k')
plot( p.ds'*1e6 , (ds')*1e6 , '.' )
hold off
axis([ 0,1.5,0,1.5 ])
legend('Equal','+/- 10% Error',4)
xlabel('DS_P [\mus]');
ylabel('DS_C [\mus]');
title('Delay Spread - Requested vs. generated value');
```

The following plot shows the RMSDS of the requested and generated values (in dB ) vs. the K-factor. A value of +3 means, that the RMSDS of the generated coefficients is twice a high as in the parameter_set (P). We see, that for a K-Factor of up to 30 dB , the DS difference is small (less than 3 dB ).

```
figure
plot([-35,35],[0,0],'k')
hold on
plot([-35,35],[-3, -3],'--k')
plot([-35,35],[3,3],'--k')
plot( 10*log10(p.kf'), 10*log10(ds./p.ds') , '.' )
hold off
axis([ -30 , 30 , -6 6 ])
legend('Equal','+/- 3dB',3)
xlabel('KF_P [dB]');
ylabel('DS_P - DS_C [dB]');
title('Delay Spread difference vs. K-factor');
```

```
close all
disp(['QuaDRiGa Version: ',simulation_parameters.version])
```

```
QuaDRiGa Version: 1.0.1-145
```


## A. 2 Simulating a Measured Scenario

This script recreates a measured drive test from the Park Inn Hotel at Berlin Alexanderplatz. The transmitter was at the rooftop of the hotel while the mobile receiver was moving south on Grunerstraße. A simplified version of the scenario is recreated in the simulation where the scenarios along the track were classified by hand.

Channel model setup and coefficient generation First, we set up the channel model.

```
set(0,'defaultTextFontSize', 14)
set(0,'defaultAxesFontSize', 14)
RandStream.setGlobalStream(RandStream('mt19937ar','seed',1));
close all
clear all
s = simulation_parameters; % Basic simulation parameters
s.center_frequency = 2.185e9;
s.sample_density = 2;
s.use_absolute_delays = 1;
t = track('linear',500,-135*pi/180); % Track with 500m length, direction SE
t.initial_position = [120;-120;0]; % Start position
t.interpolate_positions( 1 ); % Interpolate to 1 sample per meter
t.segment_index = [1 [ 45 97 108 110 160 190 215 235 245 \ldots..
    280 295 304 330 400 430 ]; % Set segments (states)
Sl = 'MIMOSA_10-45_LOS';
Sn = 'MIMOSA_10-45_NLOS';
t.scenario = {Sn,Sl,Sn,Sl,Sn,Sn,Sn,Sl,Sn,Sl,Sn,Sl,Sn,Sn,Sn,Sn};
t.interpolate_positions( 3 );
l = layout( s );
l.tx_position = [0;0;125]; % Set the position of the Tx
l.track = t; % Set the rx-track
l.tx_array = array('rhcp-lhcp-dipole'); % Generate Tx antenna
l.tx_array.rotate_pattern(30,'y'); % 30 deg Tilt
l.tx_array.rotate_pattern(-90,'z'); % point southwards
l.rx_array = array('rhcp-lhcp-dipole'); % Rx-Antenna
l.rx_array.rotate_pattern(-90,'y'); % point skywards
l.visualize;
view(-33, 45);
lnk = [ l.tx_position, ...
    l.track.positions(:,l.track.segment_index(2))+l.track.initial_position ];
hold on
plot3( lnk(1,:),lnk(2,:),lnk(3,:) , '--' )
hold off
```

Generate channel coefficients Next, we calculate the channel coefficients.

```
[p,cb] = l.create_parameter_sets (0);
p(2).scenpar.NumClusters = 14;
p.update_parameters;
c = cb.get_channels;
cn = c.merge(0.2);
```

| Parameters | [00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000] | 31 | seconds |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Channels | $[00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000]$ | 15 | seconds |
| Merging | $[00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000]$ | 2 | seconds |



Figure 18: Scenario setup for the comparison of simulated and measured data

Results First, we plot the PDP vs distance from the start point (see Fig. 19).

```
h = cn(1).fr( 20e6,256);
pdp = squeeze(sum(sum( abs(ifft(h,[],3)).^2 , 1),2));
pdp = 10*log10(pdp.');
figure
imagesc(pdp(end:-1:1,1:192));
cm = colormap('hot');
colormap (cm(end:-1:1,:));
caxis([ max (max (pdp)) -60 max (max (pdp)) -5 ]);
colorbar
title('Time variant power delay profile');
set(gca,'XTick', 1:32:192);
set(gca,'XTickLabel',(0:32:192)/20e6*1e6);
xlabel('Delay [\mus]');
ind = sort( cn.no_snap : -cn(1).no_snap/10 : 1);
set(gca,'YTick', ind );
set(gca,'YTickLabel', round(sort(500-ind / 3,'descend')) );
ylabel('Distance [m]');
```



Figure 19: 2D PDP of the simulated track

The next plot shows the total received power along the path (Fig. 20, top left). Green shaded ares are LOS. The rest is NLOS.

```
dist = (1:cn.no_snap)*l.track.get_length/cn.no_snap;
ind = find(strcmp(l.track.scenario,Sl));
los = [];
for n = 1:numel(ind)
    los = [los l.track.segment_index(ind(n)) : l.track.segment_index(ind(n)+1)];
end
power = 10*log10( sum( reshape( abs(cn.coeff).^2 , [] , cn.no_snap ) ,1)/4 );
ar = zeros(1,cn.no_snap);
ar(los) = -200;
figure
a = area(dist,ar);
set(a(1),'FaceColor',[0.7 0.9 0.7]);
set(a,'LineStyle','none')
hold on
plot(dist, power)
hold off
title('Position dependent power')
xlabel('Track [m]');
ylabel('Power [dB]');
axis([0 500 min(power) -5 max(power)+5])
legend('LOS','P_{total}',4)
grid on
```

The following plot (Fig. 20, top right) shows the distribution (PDF) of the received power for both, the LOS and NLOS segments.

```
bins= -150:2:-80;
p_los = hist(power(los),bins)/cn.no_snap*100;
p_nlos = hist(power(setdiff(1:cn.no_snap,los)),bins)/cn.no_snap*100;
figure
bar(bins,[p_los;p_nlos]')
axis([-124.5,-83,0, ceil(max([p_los,p_nlos]))])
grid on
colormap('Cool')
title('Empirical PDF of the \ac{LOS} and NLOS power')
xlabel('P_{total} [dB]');
ylabel('Probability [%]');
legend('LOS','NLOS',1)
```

The next plot shows the RMS delay spread along the path. Again, shaded ares are for the LOS segments.

```
pow_tap = squeeze(sum(sum(abs(cn.coeff).^2,1),2));
pow_sum = sum( pow_tap,1 );
mean_delay = sum( pow_tap.*cn.delay ,1) ./ pow_sum;
ds = sqrt( sum( pow_tap.*cn.delay.^2 ,1)./ pow_sum - mean_delay.^2 );
ar = zeros(1,cn.no_snap);
ar(los) = 10;
figure
a = area(dist,ar);
set(a(1),'FaceColor',[0.7 0.9 0.7]);
set(a,'LineStyle','none')
hold on
plot( dist , ds*1e6 )
hold off
ma = 1e6*( max (ds) +0.1*max(ds) );
axis([0 500 0 ma])
title('Position dependant delay spread');
xlabel('Track [m]');
ylabel('Delay Spread [dB]');
legend('LOS','\sigma_\tau',1)
grid on
```

The final plot (Fig. 20, bottom right) shows the distribution (PDF) of the RMS delay spread for both, the LOS and NLOS segments.

```
bins = 0:0.03:3;
ds_los = hist(ds(los)*1e6,bins)/cn.no_snap*100;
ds_nlos = hist(ds(setdiff(1:cn.no_snap,los))*1e6,bins)/cn.no_snap*100;
figure
bar(bins,[ds_los;ds_nlos]')
axis([0,1.5,0,ceil(max([ds_los,ds_nlos]))])
grid on
colormap('Cool')
title('Empirical PDF of the LOS and NLOS RMSDS')
xlabel('\sigma_\tau [\mus]');
ylabel('Probability [%]');
legend('LOS','NLOS',1)
```

```
close all
disp(['QuaDRiGa Version: ', simulation_parameters.version])
```

```
QuaDRiGa Version: 1.0.1-145
```



Figure 20: Results for the measurement based simulation tutorial

## A. 3 Generation of Satellite Channels

This script demonstrates the parametrization of the channel model to generate time-continuous sequences for a satellite scenario.

Setting up the Simulation Parameters First, we set up the general simulation parameters. We choose a center frequency of 2.1 GHz . We also want to use drifting in order to get the correct delays and angles for the time-continuous simulation. A sample density of 2.5 ensures that the channel coefficients can be interpolated to different playback speeds later on.

```
close all
clear all
s = simulation_parameters; % Basic simulation parameters
s.center_frequency = 2.185e9;
s.sample_density = 0.25;
RandStream.setGlobalStream(RandStream('mt19937ar','seed',1));
```

Creating a random Track and defining states along the track Next, we generate a simulation track. A track describes the movement of a mobile terminal. It is composed of an ordered list of positions. During the simulation, one snapshot is generated for each position on the track. Later on, the generation of the track is done by the state sequence generator. Here, we implement a simple version of the sequence generator to generate a random track. We first create a set of streets with different length. We assume a normal distribution of the street length where the parameters mu and sigma were fitted from random distances between two crossings in central Berlin (measured with Google earth).

```
street_length_mu = 187;
% Average street length in m
street_length_sigma = 83;
min_street_length = 50;
turn_probability = 0.4; % The prob. that the car turns at a crossing
curve_radius = 10; % The curve radius in m
diro = rand * 2*pi; % Random start direction
```

For the given parameters, we calculate a list of points along the track that resemble the street grid and the turns at crossings.

```
point = 0; % The start point (always at [0,0])
m = 1; % A counter for the points
for n = 1:3 % We simulate 3 street segments
    % Get a random street length drawn from the distribution defined above
    street_length = randn*street_length_sigma + street_length_mu;
    while street_length < min_street_length
            street_length = randn*street_length_sigma + street_length_mu;
        end
        % Get 3 points along the street
        point(m+1) = point(m) + exp(1j*diro) * street_length*0.1;
        point(m+2) = point(m) + exp(1j*diro) * street_length*0.9;
        point(m+3) = point(m) + exp(1j*diro) * street_length;
        m=m+3;
        % At a crossing, the car could change its direction. This is
        % modeled here
        if rand < turn_probability
            dirn = diro + sign( rand-0.5 ) * pi/2 + randn*pi/12;
            point(m+1) = point(m) + curve_radius*( exp(1j*diro) + exp(1j*dirn) );
            diro = dirn;
            m=m+1;
        end
end
```

Next, we create a track object and pass the points along the track. We then use the internal interpolation functions to interpolate the track to 1 point per meter.

```
t = track; % Create a track object
t.positions = [ real(point) ; imag(point) ; zeros(1,numel(point))];
t.interpolate_positions( 1 ); % Interpolate to 1 point per meter
```

We now assemble a rudimentary state sequence generator that generates different states along the track. We first define the distribution parameters of the segment length and then calculate the segments themselves. The two possible states are "MIMOSA_10-45_LOS" which stands for LOS or good state and "MIMOSA_1045_NLOS" for NLOS or bad state.

```
segment_length_mu = 30; % Average segment length in m
segment_length_sigma = 12; % Standard deviation in m
min_segment_length = 10; % Minimum segment length in m
% Now we define the segments (the states) along the track
ind = 1;
while ind < t.no_snapshots
    % Each scenario has a 50% probability
    if rand < 0.5
        t.scenario{ t.no_segments } = 'MIMOSA_10-45_LOS' ;
    else
        t.scenario{ t.no_segments } = 'MIMOSA_10-45_NLOS' ;
    end
    % Get the length of the current segment
    segment_length = randn*segment_length_sigma + segment_length_mu;
    while segment_length<min_segment_length
        segment_length = randn*segment_length_sigma + segment_length_mu;
    end
    segment_length = round(segment_length); % Segment length
    ind = ind + segment_length; % Start of next segment
    if ind < t.no_snapshots % Exception for the last segment
        t.no_segments = t.no_segments + 1;
        t.segment_index( t.no_segments ) = ind;
    end
end
```



Figure 21: Receiver track for the satellite channel tutorial

Finally, we interpolate the track to the given sample density ( 2 samples per half-wave-length) and plot the track (see Fig. 21).

```
t.interpolate_positions( s.samples_per_meter );
t.visualize;
```

Defining Antenna Arrays In the third step, we set up our antenna arrays for the transmitter at the satellite and the receiver. We use synthetic dipole antennas for this case. Two dipoles are crossed by an angle of 90 degree. The signal is then split and fed with a 90 degree phase shift to both elements generating RHCP and LHCP signals.

```
% Create a patch antenna with 120 degree opening
a = array('custom', 120,120,0);
% Copy element 1 to element 2 - the resulting antenna array has two
% elements, both dipoles.
a.copy_element (1,2);
% Rotate the second pattern by 90 degree around the x-axis.
a.rotate_pattern(90,'x',2);
% Set the coupling between the elements. The Tx-signal for the first
% element is shifted by +90 degree out of phase and put on the second element.
% The signal for the second element is shifted by -90 degree and copied to the
% first element. Both antennas thus radiate a RHCP and a LHCP wave.
a.coupling = 1/sqrt(2) * [1 1;1j -1j];
% Create a copy of the array for the receiver.
b = a.copy_objects;
b.coupling = 1/sqrt(2) * [1 1;1j -1j];
% Rotate the receive antenna array to face sky-wards.
b.rotate_pattern(-90,'y');
b.visualize; % Plot the pattern of the Rx-Antenna
```



Figure 22: Antenna patterns for the satellite channel tutorial

Setting up the Layout In this step, we combine the track, the antennas and the position of the satellite into a simulation layout. A layout object contains all the geometric information that are necessary to run the simulation. First, we define the position of the satellite. Since the model uses Cartesian coordinates, we have to transform the position of the satellite first.

```
l = layout( s ); % Create a new layout
% Choose a random satellite position (Astra 2, seen from Berlin).
% The distance only needs to be big enough to ensure insignificant changes
% in the reception angle on the ground.
sat_el = 28.4; % Elevation angle
sat_az = 161.6; % Azimuth angle (South = 180 degree)
rx_latitude = 51; % Latitude of the Rx
% Approximate the satelite distance for GEO orbit
dist_x = 35786 + rx_latitude/90 * 6384; % [km]
dist_y = (1-rx_latitude/90) * 6384; % [km]
sat_dist = sqrt(dist_x^2 + dist_y^2); % [km]
sat_dist = sat_dist*1e3; % [m]
% Transform angles to Cartesian coordinates
sat_x = sat_dist * cosd(sat_el) * cosd( -sat_az+90 );
sat_y = sat_dist * cosd(sat_el) * sind( -sat_az+90 );
sat_z = sat_dist * sind(sat_el);
% We also turn the antenna of the satellite so it points to the receiver.
a.rotate_pattern( sat_el , 'y' );
a.rotate_pattern( 270-sat_az , 'z' );
% Set the satellite position in the layout
l.tx_position = [ sat_x ; sat_y ; sat_z ];
l.track = t; % Set the track for the receiver
l.tx_array = a; % Set the tx_array
l.rx_array = b; % Set the rx_array
```

Setting up scenario parameters Next, the large scale parameters are set. The first line calls
"l.create_parameter_sets", a built-in function that processes the data in the layout and returns a new "parameter_set" object "p". "p" is an array with two elements. One of them contains all the parameters for the good state (LOS) and one for the bad state (NLOS).

```
p = l.create_parameter_sets(0);
```

Each parameter set has two different kinds of parameters. One for the scenario and one for the current state. For example, a scenario might have an average RMS Delay spread of 158 ns plus a certain variance which defines a range for the RMSDS. In addition to that, there are cross-correlations with other parameters such as the angular spread at the transmitter. All those parameters are stored in the "scenpar" property. For the good state, that parameters are:

```
Sl = strcmp( { p(1).name , p(2).name } ,'MIMOSA-10-45-LOS_Tx1' ); % Select good state
p(Sl).scenpar % Show parameter list
```

```
ans =
        NumClusters: 8
            r_DS: 2.5000
    PerClusterAS_D: 6. 2000e-07
    PerClusterAS_A: 12
    PerClusterES_D: 1.9000e-07
    PerClusterES_A: 7
    LOS_scatter_radius: 0.1000
            LNS_ksi: 3
            xpr_mu: 11.9000
            xpr_sigma: 5.5000
```

```
            DS_mu: -7.5000
    DS_sigma: 0.3000
        AS_D_mu: -4.6000
AS_D_sigma: 0.1000
        AS_A_mu: 1.5000
AS_A_sigma: 0.2000
        ES_D_mu: -5.1200
ES_D_sigma: 0.1000
    ES_A_mu: 1.4000
ES_A_sigma: 0.1000
    SF_sigma: 3.6000
        KF_mu: 15.5000
    KF_sigma: 5.9000
    DS_lambda: 30.5000
AS_D_lambda: 1000
AS_A_lambda: 31.5000
ES_D_lambda: 1000
ES_A_lambda: 6
    SF_lambda: 35
    KF_lambda: 4.5000
        asD_ds: 0
        asA_ds: 0.6100
        asA_sf: 0.5600
        asD_sf: 0
        ds_sf: 0.4300
    asD_asA: 0
        asD_kf: 0
        asA_kf: -0.4400
        ds_kf: -0.4600
        sf_kf: -0.3000
        esD_ds: 0
        esA_ds: -0.0500
        esA_sf: 0.1800
        esD_sf: 0
    esD_esA: 0
    esD_asD: 0
    esD_asA: 0
    esA_asD: 0
    esA_asA: 0.1500
        esD_kf: 0
        esA_kf: -0.0300
```

Note that the values are given for a log-normal distribution. Thus, the RMSDS in nanoseconds follows from

```
10^( p(Sl).scenpar.DS_mu ) * 1e9
```

```
ans =
    31.6228
```

Each parameter on that list can be changed by just assigning it a new value. Here, we set the number of clusters for the LOS scenario to 7 . Note that the default settings are stored in files in the sub-folder "config" of the channel model folder. Here, the default settings can be permanently set. After a change, the parameters of the segments need to be updated. This is done by calling the "update_parameters" method.

```
p(Sl).scenpar.NumClusters = 7;
p.update_parameters;
```

```
Parameters [o००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००)] 24 seconds
```

When "update_parameter" is called, the specific parameters for each segment are generated. E.g. each segment gets assigned a RMS Delay Spread and other values which are drawn from the statistics defined in scenpar. For the LOS segments, the individual RMSDS values for each segment are:

```
rmsds = p(Sl).ds*1e9
average = mean(p(Sl).ds*1e9)
```

```
rmsds =
    Columns 1 through 7
    48.8391 6.8370 55.2154 48.7273 25.9658 29.7929 22.2436
    Columns 8 through 11
    68.7237 13.3159 85.5425 121.5296
average =
    47.8848
```

Generate channel coefficients Next, we generate the channel coefficients. This is a lengthy task. The next line then combines the channels of the individual segments into a time-continuous channel. Here, the parameter ( 0.2 ) sets the length of the overlap region between two segments. In this case, it is $20 \%$.

```
c = p.get_channels; % Generate coefficients
cn = c.merge(0.2); % Combine segments
```

| Channels | [0०००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००] | 21 seconds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Merging | [ ००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००] | 1 seconds |

Evaluation of the data The next two plots show some basic evaluations of the generated coefficients. The first plot shows the received power for the 4 MIMO links along the track. The plot shows the differences between the LOS and NLOS segments and the cross-pol discrimination between the MIMO links. The average path loss for LOS was set to -95 dB and for NLOS -113 dB .

```
dist = (1:cn.no_snap)*t.get_length/cn.no_snap;
ind = find(strcmp(t.scenario,'MIMOSA_10-45_LOS'));
los = [];
for n = 1:numel(ind)
    start = t.segment_index(ind(n));
    if n==numel(ind)
        try
            stop = t.segment_index(ind(n) +1);
        catch
            stop = t.no_snapshots;
        end
    else
        stop = t.segment_index(ind(n)+1);
    end
    los = [los start:stop];
end
power = reshape( 10*log10( squeeze(sum( abs(cn.coeff).^2 , 3 )) ) , 4,[]);
mi = min(reshape(power, [],1)) - 5;
ma = max(reshape(power,[],1)) + 5;
ar = ones(1,cn.no_snap) * ma;
ar(los) = mi;
figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 1000 , 700]);
a = area(dist,ar);
set(a(1),'FaceColor',[0.7 0.9 0.7]);
set(a,'LineStyle','none')
hold on
plot(dist, power')
hold off
```

```
xlabel('Track [m]');
ylabel('Received Power per MIMO LINK [dB]');
axis([0 t.get_length mi ma])
legend('LOS','P_{11}','P_{12}','P_{21}','P_{22}',4)
box on
title('Received power along the track')
```

The next plot shows the RMS delay spread along the path for the first MIMO element. Again, shaded ares are for the LOS segments.

```
pow_tap = abs(squeeze(cn.coeff(1,1,:,:))).^2;
pow_sum = sum( pow_tap,1 );
mean_delay = sum( pow_tap.*cn.delay ,1) ./ pow_sum;
ds = sqrt( sum( pow_tap.*cn.delay.^2 ,1)./ pow_sum - mean_delay.^2 );
ar = zeros(1,cn.no_snap);
ar(los) = 10000;
figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 1000 , 700]);
a = area(dist,ar);
set(a(1),'FaceColor',[[0.7 0.9 0.7]);
set(a,'LineStyle','none')
hold on
plot( dist , ds*1e9 )
hold off
ma = 1e9*( max (ds) +0.1*max(ds) );
axis([0 t.get_length 0 ma])
xlabel('Track [m]');
ylabel('Delay Spread [ns]');
legend('LOS','\sigma_\tau',1)
title('Position dependant delay spread');
```

```
close all
disp(['QuaDRiGa Version: ',simulation_parameters.version])
```

```
QuaDRiGa Version: 1.0.1-145
```



Figure 23: Results for the satellite channel tutorial

## A. 4 Drifting Phases and Delays

Drifting is an essential feature of the channel model. Drifting enables a continuous time evolution of the path delays, the path phases, the departure- and arrival angles and the LSPs. It is thus the enabling feature for time continuous channel simulations. Although drifting was already available in the SCME branch of the WINNER channel model, it did not make it into the main branch. Thus, drifting is not available in the WIM1, WIM2 or WIM+ model. Here the functionality is implemented again. This script focuses on the delay and the phase component of the drifting functionality.

Channel model setup and coefficient generation First, we parameterize the channel model. We start with the basic simulation parameters. For the desired output, we need two additional options: we want to evaluate absolute delays and we need to get all 20 subpaths. Normally, the subpaths are added already in the channel builder.

```
s = simulation_parameters;
s.center_frequency = 2.53e9;
s.sample_density = 4;
s.use_subpath_output = 1;
s.use_absolute_delays = 1;
```

Second, we define a user track. Here we choose a linear track with a length of 30 m . The track start 20 m east of the transmitter and runs in east direction, thus linearly increasing the distance from the receiver.

```
l = layout( s );
l.tx_position(3) = 25;
l.track.generate('linear', 30,0);
l.track.initial_position = [20;0;0];
l.track.scenario = 'WINNER_UMa_C2_LOS';
l.track.interpolate_positions( s.samples_per_meter );
l.visualize;
```



Figure 24: Scenario setup for the drifting phases tutorial
Now, we generate the LSPs. In order to get repeatable results, we set a specific random seed. This is a MATLAB internal function and is not a feature of the channel model. We also set the shadow fading and K-factor to 1 and disable the path loss model.

```
RandStream.setGlobalStream(RandStream('mt19937ar','seed',5));
p = l.create_parameter_sets;
p.parameter_maps(:,:,[\begin{array}{ll}{2}&{3}\end{array}])=0;}\quad%Fix SF and KF to 
p.plpar = []; % Disable path loss model
p.update_parameters;
```

Parameters [0०००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००] 1 seconds

Now, we generate the channel coefficients. The first run uses the new drifting module, the second run disables it. Note that drifting needs significantly more computing resources. In some scenarios it might thus be useful to disable the feature to get quicker simulation results.

```
s.drifting_precision = 1;
RandStream.setGlobalStream(RandStream('mt19937ar','seed', 2));
c = p.get_channels;
s.drifting_precision = 0;
RandStream.setGlobalStream(RandStream('mt19937ar','seed', 2));
d = p.get_channels;
```

```
Channels [00000000००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००] 12 seconds
Channels [o०००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००)] 7 seconds
```

Results and discussion The following plots represent the results of the test.

```
figure
distance = 20+(1:c.no_snap)*l.track.get_length/c.no_snap;
plot( distance, c.delay(1,:)*1e9 , '-b' )
hold on
plot( distance, d.delay(1,:)*1e9 , '-.b' )
plot( distance, c.delay(2,:)*1e9 , '-r' )
plot( distance, d.delay(2,:)*1e9 , '-.r, )
hold off
xlabel('Distance from track start point')
ylabel('Delay [ns] ')
```

The first plot (Fig. 25) shows the delay of the LOS tap (blue) and the delay of the first NLOS tap (red) vs. distance. The solid lines are from the channel with drifting, the dashed lines are from the channel without. The LOS delay is always increasing since the Rx is moving away from the Tx. However, the increase is not linear due to the 25 m height of the Tx. Without drifting, the delays are not updated and stay constant during the segment. The position of the first scatterer is in close distance to the Rx (only some maway).


Figure 25: Cluster delays vs. Rx position (drifting phases tutorial)

When moving, the Rx first approaches the scatterer (delay gets a bit smaller) and then the distance increases again.

```
phase = unwrap(angle(squeeze((c.coeff(1,1,2,:,:)))));
plot( distance,phase )
xlabel('Distance from track start point')
ylabel('Continuous phase')
```

The second plot (Fig. 26, left) shows the phases of the 20 subpaths of the first NLOS tap for the drifting case. Note that the phases are not linear. This comes from the close proximity of the scatterer to the initial Rx position. The position of all 20 reflection points are calculated by the channel model. Those position mark the position of the last bounce scatterer (LBS). When moving the Rx, the distance to the LBS changes for each subpath and so does the phase. Here, the phase of each of the subpaths is calculated from the length of the path.

```
pow = abs(squeeze(sum( c.coeff(1,1,2,:,:) , 5 ))).^2;
plot( distance,10*log10(pow),'-r' )
xlabel('Distance from track start point')
ylabel('Tap power (dB)')
```

This plot shows the power of the first NLOS tap along the track. The fading is significantly higher in the beginning and becomes much less strong towards the end.

```
phase = unwrap(angle(squeeze((d.coeff(1,1,2,:,:)))));
plot( distance,phase )
xlabel('Distance from track start point')
ylabel('Continuous phase')
```



Figure 26: Drifting phases and Tx power vs. Rx position (drifting phases tutorial)
Without drifting, the phases of the subpaths are approximated by assuming that the angles to the LBSs do not change. However, this only holds when the distance to the LBS is large. Here, the initial distance is small (ca. 5 m ). When the initial angles are kept fixed along the track, the error is significant. Here, the phase ramp is negative, indicating a movement direction towards the scatterer and thus a higher Doppler frequency. However, when the scatterer is passed, the Rx moves away from the scatterer and the Doppler frequency becomes lower. This is not reflected when drifting is turned off.

Note that with shorter delay spreads (as e.g. in satellite channels), the scatterers are placed closer to the Rxs initial position. This will amplify this effect. Hence, for correct time evolution results, drifting needs to be turned on.

```
pow = abs(squeeze(sum( d.coeff(1,1,2,:,:) , 5 ))).^2;
plot( distance,10*log10(pow),'rr' )
xlabel('Distance from track start point')
ylabel('Tap power (dB)')
```

```
close all
disp(['QuaDRiGa Version: ',simulation_parameters.version])
```

```
QuaDRiGa Version: 1.0.1-145
```



Figure 27: Phases and Tx power vs. Rx position without drifting (drifting phases tutorial)

## A. 5 Time Evolution and Scenario Transitions

The channel model generates the coefficients separately for each segment. In order to get a time-continuous output, these coefficients have to be combined. This is a feature originally described in the documentation of the WIM2 channel model, although it was never implemented. Since this component is needed for time-continuous simulations, it was implemented here. This script sets up the simulation and creates such time-continuous CIRs.

Channel model setup and coefficient generation First, we set up the channel model.

```
close all
clear all
set(0,'defaultTextFontSize', 14)
set(0,'defaultAxesFontSize', 14)
s = simulation_parameters;
s.center_frequency = 2.53e9;
s.sample_density = 4;
s.use_absolute_delays = 1;
```

Second, we create a more complex network layout featuring an elevated transmitter ( 25 m ) and two receivers at 1.5 m height. The first Rx moves along a circular track around the receiver. The second receiver moves away from the Tx. Both start at the same point.

Note that each track is split into three segments. The first Rx goes from an LOS area to a shaded area and back. The second track also starts in the LOS area. Here, the scenario changes to another LOS segment and then to an NLOS segment. The LOS-LOS change will create new small-scale fading parameters, but the LSPs will be highly correlated between those two segments.

```
l = layout( s );
% New layout
l.no_rx = 2;
l.tx_array.generate('dipole'); % Dipola antennas at all Rx and Tx
l.rx_array = l.tx_array;
l.tx_position(3) = 25; % Elevate Tx to 25 m
UMal = 'BERLIN_UMa_LOS';
UMan = 'BERLIN_UMa_NLOS';
l.track(1).generate('circular',20*pi,0); % Circular track with 10m radius
l.track(1).initial_position = [10;0;1.5]; % Start east, running nord
l.track(1).segment_index = [1,40,90]; % Segments
l.track(1).scenario = { UMal , UMan , UMal };
l.track(2).generate('linear',20,0); % Linear track, 20 m length
l.track(2).initial_position = [10;0;1.5]; % Same start point
l.track(2).interpolate_positions( 128/20) ;
l.track(2).segment_index = [1,40,90];
l.track(2).scenario = { UMal , UMal , UMan };
l.visualize; % Plot all tracks
l.track.interpolate_positions( s.samples_per_meter );
l.track.compute_directions;
```

Now we create the channel coefficients. Fixing the random seed guarantees repeatable results (i.e. the taps will be at the same positions for both runs). Note that the computing time is significantly longer when drifting is enabled.


Figure 28: Scenario setup for the time evolution tutorial

```
RandStream.setGlobalStream(RandStream('mt19937ar','seed', 2));
p = l.create_parameter_sets;
disp('Drifting enabled:');
s.drifting_precision = 1;
RandStream.setGlobalStream(RandStream('mt19937ar','seed', 1));
c = p.get_channels;
cn = c.merge;
disp('Drifting disabled:');
s.drifting_precision = 0;
RandStream.setGlobalStream(RandStream('mt19937ar','seed', 1));
d = p.get_channels;
dn = d.merge;
```

```
Parameters [0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000] seconds
Drifting enabled:
Channels [000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000] 36 seconds
Drifting disabled:
Channels [000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000] 5econds
```

Results and discussion Now we plot and discuss the results. We start with the power of the LOS tap along the circular track and compare the outcome with and without drifting (Fig. 29, left).

```
degrees = (0:cn(1).no_snap-1)/cn(1).no_snap * 360;
los_pwr_drift = 10*log10(squeeze(abs(cn(1).coeff(1,1,1,:))).^2);
los_pwr_nodrift = 10*log10(squeeze(abs(dn(1).coeff(1,1,1,:))).^2);
figure
plot( degrees,los_pwr_drift )
hold on
plot(degrees,los_pwr_nodrift ,'-.r')
hold off
a = axis;
axis( [0 360 a(3:4)] );
xlabel('Position on circle [\degree\]');
ylabel('Power of the LOS component');
title('Power of the LOS component for the circular track');
legend('Drifting','No drifting',4);
```



Figure 29: Received power on the circular track (time evolution tutorial)

When drifting is enabled (Fig. 29, left, blue curve), the channel output after merging is time-continuous. The variations along the track come from the drifting K-Factor and the drifting shadow fading. When drifting is disabled, these parameters are not updated and kept fixed at their initial value.

At the end of each segment, both channels are cross-faded. I.e. the power of the output of the first segment ramps down and the power of the second segment ramps up. Since drifting guarantees a time-continuous evolution of the phase, this ramping process is also time continuous and no artifacts are visible in the blue curve.

Without drifting, however, the phases are approximated based on their initial values, the initial arrivaland departure angles and the traveled distance from the start point. However, since the Rx moves along a circular track, the angles change continuously which is not correctly modeled. The phase at the end of the first segment does not match the phase at the beginning of the second. When adding both components, artifacts appear as can be seen in the red curve.

Next, we plot the power-delay profiles for both tracks. We calculate the frequency response of the channel and transform it back to the time domain by an IFFT. Then, we create a 2 D image of the received power at each position of the track. We start with the circular track.

```
h = cn(1).fr( 100e6,512 );
h = squeeze(h);
pdp = 10*log10(abs(ifft(h,[],1).').^2);
figure
imagesc(pdp(:, 1:256));
caxis([ max (max (pdp)) -50 max (max (pdp)) -5 ]);
colorbar
cm = colormap('hot');
colormap(cm(end:-1:1,:));
set(gca,'XTick', 1:32:255);
set(gca,'XTickLabel', (0:32:256)/100e6*1e6);
xlabel('Delay [\mus]');
set(gca,'YTick',1:cn(1).no_snap/8:cn(1).no_snap);
set(gca,'YTickLabel', (0:cn(1).no_snap/8:cn(1).no_snap)/cn(1).no_snap * 360) ;
ylabel('Position on circle [\degree\]');
title('PDP for the circular track with drifting');
```

The X-axis (Fig. 29, right) shows the delay in microseconds and the Y-axis shows the position on the circle. For easier navigation, the position is given in degrees. $0^{\circ}$ means east (starting point), $90^{\circ}$ means north, $180^{\circ}$ west and $270^{\circ}$ south. The LOS delay stays constant since the distance to the Tx is also constant.

However, the power of the LOS changes according to the scenario. Also note, that the NLOS segment has significantly more taps due to the longer delay spread. Next, we create the same plot for the linear track (Fig. 30). Note the slight increase in the LOS delay and the high similarity of the first two LOS segments due to the correlated LSPs. Segment change is at around 6 m .

```
h}=cn(2).fr(100e6,512)
h = squeeze(h);
pdp = 10*log10(abs(ifft(h,[],1).').^2);
figure
imagesc(pdp (:, 1:256));
caxis([ max (max (pdp)) -50 max (max (pdp)) -5 ])
colorbar
cm = colormap('hot');
colormap (cm(end:-1:1,:));
set(gca,'XTick', 1:32:255);
set(gca,'XTickLabel', (0:32:256)/100e6*1e6);
xlabel('Delay [\mus]');
set(gca,'YTick',1:cn(2).no_snap/8:cn(2).no_snap);
set(gca,'YTickLabel', (0:cn(2).no_snap/8:cn(2).no_snap)/cn(2).no_snap * 20 );
ylabel('Distance from start point [m]');
title('PDP for the linear track with drifting');
```

Last, we plot the same results for the linear track without drifting (Fig. 30, right). Note that the LOS delay is not smooth during segment change. There are two jumps at 6 m and again at 13.5 m .

```
h}=dn(2).fr(100e6,512)
h = squeeze(h);
pdp = 10* log10(abs(ifft(h,[],1).').^2);
figure
imagesc(pdp (:, 1:256));
caxis([ max (max (pdp)) -50 max (max (pdp)) -5 ])
colorbar
cm = colormap('hot');
colormap (cm(end:-1:1,:)) ;
set(gca,'XTick', 1:32:255);
set(gca,'XTickLabel',(0:32:256)/100e6*1e6);
xlabel('Delay [\mus]');
set(gca,'YTick', 1:cn(2).no_snap/8:cn(2).no_snap);
set(gca,'YTickLabel', (0:cn(2).no_snap/8:cn(2).no_snap)/cn(2).no_snap * 20 );
ylabel('Distance from start point [m]');
title('PDP for the linear track without drifting');
```

```
close all
disp(['QuaDRiGa Version: ',simulation_parameters.version])
```

```
QuaDRiGa Version: 1.0.1-145
```



Figure 30: Received power on the linear track (time evolution tutorial)

## A. 6 Applying Varying Speeds (Channel Interpolation)

One new feature that makes the simulations more realistic is the function to apply arbitrary speed- and movement profiles, e.g. accelerating, breaking or moving at any chosen speed. These profiles are defined in the track class. The profiles are then converted into effective sampling points which aid the interpolation of the channel coefficients.

Channel model setup First, we set up the simulation parameters. Note the sample density of 2.5 which enables very fast simulations even with drifting.

```
s = simulation_parameters;
s.center_frequency = 2.53e9;
s.sample_density = 2.5;
s.use_absolute_delays = 1;
```

Second, we define a track. It has a length of 20 m , starts at 10 m east of the transmitter and consists of three segments (LOS, NLOS, LOS). The positions are interpolated to match the sample density defined above. The track is then plugged into a network layout with one transmitter at position $(0,0,25)$. Both, transmitter and receiver are equipped with dipole antennas. The last three lines create the LSPs.

```
t = track('linear', 20,0);
t.initial_position = [60;0;1.5];
t.interpolate_positions( 128/20 );
t.segment_index = [1,40,90];
t.scenario = { 'WINNER_UMa_C2_LOS', 'WINNER_UMa_C2_NLOS', ,..
    'WINNER_UMa_C2_LOS' };
t.interpolate_positions( s.samples_per_meter );
l = layout( s );
l.tx_array.generate('dipole');
l.rx_array = l.tx_array;
l.tx_position(3) = 25;
l.track = t;
l.visualize;
RandStream.setGlobalStream(RandStream('mt19937ar','seed',5));
p = l.create_parameter_sets;
```

```
Parameters [000000००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००] 2 seconds
```

Channel generation and results Next, we generate the channel coefficients. Note that the initial sample density is 2.5 . We then interpolate the sample density to 20 . It would take ten times as long to achieve the same result with setting the initial sample density to 20 . The interpolation is significantly faster. It is done by first setting the speed to $1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ (default setting) and then creating a distance vector which contains a list of effective sampling points along the track.

```
c = p.get_channels;
cn = c.merge;
t.set_speed( 1 );
dist = t.interpolate_movement( s.wavelength/(2*20) );
ci = cn.interpolate( dist , t.get_length , 'spline' );
```

Channels [0०००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००] 5 seconds

The next plot shows the power of the first three taps from both, the original and the interpolated channel, plotted on top of each other. The values are identical except for the fact, that the interpolated values (blue line) have 5 times as many sample points.


Figure 31: Scenario setup for the speed profile tutorial

```
pwr_orig = 10*log10(squeeze(abs(cn.coeff(1,1,1:3,:))).^2);
nsnap = cn.no_snap;
dist_orig = (0:nsnap-1) * t.get_length/(nsnap-1);
pwr_int = 10*log10(squeeze(abs(ci.coeff(1,1,1:3,:))).^2);
figure
plot( dist_orig,pwr_orig , 'r','Linewidth',2 )
hold on
plot( dist,pwr_int ,'b' )
hold off
axis( [ min(dist) , max(dist) ,...
    min( pwr_orig( pwr_orig>-Inf ) ) , ...
    max( pwr_orig( pwr_orig>-Inf ) )+10 ] )
xlabel('Distance from start point [m]');
ylabel('Power [dB]');
```

Fig. 32 (right) shows the power delay profile (PDP) for the interpolated channel. As defined in the track object, it starts with a LOS segment, going into a shaded area with significantly more multipath fading at around 4 seconds and then back to LOS at around 13 sec .


Figure 32: Received power and 2D PDP for the speed profile tutorial

```
h = ci.fr( 100e6,512 );
h = squeeze(h);
pdp = 10*log10(abs(ifft(h,[],1).').`2);
figure
imagesc(pdp(:, 1:256));
caxis([ max(max (pdp)) -50 max (max (pdp)) -5 ])
colorbar
cm = colormap('hot');
colormap(cm(end:-1:1,:));
set(gca,'XTick',1:32:255);
set(gca,'XTickLabel', (0:32:256)/100e6*1e6);
xlabel('Delay [\mus]');
set(gca,'YTick',1:ci.no_snap/8:ci.no_snap);
set(gca,'YTickLabel', (0:ci.no_snap/8:ci.no_snap)/ci.no_snap * 20 );
ylabel('Time [s]');
```

Now, we create a movement profile. It is defined by a set of value pairs in track.movement_profile. The first value represents the time in seconds, the second value the position on the track. Here, we start at a position of 7 m , i.e. in the second (NLOS) segment. We then go back to the beginning of the track. This takes 5 seconds. Then, we wait there for 1 second and go to the end of the track, which we reach after additional 14 seconds.

The next step is to interpolate the sample points. This is done by the interpolate_movement method. It requires the sample interval (in s) as an input argument. Here, we choose an interval of 1 ms which gives us 1000 samples per second. Fig. 33 (left) illustrates the results.

```
t.movement_profile = [ 0,7 ; 5,0 ; 6,0 ; 20,20 ]';
dist = t.interpolate_movement( 1e-3 );
ci = cn.interpolate( dist , t.get_length );
nsnap = ci.no_snap;
time = (0:nsnap-1) * t.movement_profile(1, end)/(nsnap-1);
figure
plot( time,dist , 'r' )
xlabel('Time [s]');
ylabel('Position on track [m]');
```

The last plot (Fig. 33, right) shows the PDP of the interpolated channel with the movement profile applied. The channel starts in the second segment with a lot of fading, goes back to the first while slowing down at the same time. After staying constant for one second, the channel starts running again, speeding up towards the end of the track.

```
h = ci.fr(100e6,512 );
h = squeeze(h);
pdp = 10*log10(abs(ifft(h,[],1).').^2);
figure
imagesc(pdp (:, 1:256));
caxis([ max (max (pdp)) -50 max (max (pdp)) -5 ])
colorbar
cm = colormap('hot');
colormap (cm(end:-1:1,:));
set(gca,'XTick', 1:32:255);
set(gca,'XTickLabel', (0:32:256)/100e6*1e6);
xlabel('Delay [\mus]');
set(gca,'YTick',1:ci.no_snap/8:ci.no_snap);
set(gca,'YTickLabel', (0:ci.no_snap/8:ci.no_snap)/ci.no_snap * 20 );
ylabel('Time [s]');
```

close all
disp(['QuaDRiGa Version: ', simulation_parameters.version])

```
QuaDRiGa Version: 1.0.1-145
```



Figure 33: Movement profile (left) and interpolated PDP (right)

## A. 7 Geometric Polarization

Here, we demonstrate the polarization rotation model that calculates the path power for polarized antenna arrays. We do this by setting up the simulation with different $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{V}$ polarized antennas at the transmitter and at the receiver. Then we define a circular track around the receiver. When the receiver moves around the transmitter, it changes its antenna orientation according to the movement direction. In this way, all possible departure and elevation angles are sampled. Depending on the antenna orientation, the polarizations are either aligned (e.g. the Tx is V -polarized and the Rx is V -polarized), they are crossed (e.g. the Tx is V polarized and the Rx is H-polarized) or the polarization orientation is in between those two. The generated channel coefficients should reflect this behavior.

Setting up the simulation environment First, we have to set up the simulator with some default settings. Here, we choose a center frequency of 2.1 GHz . We also want to use drifting in order to get the correct angles for the LOS component and we set the number of transmitters and receivers to one.

```
close all
clear all
set(0,'defaultTextFontSize', 14)
set(0,'defaultAxesFontSize', 14)
s = simulation_parameters; % Set the simulation parameters
s.center_frequency = 2.1e9; % Center-frequency: 2.1 GHz
s.use_polarization_rotation = 1;
s.samples_per_meter = 360/(40*pi); % One sample per degree
s.drifting_precision = 1;
```

Setting up the antenna arrays In the second step, we set up our antenna arrays. We use the synthetic dipole antennas for this case. Those antennas show perfect polarization characteristics. First, we generate a


Figure 34: Polarimetric dipole antenna patterns for different orientations
single dipole with V-polarization. Then, we create multiple copies of this antenna element and rotate them by 45 and 90 degrees, respectively. We then use the same array for the receiver.

```
l = layout( s ); % Create a new Layout
l.tx_array.generate('dipole'); % create V-polarized dipole
l.tx_array.set_grid( (-180:10:180)*pi/180 , (-90:10:90)*pi/180 );
l.tx_array.field_pattern_vertical = ... % Normalize
    l.tx_array.field_pattern_vertical / max(max(l.tx_array.field_pattern_vertical));
l.tx_array.set_grid( (-180:5:180)*pi/180 , (-90:5:90)*pi/180 );
l.tx_array.copy_element (1,2:3); % Duplicate the element two more times
l.tx_array.rotate_pattern(45,'y',2); % 45\degree\ polarization
l.tx_array.rotate_pattern(90,'y',3); % 90\degree\ polarization
l.tx_array.visualize; % Plot the array
l.rx_array = l.tx_array; % Use the same array for the Rx
```

Defining a track The third step defines the track. Here, we use a circle with 20 m diameter starting in the east, traveling north. We also choose a LOS scenario since we want to study the LOS polarization. The transmitter is located 8 m north of the center of the circle at an elevation of 2 m .

```
l.tx_position = [ 0 ; 12 ; 6 ]; % Tx position
l.rx_position = [ 20 ; 0 ; 0 ]; % Start position for the Rx track
l.track.generate('circular',40*pi,0); % A circular track with radius 10 m
l.track.scenario = 'BERLIN_UMa_LOS'; % Chosse the Urban Macro LOS scenario
l.track.interpolate_positions( s.samples_per_meter ); % Interpolate positions
l.visualize; % Plot the track
```



Figure 35: Scenario layout

Generating channel coefficients Now, we have finished the parametrization of the simulation and we can generate the parameters. We thus create a new set of correlated LSPs and fix the shadow fading and the K-factor to some default values. This disables the drifting for those parameters. We need to do that since otherwise, drifting and polarization would interfere with each other.

```
RandStream.setGlobalStream(RandStream('mt19937ar','seed', 1));
p = l.create_parameter_sets; % Create parameter sets
p.parameter_maps(:,:,2) = 3; % Fix KF to 3 dB
p.parameter_maps (:,:,3) = 0; % Fix SF to 0 dB
p.plpar = []; % Disable path loss model
p.update_parameters;
[c,cb] = p.get_channels; % Get the channel coefficients
```

| Parameters | [00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000] | 1 | seconds |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Channels | $[00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000]$ | 3 | seconds |

Results and Evaluation We now check the results and confirm, if they are plausible or not. We start with the two vertically polarized dipoles at the Tx and at the Rx side.

The model creates 8 taps, which is the default for the Urban-Macro LOS scenario. Without path-loss and shadow fading ( $\mathrm{SF}=1$ ), the power is normalized such that the sum over all taps is 1 W and with a K-Factor of 3 dB , we get a received power of 0.67 W for the LOS component. The remaining 0.33 W are in the NLOS components. The results can be seen in Fig. 36 (top left).

```
figure
plot(abs(squeeze( c.coeff(1,1,:,:) )').^2); % Plot the graph
axis([0 360 -0.1 1]);
xlabel('Position [degrees]'); % Add description
ylabel('LOS Power, linear scale');
title('Tx: Vertical , Rx: Vertical'); % Add title
disp(['LOS power: ', num2str(mean( abs(c.coeff(1,1,1,:)).^2 , 4))])
disp(['NLOS power: ', num2str(mean( sum(abs(c.coeff(1,1,2:end,:)).^2,3) , 4))])
```

```
LOS power: 0.52851
NLOS power: 0.22249
```

The LOS power is almost constant when the Rx is south of the Tx. However, in close proximity (at $90^{\circ}$ ), the power is lowered significantly. This comes from the 2 m elevation of the Tx. When the Rx is almost under the Tx , the radiated power of the Dipole is much smaller compared to the broadside direction. The average power of the LOS is thus also lowered to 0.56 W . The average sum-power if the 7 NLOS components


Figure 36: Results from the geometric polarization tutorial
is 0.26 W . This mainly come from the XPR which leakes some power from the vertical- into the horizontal polarization and thus reduces the received power on the vertically polarized Dipole.

Next, we study two cases. Either the Tx is vertical polarized and the Rx is at $45^{\circ}$ or vise versa (Fig. 36, top right).

```
figure % New figure
plot(abs(squeeze( c.coeff(2,1,1,:) )).^2); % Tx vertical, Rx 45\degree\
hold on
plot(abs(squeeze( c.coeff(1,2,1,:) )).^2,'--r'); % Tx 45\degree\, Rx vertical
hold off
axis([0 360 -0.1 1]);
legend('Tx vertical, Rx 45\circ', 'Tx 45\circ, Rx vertical')
xlabel('Position [degrees]');
ylabel('LOS Power, linear scale');
title('Tx: Vertical , Rx: 45\circ');
```

The receiver changes its direction in a way that it always has the same orientation towards the Tx. However, due to the displacement of the Tx , the radiated power towards the Tx becomes minimal at around $90^{\circ}$. This minimum is visible in both curves (blue and red). However, the pole of the $45^{\circ}$ slanted dipole now points to a different direction which explains the difference in the two lines. When the Rx is at $45^{\circ}$ and the Tx is vertical, the pole is in the right half if the circle - resulting in a lower received power. When the Rx is Vertical and the $\operatorname{Tx}$ is $45^{\circ}$, the minimum power is achieved in the left half of the circle.

Next, we evaluate the two dipoles which are rotated by $45^{\circ}$. When moving around the circle, the Tx stays fixed and the Rx rotates. Subsequently, at one position, we will have both dipoles aligned and at another position, both will be crossed. When they are crossed, the received power will be 0 and when they are aligned, the power will match the first plot (two vertical dipoles). This can be seen in the following figure.

```
figure % New figure
plot(abs(squeeze( c.coeff(2,2,1,:) )).^2 , 'Linewidth',1);
axis([0 360 -0.1 1]);
set(gca,'XTick', 0:45:360)
xlabel('Position on circle [degrees]');
ylabel('LOS Power, linear scale');
title('Tx: 45\circ , Rx: 45\circ');
```

In the last figure, we rotated the transmit antenna by $90^{\circ}$. It is thus lying on the side and it is horizontally polarized. For the Rx , we consider three setups: Vertical (blue line), $45^{\circ}$ (green line) and $90^{\circ}$ (red line). Note that the Tx is rotated around the y-axis. At the initial position $\left(0^{\circ}\right)$, the $\mathrm{Rx}\left(45\right.$ and $\left.90^{\circ}\right)$ is rotated around the x -axis. This is because the movement direction.

```
figure % New figure
plot(abs(squeeze( c.coeff(:, 3,1,:) ))'.^2);
axis([0 360 -0.1 1]);
legend('Rx: 0\circ','Rx: 45\circ','Rx: 90\circ' )
xlabel('Position [degrees]');
ylabel('LOS Power, linear scale');
title('Tx: 90\circ , Rx: 0\circ, 45\circ, 90\circ');
```

When the receiver is vertical (blue line), both antennas are always crossed. There is no position around the circle where a good link can be established. When the receiver is horizontal (red line), however, there are two points where the two dipoles are aligned. For the $45^{\circ}$ dipole, the same behavior can be observed but with roughly half the power.

```
close all
disp(['QuaDRiGa Version: ',simulation_parameters.version])
```

```
QuaDRiGa Version: 1.0.1-145
```


## A. 8 Visualizing RHCP/LHCP Patterns

The internal algorithms of the channel model only work with linear polarization. The antenna patterns are thus only stored in H/V polarization. This script defines two circular patch antennas and places them in an environment. It then rotates the transmit antenna degree by degree and thus samples all azimuth and elevation angles. The channel model is set up to record the channel response and thus record the RHCP/LHCP response like in a measurement in an anechoic chamber.

Set up the array We set up a patch antenna with an opening angle of $120^{\circ}$. We then copy that patch and rotate it by $90^{\circ}$ around the x -axis to create an X-Pol array. We then set the coupling to $+/-90^{\circ}$ phase to transmit circular polarized waves.

```
resolution = 10; % in Degrees
a = array('custom', 120,120,0);
a.set_grid( (-180:resolution:180)*pi/180 , (-90:resolution:90)*pi/180 );
a.copy_element (1,2);
b = a.copy_objects;
a.rotate_pattern(90,'x',2);
a.coupling = 1/sqrt(2) * [1 1;1j -1j];
b.rotate_pattern(90,'x', 2);
b.coupling = 1/sqrt(2) * [1 1;1j -1j];
```

Place arrays in layout We place two of those arrays in a layout. The scenario 'LOSonly' has no NLOS scattering. One can see this setup as a perfect anechoic chamber.

```
l = layout;
l.simpar.show_progress_bars = 0;
l.simpar.drifting_precision = 0;
l.rx_position = [11;0;0];
l.track.no_snapshots = 1;
l.track.ground_direction = pi;
l.track.scenario = 'LOSonly';
l.tx_array = a;
l.rx_array = b;
p = l.create_parameter_sets;
[~,cb] = p.get_channels;
cb.pin = zeros( size(cb.pin) );
```

Get array response We now sample the array response for each degree in the antenna array.

```
pat = zeros( a.no_el , a.no_az , 2 , 2 );
values = a.no_az;
fprintf('Calculating ['); m0=0; tStart = clock; % A Status message
for n = 1:a.no_az
    m1=ceil(n/values*50); if m1>m0; for m2=1:m1-m0; fprintf('o'); end; m0=m1; end;
    a1 = a.copy_objects;
    a1.rotate_pattern( a.azimuth_grid(n)*180/pi , 'z');
    for m=1:a.no_el
        a2 = a1.copy_objects;
        a2.rotate_pattern( a.elevation_grid(m)*180/pi,'y');
        cb.tx_array = a2;
        c = cb.get_channels;
        pat(m,n,:,:) = c.coeff;
        end
end
fprintf('] %5.0f seconds\n',round( etime(clock, tStart) ));
```

```
Calculating [0000०००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००००] 17 seconds
```

Plot For plotting we use the internal function of the array class. We adjust the title of the figures accordingly.

```
d = a.copy_objects;
d.field_pattern_vertical = pat(:,:,:,1) ;
d.field_pattern_horizontal = pat(:,:,:,2) ;
x = d.visualize;
set(x (1, 11),'String','RHCP-RHCP ')
set(x(1, 12),'String','RHCP-LHCP')
set(x(2,11),'String',' LHCP-RHCP')
set(x (2,12),'String','LHCP-LHCP')
```

close all
disp(['QuaDRiGa Version: ', simulation_parameters.version])

```
QuaDRiGa Version: 1.0.1-145
```



Figure 37: RHCP / LHCP antenna patterns

## A. 9 How to manually set LSPs in QuaDRiGa

This tutorial explains, how to generate a time-series of channel coefficients with manual selection of LSPs.

Setting general parameters We set up some basic parameters such as center frequency, sample density and the position of the transmitter.

```
close all
clear all
set(0,'defaultTextFontSize', 14)
set(0,'defaultAxesFontSize', 14)
s = simulation_parameters; % Basic simulation parameters
s.center_frequency = 2.185e9; % Center Frequency
s.sample_density = 4; % 4 samples / half wave length
l = layout(s); % Create Layout
```

Setting up a user track QuaDRiGa needs the positions of transmitter and receiver, e.g. for calculating the polarization or the arrival and departure angels. The positioning information of the Tx and Rx is essential also when the LSPs are not calculated. The following generates a linear track with 20 m length having a direction. The track is further split into 4 segments of 5 m length.

The splitting is done by calling the method 'split_segment' of the track object. The the first two arguments of that function are the minimum length of a segment $(1 \mathrm{~m})$ and the maximum length of the segment ( 6 $\mathrm{m})$. Each existing segment that is longer then the maximum length is split into subsegments. The length of those segments is random where the third and fourth parameter determine the mean and the STD of the length of new subsegment. Hence, 't.split_segment ( $1,6,5,0$ )' splits all segment longer than 6 m into subsegments of 5 m length.

Each segment gets assigned a scenario. This is also essential since many parameters (such as the number of clusters, the XPR etc.) are scenario-specific. Hence, they are the same for the entire scenario. Here, we set the first the segments to NLOS, the third to LOS and the last to NLOS.

Last, we set a random starting position for the track in the layout.

```
l.tx_position = [0;0;25]; % Set Tx-position
t = track('linear',20); % Linear track, 20 m length
t.interpolate_positions( s.samples_per_meter); % Interpolate to sample density
t.split_segment( 1,6,5,0 ) % Split in 4 segments
Un = 'WINNER_UMa_C2_NLOS';
Ul = 'WINNER_UMa_C2_LOS';
t.scenario = {Un,Un,Ul,Un}; % Set scenarios
l.randomize_rx_positions( 500,0,0,0 ); % Random start position
tmp = l.rx_position;
l.track = t;
l.rx_position = tmp;
l.visualize;
```

Manual setting of the parameters Now we initialize the parameter-set objects.
The method "l.create_parameter_sets" splits the track into smaller sub-tracks, one for each segment. It further extracts the scenario informations. Each scenario gets its own parameter-set object. So we get an


Figure 38: Scenario overview (manual parameter selection)
array of two parameter sets. The first element $p(1)$ has three positions (NLOS segments) and the second has one position (LOS segment).

```
p = l.create_parameter_sets(1);
p(1). name
p(1).no_positions
p(2). name
p(2).no_positions
```

```
Parameters [000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000] 5 seconds
ans =
WINNER-UMa-C2-NLOS_Tx1
ans =
    3
ans =
WINNER-UMa-C2-LOS_Tx1
ans =
    1
```

We set the number of clusters for the NLOS segments to 14 . Currently, it is not possible to have a different number of clusters for each segment, i.e. it is not possible for the first NLOS segment to have 14 clusters and for the second to have only 10 .

```
p(1).scenpar.NumClusters = 14;
```

In order to manually set the parameters, we have to overwrite the original settings. We do this here for the delay spread. The automatically generated values are:

```
[p(1).ds(1) p(1).ds(2) p(2).ds(1) p(1).ds(3) ]*1e6
```

```
ans =
    0.2696 0.2433 0.0948 0.2094
```

We want to set the values of the four segments to $0.45,0.33,0.12$ and 0.60 microseconds. This is done by:

```
p(1).ds(1) = 0.45e-6;
p(1).ds(2) = 0.33e-6;
p(2).ds(1) = 0.12e-6;
p(1).ds(3) = 0.60e-6;
```

The K-Factor and the shadow fading are read from the map during the generation of channel coefficients. This would overwrite any manual values. However, this could be deactivated. A drawback is that in this case the KF, SF and PL are only updated once per segment. This will result in a step-like function of the output power. There is currently no method the set the power manually on a per-snapshot basis.

In the following example, we want to fix the received power to $-102,-97,-82$ and -99 dBm . K-Factors are taken from the map.

```
p(1).plpar = []; % Disable path loss for NLOS
p(2).plpar = []; % Disable path loss for LOS
p(1).sf = 10.^(0.1*[-102, -97, -99]); % Set power for NLOS segments
p(2).sf = 10.^(0.1*[-82]); % Set power for LOS segments
p(1).map_valid = false; % Disable automatic overwrite for NLOS
p(2).map_valid = false; % Disable automatic overwrite for LOS
```

Calculate channel coefficients Now we calculate the coefficients and the received power along the path. The following command calculate the channel coefficients. We then check the number of clusters that have been produced for each segment.

```
cm = p.get_channels; % Calculate the channel coefficients
cat(1, cm.no_path) % Display the number of paths
```

```
Channels [00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000] seconds
```

Channels [00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000] seconds
ans =
ans =
14
14
14
14
14
14
8

```
    8
```

The first three segments have 14 clusters. This has been set earlier. The last LOS segment has 15 clusters. One can see, that the three NLOS segment come first, followed by the LOS segment. The order has been scrambled. The following command sorts and combines the segments into one fading sequence.

```
c = cm.merge;
```

We now plot the power along the path. You can see the power levels of around $-102,-97,-82$ and -99 dBm which have been set earlier. Each segment has a transition area (e.g. from 2.5 m to 5 m , from 7.5 m to 10 m and from 12.5 m to 15 m ) where the merging took place. In those areas, the power is scaled linearly. That means that, for example, in between 7.5 and 10 m , the power ramps up from -97 to -82 dBm .

```
power = squeeze(sum(abs(c.coeff).^2,3));
power = 10*log10(power);
figure
[~,dist] = t.get_length;
plot(dist,power)
title('Simulated Power')
xlabel('Distance from start point [m]')
ylabel('Received Power [dBm]')
axis([0,20,-110,-80])
grid on
```



Figure 39: Power along the track (manual parameter selection)

The last plot shows the DS along the path. The results reflect the settings of $0.45,0.33,0.12$ and 0.60 quiet well. As for the power, there is an overlap in between the segments. For example, in between 7.5 and 10 m the DS drops from 0.33 to 0.12 microseconds. Additional fluctuations are caused by small scale fading.

```
coeff = squeeze( c.coeff );
delay = c.delay;
pow_tap = abs(coeff).^2;
pow_sum = sum(pow_tap);
mean_delay = sum( pow_tap.*delay) ./ pow_sum;
ds = sqrt( sum( pow_tap.*delay.^2)./ pow_sum - mean_delay.^2 );
figure
plot(dist,ds*1e6)
title('Simulated Delay Spread')
xlabel('Distance from start point [m]')
ylabel('RMS DS [\mus]')
axis([0,20,0,1])
grid on
```



Figure 40: DS along the track (manual parameter selection)

```
close all
disp(['QuaDRiGa Version: ',simulation_parameters.version])
```

```
QuaDRiGa Version: 1.0.1-145
```


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ We substitute $\cos \beta_{l, m, 0}$ with $-\mathbf{r}_{0}^{T} \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{l, m, 0} /\left|\mathbf{r}_{0}\right|$ since we are at the Rx position looking towards the Tx.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ This value can be changed in simulation_parameters.drifting_update_threshold

